

Module - IV

Unbiased Estimation

The risk function

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E_{\theta}(L(\theta, \delta(x))), \quad \theta \in \Theta$$

measures the preciseness with which δ estimates $\psi(\theta)$.

- One would like to choose δ that minimizes the risk for all values of $\theta \in \Theta$.

- For fixed $\theta_0 \in \Theta$, consider estimator $\delta_0(x) = \psi(\theta_0), \forall x$.

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta_0, \delta_0) &= E_{\theta_0}(L(\theta_0, \delta_0(x))) \\ &= E_{\theta_0}(L(\theta_0, \psi(\theta_0))) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus at parametric point $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ δ_0 has the smallest possible risk 0 and no other estimator can have smaller risk than δ_0 at parametric point θ_0 .

- Thus there exist no uniformly best estimator, i.e. no estimator exists which minimizes the risk for all values of $\theta \in \Theta$, except in the trivial case that $\psi(\theta)$ is constant.

- One way to avoid this difficulty is to restrict the class of estimators by ruling out estimators which do not possess certain ^{desirable} characteristics.

- One such desirable characteristic is unbiasedness.

Definition

An estimator $\delta(x)$ is said to be an unbiased estimator of $\psi(\theta)$ if

$$E_{\theta}(\delta(x)) = \psi(\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

If there exists an unbiased estimator of ψ , the estimand will be called U-estimable.

Example (Unbiased estimator, $\psi(\theta)$ may not exist)

$$X \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta), \quad \theta \in \Theta = (0, 1), \quad n \text{ is a known positive integer}$$
$$\psi(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

Example (Unbiased estimate may be absurd)

$$X \sim \text{Po}(\theta), \quad \theta \in \Theta = (0, \infty), \quad \psi(\theta) = e^{-3\theta}$$

The estimator $g(X) = (-2)^X$ is unbiased. However it takes both positive and negative values, whereas $\psi(\theta)$ is always positive.

Remark: After a best unbiased estimator (an unbiased estimator having ^{uniformly} smallest risk among all unbiased estimators) has been found, its performance should be studied to find out if there exists a (slightly) biased estimator with ^{uniformly} much smaller risk function. Such a possibility exists and should not be ruled out.

Define Θ = class of all estimators of $\psi(\theta)$

$$\Theta_0 = \text{the class of all unbiased estimators of } \psi(\theta)$$
$$= \{g \in \Theta : E_{\theta}(g(X)) = \psi(\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta\}$$

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{the class of all unbiased estimators of } 0$$
$$= \{U \in \Theta : E_{\theta}(U(X)) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta\}$$

Lemma Let $g_0 \in \Theta_0$ be fixed. Then

$$\Theta_0 = \{g \in \Theta : g(x) = g_0(x) - U(x), \quad U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

Proof

Trivial

UMVU Estimators

Consider the squared error loss (SEL) function

$$L(\theta, d) = (\psi(\theta) - d)^2, \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^k, \theta \in \Theta$$

Then, for any $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E_\theta((\delta(x) - \psi(\theta))^2)$$

$$= E_\theta((\delta(x) - E_\theta(\delta(x)))^2) \quad (\text{Since } \delta \in \mathcal{D}_\theta)$$

$$= V_\theta(\delta), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

Where $V_\theta(\delta)$ denotes the variance of δ .
 Thus, finding an estimator δ^* in \mathcal{D}_θ that has smallest risk among all estimators in \mathcal{D}_θ is equivalent to finding an estimator in \mathcal{D}_θ that has the smallest variance.
Note: Here we may consider only non-randomized estimators as the SEL function is strictly convex.

Definition (a) An estimator $\delta^* \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$ is said to be locally minimum variance unbiased (LMVU) estimator at $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ if for any $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$

$$V_{\theta_0}(\delta^*) \leq V_{\theta_0}(\delta)$$

(b) An estimator $\delta^* \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$ is said to be uniformly minimum variance unbiased (UMVU) estimator if for any $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$

$$V_\theta(\delta^*) \leq V_\theta(\delta), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

Let $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ be fixed. Then for any other $\theta \in \Theta$

$$\delta(x) = \delta_0(x) - U(x),$$

for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$, and

$$V_\theta(\delta) = V_\theta(\delta_0 - U) = E_\theta((\delta_0(x) - U(x) - \psi(\theta))^2)$$

$$= E_\theta((\delta_0(x) - U(x))^2) - (\psi(\theta))^2$$

Thus to find LMVU estimator at θ_0 it is enough to find a $U^* \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$E_{\theta_0} (g_0(x) - U^*(x))^2 \leq E_{\theta_0} ((g_0(x) - U(x))^2), \forall U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Example Let X have p.m.f.

$$f_{\theta}(k) = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } k = -1 \\ (1-\theta)^2 \theta^k, & k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \theta \in \Theta = (0, 1).$$

Let $\psi_1(\theta) = \theta$ and $\psi_2(\theta) = \theta^2$. Find UMVU estimators of $\psi_1(\theta)$ and $\psi_2(\theta)$, provided they exist.

Solution It can be seen that $U \in \mathcal{U}_0$ cbb

$$U(k) = -k U(-1), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\Leftrightarrow U(k) = ak, \quad k = -1, 0, 1, \dots$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

(I) Estimation of $\psi_1(\theta) = \theta$

It can be seen that $g_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \in \mathcal{U}_0$.

Thus $g \in \mathcal{U}_0$ cbb

$$g(x) = g_0(x) - U(x) = g_0(x) - ax,$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

We derive to minimize, for fixed $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$h_1(a) = E_{\theta_0} ((g_0(x) - ax)^2)$$

$$= [\theta_0 + (1-\theta_0)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \theta_0^k] a^2 + 2a\theta_0 + \theta_0.$$

Clearly

$$a^* = \frac{-\theta_0}{\theta_0 + (1-\theta_0)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \theta_0^k}$$

minimizes $h_1(a)$ and is the unique minimizer ($h_1''(a^*) > 0$).

Thus $\delta^*(x) = \delta_0 - a^*x$ is the LTVUE of $\psi_1(\theta)$ at $\theta = \theta_0$.
 Since a^* depends on $\theta = \theta_0$ and a^* is the unique minimizer,
 we conclude that no UTVUE of $\psi_1(\theta)$ exists.

(II) Estimation of $\psi_2(\theta) = (1-\theta)^2$

Let

$$\delta_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{D}_0$. Thus $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_0$ iff

$$\delta(x) = \delta_1(x) - U(x) = \delta_1(x) - ax,$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
 Consider, for fixed $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$h_2(a) = E_{\theta_0}((\delta_1(x) - ax)^2)$$

$$= [\theta_0 + (1-\theta_0)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \theta_0^k] a^2 + (1-\theta_0)^2$$

Clearly $a^* = 0$ minimizes $h_2(a)$ and it is the unique
 minimizer. Moreover a^* does not depend on θ_0 .

It follows that $\delta_1(x)$ is the UTVUE of $\psi_2(\theta) = (1-\theta)^2$.

Remark Even restricting to unbiased estimators may
 not ensure existence of the best estimator

Define

$$\Delta = \{ \delta \in \mathcal{D}_0 : E_{\theta}(\delta^2(x)) < \infty \}$$

For finding the UTVUE it is enough to restrict to
 class Δ of estimators.

Theorem Let $\delta \in \Delta$. Then δ is univUE ^{for \mathcal{U} s.t. $E_0(\delta(x))$} \wedge CBB for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $E_0(U^2(x)) < \infty$,

$$\text{Cov}_0(\delta, U) = E_0(\delta U) = 0 \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof. First suppose that δ is univUE and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ be s.t. $E_0(U^2(x)) < \infty$, $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}$. Consider class of estimators

$$\delta_\lambda(x) = \delta(x) + \lambda U(x),$$

so that each δ_λ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to \mathcal{D}_0 .

