

**MTH-418a: Inference-I**  
**2023-2024: II Semester**  
**Quiz II**

**Time Allowed: 45 Minutes**

**Maximum Marks: 25**

1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a population having the Lebesgue p.d.f.

$$f_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-(x-\theta)}, & \text{if } x > \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\theta \in \Theta = (-\infty, 0]$  is unknown. For testing  $H_0 : \theta \geq 0$  vs  $H_1 : \theta < 0$ , at  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  level of significance, show that the test

$$\phi^*(\underline{X}) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } X_{(1)} > 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } X_{(1)} < 0 \end{cases},$$

is an  $\text{UMP}(\alpha)$  test.

15 Marks

2. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from  $N(\theta, 1)$  distribution, where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (i) Find the Rao-Cramer lower bound on variances of unbiased estimators of  $g(\theta) = e^{-\theta}$ ;
- (ii) Find the UMVUE of  $g(\theta) = e^{-\theta}$ . Is the Rao-Cramer bound obtained in (i) above is attained by the variance of the UMVUE?

5+5 = Marks

MTH418A: Inference-I

2023-2024 - II Semester

Quiz-II

Model Solutions

**Problem No.1** First consider testing  $H_0: \theta=0$  vs  $H_1: \theta=\theta_1$ , where  $\theta_1 \in (-\infty, 0)$  is a fixed constant. We have

$$\frac{g_{\theta_1}(x)}{g_{\theta_0}(x)} = e^{\theta_1} \frac{I(x_{(1)} > \theta_1)}{I(x_{(1)} > 0)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \theta_1 < x_{(1)} < 0 \\ e^{\theta_1}, & \text{if } x_{(1)} > 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $c = e^{\theta_1}$ , a UMP( $\alpha$ ) test for testing  $H_0: \theta=0$  vs  $H_1: \theta=\theta_1$  is

$$\phi^*(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_{(1)} > 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } x_{(1)} < 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$E_0(\phi^*(x)) = \alpha, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \alpha = \alpha.$$

Thus a UMP( $\alpha$ ) test is

$$\phi^*(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_{(1)} < 0 \\ \alpha, & \text{if } x_{(1)} > 0 \end{cases}$$

**6 MARKS**

Note that the test  $\phi^*$  does not depend on the choice of  $\theta_1$ , as long as  $\theta_1 < 0$ . It follows that  $\phi^*$  is also UMP( $\alpha$ ) for testing  $H_0: \theta=0$  vs  $H_1: \theta < 0$ .

For  $\theta > 0$ , we have

... **3 MARKS**

$$E_\theta(\phi^*(x)) = P_\theta(x_{(1)} < 0) + \alpha P_\theta(x_{(1)} > 0) \\ = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{If } E_\theta(Q^*(x)) = \alpha \\ \theta > 0$$

$\Rightarrow \phi^*$  has level  $\alpha$

$\Rightarrow \phi^*$  is also valid for testing  $H_0: \theta \geq 0$  vs  
 $H_1: \theta < 0$

6 MARKS

Problem No. 2

(i) The joint pdf of  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is

$$g_\theta(\underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum (x_i - \theta)^2}, \quad \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\ln g_\theta(\underline{x}) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum (x_i - \theta)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln g_\theta(\underline{x}) = \sum (x_i - \theta) = n\bar{x} - n\theta$$

$$I(\theta) = V_\theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln g_\theta(\underline{x}) \right) = V_\theta(n\bar{x} - n\theta) = n$$

Rao-Cramer LB is

$$R(\theta) = \frac{(g'(\theta))^2}{I(\theta)} = \frac{e^{-2\theta}}{n}. \quad \dots$$

(ii)  $\bar{X}$  is a complete-sufficient statistic,  $\bar{X} \sim N(\theta, \frac{1}{n})$

$$M_x(t) = E(e^{t\bar{X}}) = e^{nt + \frac{t^2}{2}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow E_\theta(e^{t\bar{X}}) = e^{-\theta}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_\theta(\underline{x}) = e^{-(\bar{X} + \frac{1}{2n})} \text{ is the MLE of } e^{-\theta}. \quad \dots$$

We know that bound in exponential family (to which normal distribution family belongs) is

2/3

attached (66)

$$g_0(\Delta) = e^{\frac{n(\theta) S_0(\Delta) - B(\theta)}{h(\Delta)}} \\ = e^{\frac{n(\theta) e^{-(\bar{x} + \frac{1}{2n})} - B(\theta)}{h(\Delta)}}$$

But

$$g_0(\Delta) = e^{\frac{n(\theta) - n(\theta)^2}{2}} \times \frac{e^{-\frac{\sum m^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \dots$$

2 MARKS

$\Rightarrow$  R-c bound is not attained

All right

$$V_0(S_0) = V_0(e^{-\frac{1}{2n}} e^{-\bar{x}}) = e^{-\frac{1}{n}} V_0(e^{-\bar{x}})$$

$$= e^{-\frac{1}{n}} [E_0(e^{-2\bar{x}}) - (E_0(e^{-\bar{x}}))^2]$$

$$\therefore = e^{-\frac{1}{n}} [e^{-2\theta} e^{\frac{2}{n}} - (e^{-\theta} e^{\frac{1}{2n}})^2]$$

$$= (e^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1) e^{-2\theta} > \frac{e^{-2\theta}}{n}$$

2 MARKS

$\Rightarrow$  R-c bound is not attained.