

EXAMPLE ON LAGRANGEAN

Maximize $g(x) = 3x_1 x_2^2$
 s.t. $h(x) = x_1 + x_2 = 3$

Naive way

$$x_1 = 3 - x_2$$

$$\therefore g(x) = 3(3-x_2)x_2^2 = 9x_2^2 - 3x_2^3$$

$$\frac{dg}{dx_2} = 18x_2 - 9x_2^2 = 0$$

$$9x_2(2-x_2) = 0; \quad x_2^* = 2, x_1^* = 1$$

AND $g(x^*) = 12$

LAGRANGEAN

$$\mathcal{L} = 3x_1 x_2^2 - \lambda(x_1 + x_2 - 3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} &= 3x_2^2 - \lambda &= 0 \\ \textcircled{2} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_2} &= 6x_1 x_2 - \lambda &= 0 \\ \textcircled{3} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= x_1 + x_2 - 3 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

FROM $\textcircled{1}$ & $\textcircled{2}$
 $3x_2^2 = 6x_1 x_2$
 or $x_2 = 2x_1$
 Using $\textcircled{3}$
 $x_1^* = 1, x_2^* = 2, g(x^*) = 12$
 $\lambda^* = 12$

LETS SEE WHAT HAPPENS IF THE CONSTRAINT
 $x_1 + x_2 = 3$ IS CHANGED TO $x_1 + x_2 = 3.1$

$\textcircled{1}$ & $\textcircled{2}$ remain unchanged. Hence $x_2 = 2x_1$
 From the new constraint $x_1 + 2x_1 = 3.1$

Hence $x_1^* = \frac{3.1}{3}; x_2^* = \frac{6.2}{3}$

$$g(x^*) = 3 \times \frac{3.1}{3} \times \frac{(6.2)^2}{3^2} = 13.2$$

NOTE $13.2 = 12 \times 0.1 + 12$

↑ New optimum
↑ Earlier optimum
↑ Increase in RHS of constraint
↑ Earlier value of λ^*

λ^* GIVES THE SENSITIVITY OF OPTIMUM VALUE TO
 CHANGES IN RHS OF CONSTRAINT