Also δ is univUE implies that for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$

$$V_0(\delta) \leq V_0(\delta_\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda^2 V_0(U) + 2\lambda \text{Cov}_0(\delta, U) \geq 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}_0(\delta, U) = 0. \quad (\text{Why?})$$

Conversely suppose that $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_0$ be s.t. such that for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $E_0(U^2(x)) < \infty$

$$\text{Cov}_0(\delta, U) = E_0(\delta U) = 0, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}$$

Let $\delta_0 \in \Delta$ be any other estimator in \mathcal{D}_0 . Define

$$U(x) = \delta(x) - \delta_0(x)$$

so that $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $E_0(U^2(x)) < \infty$, $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Then, $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}$

$$0 = E_0(\delta(x)U(x))$$

$$= \text{Cov}_0(\delta(x), U(x))$$

$$= \text{Cov}_0(\delta(x), \delta(x) - \delta_0(x))$$

$$= V_0(\delta) - \text{Cov}_0(\delta, \delta_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow V_0(\delta) = \text{Cov}_0(\delta, \delta_0) \leq \sqrt{V_0(\delta) V_0(\delta_0)}$$

$$\Rightarrow V_0(\delta) \leq V_0(\delta_0), \quad \forall \delta_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0.$$

6/4

Example Let X be a r.v. with p.m.f.

$$f_{\theta}(k) = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } k = -1 \\ (1-\theta)^2 \theta^k, & \text{if } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Determine the class of all U -estimable estimands, for which UMVUE exist.

Solution We know that δ is UMVUE for $\psi(\theta) = E_{\theta}(\delta(X))$ iff for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $E_{\theta}(U^2(X)) < \infty$,

$$E_{\theta}(\delta U) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{T} \quad \dots (*)$$

But $U \in \mathcal{U}$ iff

$$U(k) = ak, \quad k = -1, 0, 1, \dots,$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then (*) reduces to

$$E_{\theta}(X \delta(X)) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{T}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\delta(-1)\theta + (1-\theta)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \delta(k) \theta^k = 0, \quad \forall 0 < \theta < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(k) = \delta(-1), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

with $\delta(0)$ being arbitrary.

Let $\delta(0) = b$ and $\delta(-1) = a$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta}(\delta(X)) &= a\theta + b(1-\theta)^2 + a \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-\theta)^2 \theta^k \\ &= b(1-\theta)^2 + a(1-(1-\theta)^2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\psi(\theta)$ becomes UMVUE with finite variance iff

$$\psi(\theta) = a + c(1-\theta)^2, \quad \text{for some } a, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note:

The above example illustrates that not all non-constant U -estimable functions may have UMVUE.

Example (No non-constant U-estimable function has UMVUE)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. r.v.s with

$$P_\theta(X_1 = k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } k \in \{\theta-1, \theta, \theta+1\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \dots\}$. Show that no non-constant estimator is UMVUE.

Solution

Consider the case $n=1$.

$$u \in \mathcal{U} \Leftrightarrow E_\theta(u(X_1)) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u(\theta-1) + u(\theta) + u(\theta+1) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} u(\theta-1) + u(\theta) + u(\theta+1) = 0 & \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I} \\ u(\theta) + u(\theta+1) + u(\theta+2) = 0 & \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} u(\theta-1) = u(\theta+2) & \forall \theta \in \Theta \\ u(\theta) + u(\theta+1) + u(\theta+2) = 0 & \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I} \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} u(\theta) = u(\theta+3) \\ u(\theta) + u(\theta+1) + u(\theta+2) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} u(\theta-1) = u(\theta+2) \\ u(\theta) + u(\theta+1) + u(\theta+2) = 0 \end{matrix}} \right\} \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I}$$

Thus $u \in \mathcal{U}$ iff

$$u(0) = a, \quad u(1) = b, \quad u(2) = -(a+b), \quad u(\theta) = u(\theta+3), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{I}$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ u(x) : \begin{array}{l} u(0) = a, \quad u(1) = b, \quad u(2) = -(a+b), \quad u(\theta) = u(\theta+3), \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I}, \\ \text{for some } a, b \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\}$$

δ is UMVUE of $E_\theta(\delta(X_1))$ iff

$$E_\theta(\delta(X_1)u(X_1)) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \delta(\theta)u(\theta) + \delta(\theta-1)u(\theta-1) + \delta(\theta+1)u(\theta+1) = 0 & \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I} \\ \delta(\theta)u(\theta) + \delta(\theta+1)u(\theta+1) + \delta(\theta+2)u(\theta+2) = 0 & \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I} \end{cases} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$\Rightarrow a\delta(0) + b\delta(1) - (a+b)\delta(2) = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow a(\delta(0) - \delta(2)) + b(\delta(1) - \delta(2)) = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(0) = \delta(2), \quad \delta(1) = \delta(2) \Rightarrow \delta(0) = \delta(1) = \delta(2)$$

We also have (as for $u(x) \in \mathcal{U}$)

$$\delta(0)u(0) = \delta(\theta+3)u(\theta+3), \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I}, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}$$

$$\boxed{\delta/y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(\theta) = \delta(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow a \delta(\theta) = a \delta(\theta), \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(\theta) = \delta(\theta).$$

By induction it follows that

$$\delta(\theta) = \delta(\pm 1) = \delta(\pm 2) = \dots = c, \quad \text{for some } c \in \mathbb{R}. \quad \square$$

Now we prove the following theorem which describes situations where every U -estimable function has the UNVUE.

Theorem (Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem)

Let $\psi(\theta)$ be an U -estimable function and let $T(X)$ be a ^{complete} sufficient statistic for θ . Then $\psi(\theta)$ has one and only one unbiased estimator that is a function of $T(X)$, which is also the unique UNVUE of $\psi(\theta)$.

Proof Since $\psi(\theta)$ is U -estimable, there exists an estimator (in fact non-randomized) such that (how?)

$$E_{\theta}(\delta(X)) = \psi(\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

Let δ_0 be any unbiased estimator of $\psi(\theta)$. Define $\eta(t) = E_{\theta}(\delta_0(X) | T=t)$.

By virtue of sufficiency of T , $\eta(t)$ does not depend on θ and therefore it is a proper estimator.

Also

$$E_{\theta}(\eta(T)) = E_{\theta}(E_{\theta}(\delta_0(X) | T))$$

$$= E_{\theta}(\delta_0(X)) = \psi(\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

and by Rao-Blackwell Theorem (since the SEL is strictly convex)

$$V_{\theta}(\delta_0) = E_{\theta}((\delta_0(X) - \psi(\theta))^2)$$

$$> E_{\theta}((\eta(T) - \psi(\theta))^2)$$

unless $\delta_0(X) = \eta(T)$ w.p.1.

9/4

If δ_1 and δ_2 are two unbiased estimators of $\psi(\theta)$ which are functions of T , then

$$E_{\theta}(\delta_1(T) - \delta_2(T)) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_1(T) = \delta_2(T), \quad \text{w.p.1,}$$

by virtue of completeness of T . ▢

The above theorem deals with squared error loss function. However the Rao-Blackwell Theorem applies to any convex loss function and therefore we have the following result.

Theorem Suppose that the loss function $L(\theta, d)$ is a strictly convex function of d , for every $\theta \in \Theta$. Let $T(X)$ be a Complete-Sufficient Statistic for P .

(a) For every U -estimable function $\psi(\theta)$ there exists ^{based on $T(X)$} a uniformly minimum risk unbiased estimator (UMRUE) an unbiased estimator that uniformly minimizes the risk. In particular this estimator is UMVUE.

(b) The UMRUE of (a) is the unique unbiased estimator which is a function of T and it is the unique UMVUE provided the risk is finite.

Remark (a) If δ is a function of Complete-Sufficient Statistic $T(X)$ then δ is UMVUE (or UMRUE) of $\psi(\theta) = E_{\theta}(\delta(T))$ (provided the loss function $L(\theta, d)$ is convex in d).

(b) Note that $\delta(x) \equiv 0 \in U$ and that $U \neq \emptyset$. Note that $U \in U$ is nothing more than a random noise (as it has, in some sense, no information about θ). It makes sense to estimate 0 by 0 and not with random noise. Therefore if an estimator could be improved by adding random noise to it, the estimator is probably defective (this justifies the condition $0 \in U$ $\Rightarrow \forall u \in U$ for δ to be UMVUE in expectation).

(c) If $U = \{0\}$, i.e. $U = 0$ is the only unbiased estimator of 0 . Then finding the UMVUE is equivalent to finding an unbiased estimator of $\psi(\theta)$. Recall that property of completeness guarantees such a situation.

Methods of Finding a UMVUE

(i) If $T(x)$ is a Complete-Sufficient Statistic, ^{then} the UMVUE of any μ -estimable function $\psi(\theta)$ is uniquely determined by the set of equations

$$E_{\theta}(\delta(T)) = \psi(\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

(ii) If $\delta(x)$ is any unbiased estimator of $\psi(\theta)$ and $T \equiv T(x)$ is a Complete-Sufficient Statistic then

$$\eta(T) = E_{\theta}(\delta(x) | T)$$

is the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta)$.

Example Let x_1, \dots, x_n ($n \geq 2$) be i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ $\forall \mu, \sigma^2$, where $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2) \in \Theta = \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$. Find the UMVUEs of μ and σ^2 . Find the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = \mu + \sigma$

Example Let x_1, \dots, x_n be i.i.d. $\text{Bin}(1, \theta)$ $\forall \theta$, where $\theta \in \Theta = (0, 1)$ is an unknown parameter. Find the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = V_{\theta}(x_1)$. Using Method (ii) above.

Example Let x_1, \dots, x_n be i.i.d. $U(0, \theta)$ $\forall \theta$, where $\theta \in \Theta = (0, \infty)$ is an unknown parameter. Using Method (ii) above, find the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = \theta$.

Remark For a quite general class of loss functions Dan (1955) proved that no LMVUE exists. Similarly, for bounded loss functions no LMVUE exist except in trivial cases. (See the next example)

Example Let the loss function $L(\theta, d)$ be bounded ($\forall \theta, d$) $0 \leq L(\theta, d) \leq \pi$, $\forall \theta, d$. Also assume that $L(\theta, \psi(\theta)) = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta$.

Suppose that $\psi(\theta)$ is U -estimable and θ_0 be an arbitrary point in Θ . Consider estimation of $\psi(\theta)$ based on a single observation of X . For any unbiased estimator $\delta(X)$ (such a δ exists as $\psi(\theta)$ is U -estimable), define

$$\delta_n(X) = \begin{cases} \psi(\theta_0) & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{1}{n} \\ n[\delta(X) - \psi(\theta_0)] + \psi(\theta_0) & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}, n \geq 1$$

Show that $E_{\theta_0}(\delta_n(X)) = \psi(\theta_0), \forall \theta_0 \in \Theta$ and

$$0 \leq R(\theta_0, \delta_n) \leq \frac{\pi}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{(i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\theta_0, \delta_n) = 0 \text{)}$$

Exercise Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\sigma > 0$

(a) Find the UMVUE of μ when σ is known;

(b) Find the UMVUE of σ^2 (for integer $r > -n$) when μ is known;

(c) Find the UMVUE of σ^2 (for integer $r > -n+1$) when μ is unknown;

(d) Find the UMVUE $S_p \equiv S_p(\theta)$, where

$$P_{\theta}(X_1 \leq S_p(\theta)) = p,$$

and $p \in (0, 1)$ is given.

(e) For a fixed $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and taking $\sigma = 1$, find the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = P_{\theta}(X_1 \leq u_0)$

(f) For a fixed $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and taking $\sigma = 1$, find the UMVUE of $\phi(u_0 - \theta)$, the pdf of X_1 at point u_0 .

(g) Same as (e) and (f) when σ is unknown.

12/4

* ←

Example Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a random sample from the exponential distribution $E(\mu, \sigma)$ having the p.d.f.

$$f_{\mu, \sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)}{\sigma}}, \quad x > \mu,$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$.

- Find the UMVUE of μ when σ is known;
- Find the UMVUE of σ when μ is known;
- Find the UMVUE of μ when σ is unknown;
- Find the UMVUE of σ when μ is unknown.

Power Series Distribution

Definition A r.v. X is said to have power series distribution if it has a p.m.f. belonging to the family $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\theta: \theta \in \Theta\}$, where Θ is an interval in $(0, \infty)$ and

$$p_\theta(x) = P_\theta(X=x) = \frac{a(x) \theta^x}{c(\theta)}, \quad x=0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \dots (*)$$

for some function $a(x)$ for which $\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} a(x) \theta^x < \infty$.

Example (i) For fixed positive integer n , let $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, $p \in (0, 1)$. Let $\theta = p/(1-p)$, $c(\theta) = (\theta+1)^n$, $a(x) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{x}, & x=0, 1, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.
Then $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\theta: \theta \in (0, \infty)\}$.

(ii) Let $X \sim \text{NB}(m, p)$ where m is a fixed positive integer and $p \in (0, 1)$. Let $\theta = 1-p$, $c(\theta) = (1-\theta)^{-m}$.
 $a(x) = \binom{m+x-1}{x} = \binom{m+x-1}{m-1}, \quad x=0, 1, 2, \dots$

(iii) Let $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$, $\theta > 0$. Let $c(\theta) = e^\theta$, and $a(x) = \frac{1}{x!}, \quad x=0, 1, 2, \dots$

13/4

Exercise: (i) Show that the family (*) is complete.

(ii) If $a(x) > 0$, $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then, for any positive integer r , θ^r is U-estimable with its unique unbiased estimator given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, 1, \dots, r-1 \\ \frac{a(x-r)}{a(x)} & \text{if } x = r, r+1, \dots \end{cases}$$

Exercise Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a random sample from power series family (*).

(a) Show that the distribution of $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is the power series family

$$f_{\theta}(t) = P_{\theta}(T=t) = \frac{A(t; n) \theta^t}{[C(\theta)]^n}, \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $A(t; n)$ is the coefficient of θ^t in the power series expansion of $[C(\theta)]^n$.

(b) Show that $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a complete and sufficient statistic.

(c) For any positive integer r , the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = \theta^r$ is

$$g_1(T) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } T = 0, 1, \dots, r-1 \\ \frac{A(T-r; n)}{A(T; n)} & \text{if } T = r, r+1, \dots \end{cases}$$

(d) The UMVUE of $p_{\theta}(x) = P_{\theta}(X=x)$ is given by

$$g_2(T) = \frac{a(x) A(T-x, n-1)}{A(T; n)}, \quad T = x, x+1, \dots$$

here $n > 1$ and $x \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ is fixed.

Exercise Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \sim \text{Mult}(n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ where $0 < \theta_i < 1$, $i=1, \dots, k$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 1$. Find the UMVUE of: (i) θ_i , $i=1, \dots, k$, (ii) $\theta_i \theta_j$, $i \neq j$, $i, j=1, \dots, k$.

Variance Inequalities

Let

$V_L(\theta_0)$: Variance of LMVUE at θ_0 .

When UMVUE does not exist, $V_L(\theta)$ can be used as a benchmark for evaluating the performance of any unbiased estimator.

Unfortunately $V_L(\theta)$ is generally difficult to evaluate. It may be useful to derive some lower bounds on $V_L(\theta)$, which are simple to evaluate.

Recall that for any function $\psi(x, \theta)$

$$V_{\theta}(\delta) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(\delta) \geq \frac{[\text{Cov}_{\theta}(\delta, \psi)]^2}{\text{Var}(\psi)} \quad \dots \quad (A)$$

provided the moment exist.

The above inequality is not useful for our purposes as the r.h.s also involves δ .

However when $\text{Cov}_{\theta}(\delta, \psi)$ depends on θ only through $g(\theta) = E_{\theta}(\delta)$, (A) does provide a lower bound for the variance of all unbiased estimators of $g(\theta)$.

Lemma A necessary and sufficient condition for $Cov_{\theta}(\delta, \psi)$ to depend on δ only through $g(\theta) = E_{\theta}(\delta)$ is that for every θ

$$Cov_{\theta}(\psi, U) = 0, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U},$$

where \mathcal{U} is the class of all statistics U such that $E_{\theta}(U) = 0, \forall \theta$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$Cov_{\theta}(\psi, U) = 0, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}, \forall \theta.$$

Let δ_1 and δ_2 be two estimators with $E_{\theta}(\delta_1) = E_{\theta}(\delta_2) = g(\theta)$. Then $\delta_1 - \delta_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ and thus

$$Cov_{\theta}(\psi, \delta_1 - \delta_2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Cov_{\theta}(\psi, \delta_1) = Cov_{\theta}(\psi, \delta_2).$$

Conversely suppose that, for every θ , $Cov_{\theta}(\delta, \psi)$ depends on δ only through $g(\theta) = E_{\theta}(\delta)$. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Then $E_{\theta}(U) = 0$ and thus

$$Cov_{\theta}(\delta, \psi) = Cov_{\theta}(\delta + U, \psi)$$

$$\Rightarrow Cov_{\theta}(U, \psi) = 0, \quad \forall \theta.$$

Theorem (Hammersley-Chapman-Robbins Inequality). Suppose that $X \sim p_{\theta}(\cdot)$ where $\theta \in \Theta$ is unknown. Suppose that $A = \{x : b_{\theta}(x) > 0\}$ is independent of $\theta \in \Theta$. For any fixed $\theta \in \Theta$, define $B_{\theta} = \{\Delta \in \Theta : g(\theta) \neq g(\theta + \Delta)\}$. Let δ be such that $E_{\theta}(\delta) = g(\theta), \forall \theta \in \Theta$. Then

$$Var_{\theta}(\delta) \geq \inf_{\Delta \in B_{\theta}} \left[\frac{(g(\theta + \Delta) - g(\theta))^2}{E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{b_{\theta + \Delta}(X)}{b_{\theta}(X)} - 1 \right)^2 \right]} \right], \quad \theta \in \Theta.$$

1/4

Proof. Fix $\theta \in \Theta$ and Δ such that $\theta + \Delta \in \Theta$. Define

$$\psi_{\Delta}(x; \theta) = \frac{b_{\theta+\Delta}(x)}{b_{\theta}(x)} - 1, \quad x \in A.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta}(\psi_{\Delta}(x; \theta)) &= \int \left[\frac{b_{\theta+\Delta}(x)}{b_{\theta}(x)} - 1 \right] b_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= \int b_{\theta+\Delta}(x) dx - \int b_{\theta}(x) dx = 1 - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

and for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\text{Cov}_{\theta}(\psi_{\Delta}(x; \theta), U(x)) = E_{\theta}[\psi_{\Delta}(x; \theta) U(x)]$$

$$= \int \left[\frac{b_{\theta+\Delta}(x)}{b_{\theta}(x)} - 1 \right] U(x) b_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \int U(x) b_{\theta+\Delta}(x) dx - \int U(x) b_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= E_{\theta+\Delta}(U(x)) - E_{\theta}(U(x))$$

$$= 0 - 0 = 0.$$

Thus ψ_{Δ} satisfies the assumptions of last lemma.

Note that

$$\text{Cov}_{\theta}(g(x), \psi_{\Delta}(x; \theta)) = E_{\theta}(g(x) \psi_{\Delta}(x; \theta))$$

$$= \int \left[\frac{b_{\theta+\Delta}(x)}{b_{\theta}(x)} - 1 \right] g(x) b_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= g(\theta+\Delta) - g(\theta).$$

Thus

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}(g) \geq \frac{[\text{Cov}_{\theta}(g, \psi)]^2}{\text{Var}_{\theta}(\psi)} = \frac{(g(\theta+\Delta) - g(\theta))^2}{E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{b_{\theta+\Delta}(x)}{b_{\theta}(x)} - 1 \right)^2 \right]}$$

(17/4)

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_\theta(\delta) \geq \sup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_\theta} \left[\frac{(\delta(\theta + \Delta) - \delta(\theta))^2}{E_\theta \left[\left(\frac{b_{\theta + \Delta}(x)}{b_\theta(x)} - 1 \right)^2 \right]} \right]$$

Regularity Conditions R_1 :

- (a) Θ is an open interval (finite, infinite or semi-infinite).
- (b) The distributions $\{b_\theta: \theta \in \Theta\}$ have no common support so that, wlog, the set $A = \{\lambda: b_\lambda(x) > 0\}$ is independent of θ .
- (c) For any $\lambda \in A$ and any $\theta \in \Theta$, the derivative $b'_\theta(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} b_\theta(x)$ exists, is finite and $\int b_\theta(x) dx$ can be differentiated under the integral sign.

Theorem (Rao-Cramer Inequality) Let δ be an estimator with $E_\theta(\delta) = g(\theta)$, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$. Then, under regularity conditions R_1 ,

$$V_\theta(\delta) \geq \frac{[g'(\theta)]^2}{V_\theta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_\theta(x) \right)}, \quad \theta \in \Theta,$$

provided for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\int U(x) b_\theta(x) dx$$

$$\text{and } \int \delta(x) b_\theta(x) dx = g(\theta)$$

can be differentiated under the integral sign.

Proof From Hammersley-Chapman-Robbins inequality we have, for a fixed θ ,

18/4

$$V_{\theta}(\delta) \geq \frac{(g(\theta_0) - g(\theta_1))^2}{E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{b_{\theta_0}(x)}{b_{\theta_1}(x)} - 1 \right)^2 \right]} \quad \forall \Delta \in B_{\theta}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{g(\theta_0 + \Delta) - g(\theta_0)}{\Delta} \right)^2}{E_{\theta} \left[\frac{1}{b_{\theta}^2(x)} \left(\frac{b_{\theta_0 + \Delta}(x) - b_{\theta_0}(x)}{\Delta} \right)^2 \right]} \quad \forall \Delta \in B_{\theta}$$

On taking $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$V_{\theta}(\delta) \geq \frac{[g'(\theta_0)]^2}{E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{b'_{\theta_0}(x)}{b_{\theta_0}(x)} \right)^2 \right]}$$

$$= \frac{[g'(\theta_0)]^2}{E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)^2 \right]}$$

Now the result follows on noting that

$$E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right) = \int \frac{b'_{\theta}(x)}{b_{\theta}(x)} b_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \int b'_{\theta}(x) dx = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} b_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int b_{\theta}(x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (1) = 0.$$

Remark: (a) Suppose that assumptions of part (a) are satisfied and for some unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ_0 , $\text{Var}_{\theta_0}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{[g'(\theta_0)]^2}{E_{\theta_0} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)^2 \right]}$, then $\hat{\theta}$ is the UMVUE of $g(\theta_0)$.

$$(b) \psi(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{b_{\theta}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} b_{\theta}(x)$$

→ relative rate at which the density $b_{\theta}(x)$ changes at θ

$$I(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)^2 \right] = V_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)$$

→ Average rate of change of density

The large value of $I(\theta_0)$ indicates that it is easier to distinguish θ_0 from neighboring values of θ and therefore more accurately θ can be estimated at θ_0 .

$I(\theta)$: Fisher's Information that \underline{X} contains about the parameter θ .

(c) Under the regularity conditions R_1

$$E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right) = 0$$

and

$$I(\theta) = V_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right) = E_{\theta} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)^2 \right).$$

(d) If in addition to regularity conditions R_1 , the second derivative w.r.t. $\ln b_{\theta}(x)$ exist $\forall \theta$ and $\forall x$ and $\int b_{\theta}(x) dx$ can be differentiated twice by differentiating under the integral sign, then

$$\int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right) b_{\theta}(x) dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right) b_{\theta}(x) dx \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right] b_{\theta}(x) dx + \int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right) b'_{\theta}(x) dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right] = - E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)^2 \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)^2 \right) = - E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right]$$

20/4

Theorem Let X have the pdf/pmf

$$f_{\theta}(x) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x) - B(\theta)} h(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, \theta \in \Theta,$$

belonging to the exponential family with $\theta = E_{\theta}(T(x))$ and Θ containing an interval.
(mean value parametrization) \wedge Then

$$I(\theta) = \frac{1}{\text{Var}_{\theta}(T)}$$

Proof. For exponential families regularity conditions R_1 are satisfied. Moreover $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\ln f_{\theta}(x)$ is twice differentiable and $\int \cdot f_{\theta}(x) dx$ can be differentiated twice by differentiating under the integral sign. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I(\theta) &= \text{Var}_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(x) \right) = E_{\theta} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(x) \right)^2 \right) \\ &= -E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f_{\theta}(x) \right), \quad \theta \in \Theta \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\ln f_{\theta}(x) = \eta(\theta)T(x) - B(\theta) + \ln h(x)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(x) = \eta'(\theta)T(x) - B'(\theta) = \eta'(\theta) \left[T(x) - \frac{B'(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(x) \right)^2 \right] = (\eta'(\theta))^2 E_{\theta} \left[\left(T(x) - \frac{B'(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)} \right)^2 \right]$$

Also

$$\int f_{\theta}(x) dx = 1 \Rightarrow \int e^{\eta(\theta)T(x) - B(\theta)} dx = 1, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \int (\eta'(\theta)T(x) - B'(\theta)) f_{\theta}(x) dx = 0 \quad \dots \quad (*)$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{\theta}(T(x)) = \frac{B'(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)} = \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\theta) = (\eta'(\theta))^2 \text{Var}_{\theta}(T(x)), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\eta'(\theta) B''(\theta) - \eta''(\theta) B'(\theta)}{(\eta'(\theta))^2} \geq 1, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta \quad \dots \quad (A)$$

Further, from (*),

$$\int (\eta'(\theta)T(x) - B'(\theta))^2 f_{\theta}(x) dx + \int (\eta''(\theta)T(x) - B''(\theta)) f_{\theta}(x) dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\eta'(\theta))^2 \int (T(x) - \underbrace{\frac{\beta'(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)}}_{= E_0(T(x))})^2 b_0(x) dx + \eta''(\theta) E_0(T(x)) - \beta''(\theta) = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow (\eta'(\theta))^2 \text{Var}_0(T(x)) + \eta''(\theta) \frac{\beta'(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)} - \beta''(\theta) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow (\eta'(\theta))^2 \text{Var}_0(T(x)) - \frac{\eta'(\theta) \beta''(\theta) - \beta'(\theta) \eta''(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\eta'(\theta))^2 \text{Var}_0(T(x)) - \eta'(\theta) = 0, \quad (\text{from (A)})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_0(T(x)) = \frac{1}{\eta'(\theta)}$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\theta) = (\eta'(\theta))^2 E_0 \left[\left(T(x) - \frac{\beta'(\theta)}{\eta'(\theta)} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= (\eta'(\theta))^2 \text{Var}_0(T(x))$$

$$= \frac{1}{\text{Var}_0(T(x))}, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

Remark: Let $\theta = h(\eta)$ and let the information contained in X about η be denoted by $I^*(\eta)$. If $h(\cdot)$ is differentiable, then

$$I^*(\eta) = E_0 \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \ln b_0(x) \right)^2 \right)$$

$$= E_0 \left(\left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_0(x) \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} \right\}^2 \right)$$

$$= [h'(\eta)]^2 I(\theta)$$

↳ Information contained in X about θ .

Remark Under the assumptions of last theorem, $T(x)$ is the UMVUE of $E_{\theta}(T(x)) = \theta$. Therefore $\text{Var}_{\theta}(T(x))$ is a measure of difficulty of estimating $\theta = E_{\theta}(T(x))$ or equivalently, reciprocal of the $\text{Var}_{\theta}(T(x))$ is the measure of ease with which θ can be estimated and in this case it matches with $I(\theta)$.

Theorem Let X_i have p.d.f./p.m.f. $b_{\theta}^{(i)}(\cdot)$ and let $I_i(\theta)$ denote the information contained in X_i about θ , $i=1, \dots, n$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and let $I(\theta)$ denote the information contained in $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ about θ . Then, under regularity conditions R_1 ,

$$I(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n I_i(\theta), \quad \theta \in \Theta$$

Proof. The joint p.d.f./p.m.f. of $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is

$$b_{\theta}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n b_{\theta}^{(i)}(x_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln b_{\theta}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln b_{\theta}^{(i)}(x_i)$$

$$I(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(\underline{x}) \right)^2 \right] = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(i)}(x_i) \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= E_{\theta} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(i)}(x_i) \right)^2 \right]$$

$$+ E_{\theta} \left[\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(i)}(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(j)}(x_j) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(i)}(x_i) \right)^2 \right] + \sum_{i \neq j} E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(i)}(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(j)}(x_j) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n I_i(\theta) + \sum_{i \neq j} E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(i)}(x_i) \right) E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}^{(j)}(x_j) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n I_i(\theta)$$

Corollary Under the assumptions of last theorem let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. so that $I_1(\theta) = \dots = I_n(\theta) = I(\theta), \theta \in \Theta, \lambda \geq 1$.
 Then $I(\theta) = n i(\theta), \theta \in \Theta$

Theorem (Reformulation of Rao-Cramer Inequality)

(I) Under the regularity conditions R_1 , let $I(\theta) > 0, \theta \in \Theta$, and let g be any statistic with $E_\theta(g(x)) < \infty, \theta \in \Theta$, for which the derivative of $E_\theta(g(x)) = \int g(x) b_\theta(x) dx$ w.r.t. θ exists and can be obtained by differentiating under the integral sign. Then

$$\text{Var}_\theta(g(x)) \geq \frac{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_\theta(g(x)) \right]^2}{I(\theta)}$$

(II) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. with p.d.f./p.m.f. $b_\theta(x)$. Under the regularity conditions R_1 , let $i(\theta) = \text{Var}_\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_\theta(x)\right) > 0$ and let $g(x)$ be any estimator of $\psi(\theta)$ with bias $b(\theta) = E_\theta(g(x)) - \psi(\theta), \theta \in \Theta$. If $E_\theta(g(x)) = \int g(x) \prod_{i=1}^n b_\theta(x_i) dx = b(\theta) + \psi(\theta), \theta \in \Theta$, is differentiable w.r.t. θ and the derivative can be obtained by differentiating under the integral sign, then

$$\text{Var}_\theta(g(x)) \geq \frac{[b'(\theta) + \psi'(\theta)]^2}{n i(\theta)}$$

Theorem Let the r.v. X be distributed with p.d.f./p.m.f. $b_\theta(x), \theta \in \Theta$. Then

$$\text{Var}_\theta(g(x)) = \frac{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_\theta(g(x)) \right]^2}{I(\theta)}$$

(b) $b_\theta(x) = e^{\eta(\theta)T(x) - h(\theta)}$, $x \in X$ with $T(x) = g(x)$.

Proof. Note that in the Covariance inequality

$$\text{Var}_\theta(\delta(x)) \geq \frac{[\text{Cov}_\theta(\delta, \psi)]^2}{\text{Var}_\theta(\psi)}$$

the equality is obtained iff δ and ψ are linearly related, i.e.,

$$\psi(x, \theta) = a(\theta) \delta(x) - b(\theta)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_\theta(x) = a(\theta) \delta(x) - b(\theta)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \ln b_\theta(x) = \eta(\theta) \delta(x) - \beta(\theta) + c(\theta) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} a(\theta) = \eta'(\theta) \\ b(\theta) = \beta'(\theta) \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow b_\theta(x) = e^{\eta(\theta) \delta(x) - \beta(\theta)} h(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Example Let x_1, \dots, x_n be i.i.d. Poisson(θ), $\theta > 0$. Let the estimand be $\psi(\theta) = \theta$.

Method I $T(\underline{x}) = \bar{x}$ is complete-sufficient
 $E_\theta(T(\underline{x})) = E_\theta(\bar{x}) = \theta, \quad \forall \theta > 0$

$\Rightarrow T(\underline{x}) = \bar{x}$ is the UMVUE of θ .

Method II By C.R.C. inequality, for any unbiased estimator δ ,

$$\text{Var}_\theta(\delta) \geq \frac{\psi'(\theta)^2}{n I(\theta)} = \frac{1}{n I(\theta)},$$

where

$$I(\theta) = E_\theta \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_\theta(x_1) \right)^2 \right) = - E_\theta \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln b_\theta(x_1) \right)$$

$$b_\theta(x_1) = \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{x_1}}{x_1!}$$

$$\ln b_\theta(x_1) = -\theta + x_1 \ln \theta - \ln x_1!$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_\theta(x_1) = -1 + \frac{x_1}{\theta}$$

25/4

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln b_{\theta}(x_1) = -\frac{x_1}{\theta^2}$$

$$I(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln b_{\theta}(x_1) \right) = E \left(\frac{x_1}{\theta^2} \right) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

\Rightarrow For any unbiased estimator δ of θ

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}(\delta) \geq \frac{\theta}{n} = \text{Var}_{\theta}(T(x))$$

\rightarrow This is happening since X has dist. belongs to exponential family

$\Rightarrow T(x)$ is the UMVUE of θ .

Example Let x_1, \dots, x_n be i.i.d. $U(0, \theta)$ $\theta \in \Theta = (0, \infty)$
 Let the estimand be $\psi(\theta) = \theta$, $\theta > 0$.

$T(x) = \frac{n+1}{n} X_{(n)}$ is the UMVUE.

$$f_{\theta}(x_1) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad 0 < x_1 < \theta$$

$$\ln b_{\theta}(x_1) = -\ln \theta$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x_1) = -\frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$E_{\theta} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln b_{\theta}(x_1) \right)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

R.C. Bound for any unbiased estimator

$$= \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}(T(x)) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 \text{Var}_{\theta}(X_{(n)})$$

$$= \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 \left[\frac{n}{n+2} - \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right)^2 \right] \theta^2$$

$$= \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)} < \text{C.R. lower bound } \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

\Rightarrow R.C. Theorem is not applicable here. To see this, consider for any continuous function $h(\cdot)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^{\theta} h(x) b_0(x) dx &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^{\theta} h(x) \frac{1}{\theta} dx \\ &= \frac{h(\theta)}{\theta} + \int_0^{\theta} h(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{1}{\theta} dx \\ &\neq \int_0^{\theta} h(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} b_0(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

Unless $\frac{h(\theta)}{\theta} = 0, \forall \theta > 0$.

In general @ R.C. Theorem is not applicable to distributions whose range of the p.d.f./p.m.f. depends on the parameter.

Remark (i) R.C. Theorem, when applicable, ^{sometimes} can be used to provide UNVUE.

(ii) Even if R.C. Theorem is applicable, the lower bound may not be sharp and the UNVUE may have uniformly larger variance than the R.C. lower bound. In such situations R.C. Theorem can not be used to provide the UNVUE.

Example Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. $N(\theta, 1)$ where $\theta \in \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$.
 $\psi(\theta) = \theta$. \rightarrow Exponential family

Then, for any unbiased estimator δ

$$\text{Var}_\theta(\delta) \geq \frac{\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} E_\theta(\bar{X}) \right]^2}{(\text{Var}_\theta(\bar{X}))^{-1}} = \frac{1}{n}$$

Also \bar{X} is unbiased and

$$\text{Var}_\theta(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 = \text{R.C. lower bound}$$

$\Rightarrow \bar{X}$ is the UMVUE of $\theta = E_0(\bar{X})$.

Example Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\theta = (\mu, \sigma) \in \Theta = \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$.

Let the estimand be $\tau(\theta) = \sigma^2$.

$$b_\theta(x_1) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_1 - \mu)^2}$$

Assuming μ to be fixed (No that class of unbiased estimators of $\tau(\theta)$ under consideration is biased)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} \ln b_\theta(x_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_1 - \mu)^2 \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} (x_1 - \mu)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} \ln b_\theta(x_1) = \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} (x_1 - \mu)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow -E_0 \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} \ln b_\theta(x_1) \right] = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^6} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4}$$

\Rightarrow R.C. bound for an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ does not depend on μ

We know that $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ is the UMVUE

$\text{Var}_\theta(S^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} > \text{R.C. lower bound.}$

Note that for fixed μ $\hat{S}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$ is the UMVUE and

$$\text{Var}_0(\hat{S}^2) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} X_n^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \text{Var}(X_n^2)$$

$$= \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} = \text{R.C. lower bound}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{S}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$ is the UMVUE of σ^2 for fixed μ .

Generalizations of Rao-Cramer Theorem

$\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)'$: a $p \times 1$ vector

$\underline{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_q)'$: a $q \times 1$ vector

$$\text{Var}(\underline{X}) = \Sigma_{11}, \quad \text{Var}(\underline{Y}) = \Sigma_{22}, \quad \text{Cov}(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = \Sigma_{12}$$

so that Σ_{11} is a $p \times p$ n.n.d. matrix; Σ_{22} is a $q \times q$ n.n.d. matrix and Σ_{12} is a $p \times q$ matrix

For a fixed $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ - say suppose you want to predict $\underline{b}'\underline{Y}$ by $\underline{a}'\underline{X}$. We consider the Correlation Coefficient

$$\text{Corr}(\underline{a}'\underline{X}, \underline{b}'\underline{Y})$$

and choose \underline{a} which gives the maximum value of above Correlation Coefficient.

For a fixed $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ - say, define

$$P^* = \sup_{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \text{Corr}(\underline{a}'\underline{X}, \underline{b}'\underline{Y})$$

Theorem (a)

$$P^* = \frac{\sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \underline{b}}}{\sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_{22} \underline{b}}}$$

(b) The matrix $\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}$ is n.n.d.

Proof. (a) Let

$$\psi(\underline{a}) = \text{Corr}(\underline{a}'\underline{X}, \underline{b}'\underline{Y}) = \frac{\underline{a}' \Sigma_{12} \underline{b}}{\sqrt{\underline{a}' \Sigma_{11} \underline{a}} \sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_{22} \underline{b}}}$$

Note that,

$$\psi(\underline{a}) = \psi\left(\frac{\underline{a}}{\underline{a}'\Sigma_{11}\underline{a}}\right), \quad \forall \underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Thus for maximization of $\psi(\underline{a})$ wlog we may assume that $\underline{a}'\Sigma_{11}\underline{a} = 1$. Then maximization of $\psi(\underline{a})$ is equivalent to maximization of

$$\psi_1(\underline{a}) = \underline{a}'\Sigma_{12}\underline{b}$$

$$\text{Subject to } \underline{a}'\Sigma_{11}\underline{a} = 1.$$

For using the method of Lagrange's multiplier Consider the function

$$\psi_2(\underline{a}, \lambda) = \underline{a}'\Sigma_{12}\underline{b} - \lambda(\underline{a}'\Sigma_{11}\underline{a} - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial \underline{a}} = \Sigma_{12}\underline{b} - 2\lambda\Sigma_{11}\underline{a} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\lambda\underline{a} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\underline{b} \quad \dots \quad (i)$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial \lambda} = -(\underline{a}'\Sigma_{11}\underline{a} - 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{a}'\Sigma_{11}\underline{a} = 1$$

From (i) and (ii) we get

$$4\lambda^2 = \underline{b}'\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{11}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\underline{b} \quad (\Sigma_{21} = \Sigma_{12}')$$

$$= \underline{b}'\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\underline{b}$$

$$2\lambda = \pm \sqrt{\underline{b}'\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\underline{b}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{a} = \pm \frac{\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\underline{b}}{\sqrt{\underline{b}'\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\underline{b}}}$$

Since $P^* \geq 0$ ($\psi(\underline{a}) = -\psi(-\underline{a})$)

$\boxed{3/4}$

$$\rho^* = \frac{\underline{b}' \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \underline{b}}{\sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \underline{b}} \sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_{22} \underline{b}}}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \underline{b}}}{\sqrt{\underline{b}' \Sigma_{22} \underline{b}}}$$

(b) Since $\rho^2 \leq 1$, we have

$$\underline{b}' (\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}) \underline{b} \geq 0, \quad \forall \underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^v - \{0\}$$

$\Rightarrow \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}$ is n.n.d. □

Note:

$$\rho^* \geq \text{Cor}(X_i, \sum_{j=1}^v b_j Y_j), \quad \forall \underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^v.$$

Now let \underline{X} be a random vector with p.d.f./p.m.f. $b_{\underline{0}}(\cdot)$, where $\underline{0} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)' \in \mathbb{H} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$.

Regularity Conditions R_2 :

(a) \mathbb{H} is an open rectangle (finite, infinite or semi-infinite).

(b) $b_{\underline{0}}(\cdot)$, $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{H}$, have no common support so that, w.l.o.g., the set $A = \{\underline{x} : b_{\underline{0}}(\underline{x}) > 0\}$ is independent of $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{H}$.

(c) $\forall \underline{x} \in A$, $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{H}$ and $i=1, \dots, p$, the derivatives $\frac{\partial b_{\underline{0}}(\underline{x})}{\partial \theta_i}$ exist and are finite. Also, for $i=1, \dots, p$, $\int b_{\underline{0}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} = 1$ can be differentiated w.r.t. θ_i by differentiating under the integral sign so that

$$E_{\underline{0}} \left(\frac{\partial \ln b_{\underline{0}}(\underline{X})}{\partial \theta_i} \right) = \int \frac{\partial \ln b_{\underline{0}}(\underline{x})}{\partial \theta_i} b_{\underline{0}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} = 0, \quad \forall \underline{0} \in \mathbb{H}, \quad i=1, \dots, p$$

(d) the derivative w.r.t. each θ_j ($j=1, \dots, p$) of $\int \frac{\partial \ln b_{\underline{0}}(\underline{x})}{\partial \theta_i} b_{\underline{0}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} = 0$ can be obtained by differentiating under the integral sign.

□/4

For $c = 1, \dots, k$, define

$$I_{ij}(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right) \\ = \text{Cov}_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln b_{\theta}(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)$$

Let

$$I(\theta) = (I_{ij}(\theta)) \rightarrow \text{Fisher's Information matrix}$$

Note that $I(\theta)$ (being a variance-covariance matrix) is n.n.d.

In fact $I(\theta)$ is p.d. unless $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln b_{\theta}(x)$, $i=1, \dots, k$, are affinely dependent, i.e., \exists constants c_1, \dots, c_k not all

can zero such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln b_{\theta}(x) = 0.$$

Note that

$$\int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} b_{\theta}(x) dx = 0, \quad \forall i=1, \dots, k$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} b_{\theta}(x) dx = 0 \quad \forall i=1, \dots, k, j=1, \dots, k$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \int \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_c} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right) b_{\theta}(x) dx = 0, \quad \forall c=1, \dots, k$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_c} \ln b_{\theta}(x) b_{\theta}(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_c} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} b_{\theta}(x) \right) dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_c} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right] = - E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_c} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{ij}(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right] \\ = - E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right] \\ = \text{Cov}_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln b_{\theta}(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right), \\ i, j=1, \dots, k.$$

Theorem Let

$$b_0(x) = \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^p \eta_k(x) T_k(x) - B(\eta) \right] h(x), \quad x \in A,$$

where $\eta_i = E_{\theta} (T_i(x))$, $i=1, \dots, p$; here η_i, T_i and B are real-valued functions. Then

$$I(\theta) = [\text{Var}(I)]^{-1}.$$

Proof. On writing $b_{\theta}(x)$ in canonical form, we have the pdf/pdf

$$b_{\eta}(x) = \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^p \eta_i T_i(x) - A(\eta) \right] h(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ij}^*(\eta) &= E_{\eta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} \ln b_{\eta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j} \ln b_{\eta}(x) \right), \quad i, j=1, \dots, p \\ &= E_{\eta} \left(\left(T_i(x) - \frac{\partial A(\eta)}{\partial \eta_i} \right) \left(T_j(x) - \frac{\partial A(\eta)}{\partial \eta_j} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\int \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^p \eta_i T_i(x) - A(\eta) \right] h(x) dx = 1 \quad \forall \eta$$

On differentiating both sides w.r.t. η_j we get

$$E_{\eta} (T_j(x)) = \frac{\partial A(\eta)}{\partial \eta_j}, \quad j=1, \dots, p$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{ij}^*(\eta) = \text{Cov}(\text{Var}(T_i, T_j))$$

$$I_{ij}^* = (I_{i,j}^*) = \text{Var}(I).$$

$$I_{ij}^*(\eta) = E_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \right)$$

$$= E_{\theta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k} \ln b_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_i}, \sum_{l=1}^p \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_l} \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_j} \right)$$

$$= E_{\theta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_k} \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_l} \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_i} \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_j} \right), \quad i, j=1, \dots, p$$

33/4

Also

$$\theta_i = E_{\theta} [T_i(X)] = \int T_i(x) \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k T_k(x) - A(\eta) \right] h(x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial \eta_j} &= \int T_i(x) \left(T_j(x) - \frac{\partial A(\eta)}{\partial \eta_j} \right) \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k T_k(x) - A(\eta) \right] h(x) dx \\ &= \text{Cov}(T_i(X), T_j(X)) = \text{Cov}(T_j(X), T_i(X)) = \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial \eta_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{ij}^{-1}(\eta(\theta)) = E_{\theta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial \eta_k} \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_k} \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \eta_l} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{ij}^{-1}(\eta(\theta)) = \text{Var}(I) I(\theta) \text{Var}(I)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(I) \geq \text{Var}(I) I(\theta) \text{Var}(I)$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\theta) = [\text{Var}(I)]^{-1}$$

Theorem (Rao-Cramer Lower Bound). Let X be a random vector with p.d.f./p.m.f. $b_{\theta}(\cdot)$, where $\theta \in \Theta$. Under the regularity conditions R_1 let $\delta(X) = (\delta_1(X), \dots, \delta_m(X))'$ be an estimator of estimand $\psi(\theta) = (\psi_1(\theta), \dots, \psi_m(\theta))'$. Define

$$\text{where } J_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} E_{\theta}(\delta_i(X)), \quad \theta = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r, \quad i=1, \dots, m$$

$$\text{Then } \text{Var}_{\theta}(\delta) \geq J I^{-1}(\theta) J'$$

is n.n.d.

Proof.

Define

$$\underline{Y} = \left(\frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \ln b_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta_m} \right)$$

No that

$$\text{Var}_0(I) = \Sigma_{II} = I(\theta)$$

$$\Sigma_{22} = \text{Var}_0(\underline{\delta}(x)), \quad \text{say}$$

$$\Sigma_{21} = \text{Cov}_0(\underline{\delta}(x), \underline{y})$$

Then

$\Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}$ is n.n.d.

$$(\Sigma_{21})_{ij} = E_0 \left(\delta_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log b_0(x) \right)$$

$$= \int \delta_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log b_0(x) b_0(x) dx$$

$$= \int \delta_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} b_0(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\theta_j} \int \delta_i(x) b_0(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\theta_j} E_0(\delta_i(x)) = J_{ij}, \quad (i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_{21} = J$$

$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_0(\underline{\delta}(x)) - J I(\theta)^{-1} J$ is n.n.d.

Example Let x_1, \dots, x_n be i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$.
 Let $\psi(\theta) = (\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then for any unbiased estimator $\underline{\delta}(x) = (\delta_1(x), \delta_2(x))$ of $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It can be seen that

$$I(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2n}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}$$

35/4

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_{\theta}(\underline{\delta}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\sigma^2}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

u. h.n.d.

In particular

$$\text{Var}(\delta_1) \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \quad \text{Var}(\delta_2) \geq \frac{2\sigma^2}{n}$$

We know that \bar{X} is the UMVUE for μ and $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{X})^2$ is the UMVUE for σ^2 with

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\text{Var}(S^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} \geq \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$$

Example Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. $\text{Bin}(1, \theta)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$.
Let $\underline{\delta}(X) = (\delta_1(X), \delta_2(X), \delta_3(X))$ be an unbiased estimator of $\psi(\theta) = (\theta, 1-\theta, \theta(1-\theta))$. Then

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1-2\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that

$$I(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$\text{Var}(\underline{\delta}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1-2\theta \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \psi(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1-2\theta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{u. h.n.d.}$$

$$\text{E}[\text{Var}(\underline{\delta})] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} & -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} & \frac{\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)}{n} \\ -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} & \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} & -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)}{n} \\ \frac{\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)}{n} & -\frac{\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)}{n} & \frac{\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)^2}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

u. h.n.d.

In particular if δ_1, δ_2 and δ_3 are unbiased estimators of $\theta, 1-\theta$ and $\theta(1-\theta)$ respectively, then

$$\text{Var}(\delta_1) \geq \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} = \text{Var}(\bar{X})$$

$$\text{Var}(\delta_2) \geq \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n} = \text{Var}(1-\bar{X})$$

$$\text{Var}(\delta_3) \geq \frac{\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)^2}{n}$$

It follows that \bar{X} and $1-\bar{X}$ are the UMVUE of θ and $1-\theta$, respectively.

Also

$$\delta_3^* = \frac{T(n-T)}{n(n-1)} \text{ is the UMVUE of } \theta(1-\theta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}\left(\frac{T(n-T)}{n(n-1)}\right) \geq \frac{\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)^2}{n}$$

Assignment Problems

(1) Let $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of UMVUEs and T be a statistic with $E_\theta(T^2) < \infty$ such that $E_\theta(T_n - T)^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$. Show that T is also the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = E_\theta(T)$.

(2) If a sample consists of n i.i.d. observations show that the UMVUE, if it exists, is a permutation symmetric function of X_i 's.

(3) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $\underline{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2) \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ is unknown. Find the UMVUE of:
(i) $\mu + \sigma$; (ii) $\frac{\mu}{\sigma}$

(4) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the p.m.f.
 $f_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$, $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, \theta\}$, $\theta \geq 1$, otherwise, where θ is an unknown parameter.

(a) Find the UMVUE of θ when $\Theta \in \Theta_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$

(b) Find the UMVUE of θ , where $\Theta \in \Theta_2 = \Theta_1 - \{\theta = 1\}$, for some fixed positive integer θ_0

- (5) Let x_1, \dots, x_n be i.i.d. from a distribution in the family \mathcal{P} . Find an unbiased estimator $\hat{\psi}(\theta)$ of $\psi(\theta)$ in each of the following cases: (a) $\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{Bin}(n, \theta), 0 < \theta < 1 \}$, $\psi(\theta) = \theta^2$; (b) $\mathcal{P} = \{ N(\mu, \sigma^2), \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0 \}$, $\psi(\theta) = \mu^k$ for a positive integer k ; $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)$; (c) $\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{Bin}(n, \theta), 0 < \theta < 1 \}$, $\psi(\theta) = \sqrt{\theta}$; (d) $\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{Poisson}(\theta), \theta > 0 \}$, $\psi(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$

- (6) Let x_1, \dots, x_n be i.i.d. from a distribution in the family \mathcal{P} . Find the UMVUE in each of the following cases:

- (a) $\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{Bin}(n, \theta), 0 < \theta < 1 \}$, $\psi_1(\theta) = \theta^n$, $\psi_2(\theta) = \theta + (1-\theta)^{n-1}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is an integer;
- (b) $\mathcal{P} = \{ N(\mu, \sigma^2), 0 < \theta < 1 \}$, $\psi(\theta) = P_0(X=0)$
- (c) $f_0(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}$, $x > 0$, $\theta > 0$, $\psi(\theta) = P_0(X \leq t_0)$, where t_0 is a fixed real number.
- (d) $\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{Poisson}(\theta), \theta > 0 \}$, $\psi_1(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \theta^k$, for real constants c_0, \dots, c_k , $\psi_2(\theta) = (1-\theta)^{-1}$, $\psi_3(\theta) = \theta^n$, for some fixed integer $n > 0$, $\psi_4(\theta) = P_0(X \geq 0 \text{ or } X \geq 1)$, $\psi_5(\theta) = P_0(X \geq k)$, where k is a fixed positive integer;
- (e) $f_0(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$, if $x \in \{1, \dots, \theta\}$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Find the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta)$ for arbitrary real valued function $\psi(\cdot)$

- (7) In each of the following cases find the ^{lower} ~~UMVUE~~ $R-C$ bound for unbiased estimation of $\psi(\theta)$ based on a random sample x_1, \dots, x_n from a ~~family~~ \mathcal{P} .

- (a) $x_1 \sim N(\theta, 1)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, \theta > 1/2$;
- (b) $x_1 \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, \theta > 0.1$;
- (c) $x_1 \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, \theta > 1/2$;
- (d) $N(\mu_0, \theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, \theta > 1/2$, where μ_0 is known.

(8) Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a random sample from $U(0, \theta)$ distribution, where $\theta \in \Theta = (0, \infty)$. Find the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = \theta$.

(9) Let X be a sample of size 1 from $U(\theta-1, \theta+1)$ distribution where $\theta \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\psi(\cdot)$ be an arbitrary non-constant function. Show that there is no UMVUE of $\psi(\theta)$.

(10) Let x_1, \dots, x_n be iid $\text{Exp}(\theta)$, where $\theta \in \Theta = (0, \infty)$. Find the UMVUE of
 (a) θ^m , where $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a fixed integer;
 (b) $P_\theta(X_1 + \dots + X_m = k)$, where m and k are fixed positive integers $\leq n$;
 (c) $P_\theta(X_1 + \dots + X_{n-1} > X_n)$

(11) Let x_1, \dots, x_m be iid having the p.d.f $\frac{1}{\sigma_1} f\left(\frac{x-M_1}{\sigma_1}\right)$ and let y_1, \dots, y_n be iid having the p.d.f $\frac{1}{\sigma_2} f\left(\frac{x-M_2}{\sigma_2}\right)$, where M_1, M_2, σ_1 and σ_2 are unknown parameters.

(a) If $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$, $-\infty < x < \infty$, find the UMVUE of

(i) $\psi_1(\theta) = M_1 - M_2$ and $\psi_2(\theta) = \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}\right)^r$, where $\theta = (M_1, M_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty)^2$ is unknown and r is a fixed positive constant;

(ii) $\psi_1(\theta) = \sigma_1^2$ and $\psi_2(\theta) = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{\sigma_1}$, by assuming that $M_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $M_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \in (0, \infty)$ are unknown;

(iii) $\psi_1(\theta) = M_1$, by assuming that $M_1 = M_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $\sigma_2 > 0$ are unknown and $\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} = \delta$ is unknown.

(iv) $\psi_1(\theta) = P_\theta(X_1 \leq Y_1)$ by assuming that $M_1 = M_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $\sigma_2 > 0$ are unknown

(v) Repeat (i) under the assumption that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$

(b) Repeat (a) under $f(x) = e^{-x}$, $x > 0$.

(12) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $E(\mu, \sigma)$, where $\theta = (\mu, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ is unknown.

- (a) Find the UMVUE of μ , when σ is known;
 (b) Find the UMVUE of σ , when μ is known;
 (c) Find the UMVUE of μ and σ when both are unknown.
 (d) Assume that σ is known. Find the UMVUE of $P(X_1 \geq t_0)$ and $\frac{d}{dt} P(X_1 \geq t)$, for a fixed $t > 0$.

(13) Suppose that $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \sim \text{Mult}(n, p_1, \dots, p_k)$, where $p_i \in (0, 1)$, $i=1, \dots, k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$. For non-negative integers r_1, \dots, r_k with $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \leq n$, find the UMVUE of $p_1^{r_1} \dots p_k^{r_k}$.

(14) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid from $P \in \mathcal{P}$, where \mathcal{P} is the family of all symmetric c.d.f. with finite mean and Lebesgue p.d.f. on \mathbb{R} . Show that there is no UMVUE of $\mu = E(X_1)$.

(15) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $\text{Bin}(1, \theta)$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R} = (0, 1)$. Show that the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = \theta(1-\theta)$ does not attain the Rao-Cramer lower bound.

(16) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $\text{Exp}(\theta)$ r.v. where $\theta = E(X_1)$. Let $\psi_1(\theta) = \theta$, $\psi_2(\theta) = e^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}$ and $\psi_3(\theta) = \theta^2$. Find a R-C lower bound for unbiased estimator $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)'$ of $\underline{\psi}(\theta) = (\psi_1(\theta), \psi_2(\theta), \psi_3(\theta))'$.

(17) If δ_i is UMVUE of $\psi_i(\theta)$, $i=1, \dots, k$. Show that $\underline{\delta} = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \delta_i$ is the UMVUE of $\psi(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \psi_i(\theta)$, here $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ are fixed real constants.

(18) Let X_1, \dots, X_m be iid $N(\mu, \sigma_1^2)$ and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be iid $N(\mu, \sigma_2^2)$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $\sigma_2 > 0$ are unknown. Further assume that $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ and $\underline{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ are independent. Show that a UMVUE of μ does not exist.