

Lecture 5

As mentioned earlier, evaluating a determinant from the definition is not easy. We will derive two more properties of the determinant which will provide an inductive method for computing $n \times n$ determinants.

Lemma: Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} B & \begin{matrix} a_{1n} \\ a_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{nn} \end{matrix} \\ \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$ where $B = (a_{ij})_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$. Then $|A| = |B|$.

Proof: By definition $|A| = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \dots a_{n\sigma(n)}$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n, \sigma(n)=n} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \dots a_{n\sigma(n)} \quad (\because \sigma(n) \neq n \text{ then } a_{n\sigma(n)} = 0)$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n, \sigma(n)=n} (\text{sign } \sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \dots a_{(n-1)\sigma(n-1)}$$

$$= |B| \quad (\because S_{n-1} = \{ \sigma \in S_n : \sigma(n) = n \})$$

Theorem: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and let A_{ij} denote the matrix obtained by removing the i th row and the j th column of A . Then

$$|A| = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} |A_{ij}|$$

Proof: Fix an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Define

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{i1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{i2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \dots, \quad A_n = \dots$$

Note that $a_{ij} = (A)_{ij} = (A_1)_{ij} + (A_2)_{ij} + \dots + (A_n)_{ij}$ and other rows of matrices A, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are same. Hence

by (P4), $|A| = \sum_{j=1}^n |A_j|$.

claim: $|A_j| = (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} |A_{ij}|$, where A_{ij} is as defined in the statement of the result.

Note that

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1j} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & a_{ij} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nj} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{operations}]{\substack{\text{after} \\ (n-i) \text{ row \& } \\ (n-j) \text{ column}}} \begin{pmatrix} & & & & * \\ & & & & * \\ & & A_{ij} & & * \\ & & & & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow |A_j| = (-1)^{2n-i-j} \begin{vmatrix} A_{ij} & * \\ 0 & a_{ij} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} \begin{vmatrix} A_{ij} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ = (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} |A_{ij}| \text{ (by previous lemma).}$$

Determinant method of finding inverse:

Take some $k \neq i$ and obtain the matrix B by replacing the i th row of A with the k th row of A (by keeping the k th row as it is). Then we get, $\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{kj} |A_{ij}| = |B| = 0$ (as two rows of B are same).

So, if we set $c_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} |A_{ij}|$, then we have the following n equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} c_{ij} = |A| \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} c_{ij} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \dots & a_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{i1} \\ c_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ c_{in} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ |A| \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \dots & a_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{i1} & \dots & c_{n1} \\ c_{12} & \dots & c_{i2} & \dots & c_{n2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{1n} & \dots & c_{in} & \dots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = |A| I_n$$

i.e. $A (c_{ij})^t = |A| I_n$, the matrix (c_{ij}) is called the matrix of cofactor of A

The matrix $(C_{ij})^t$ is called the (classical) adjoint (or adjunct) of A and it is denoted by $\text{Adj}A$. Thus

$$A(\text{Adj}A) = |A| I_n.$$

Therefore the following result is immediate from this fact.

Theorem: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. If A is invertible, then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{|A|} (\text{Adj}A).$$

Cramer's Rule for solving system of linear equations:

The following result is about solvability of a system of linear equations.

Theorem: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $|A| \neq 0$

2. A is invertible

3. $Ax = b$ has a unique solution for every ($n \times 1$ matrix) b

4. $Ax = b$ has a solution for every b

Proof: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): we have already seen the proofs of these implications.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): For b , choose $x = A^{-1}b$ and note that $Ax = A(A^{-1}b) = b$ and $A^{-1}b$ has to be ^{the} only solution for $Ax = b$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (2): For $b_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ - 1 at the i th place, $\exists v_i$ s.t. $Av_i = b_i$.

Hence $AB = I_n$, where $B = (v_1 v_2 \dots v_n)$.

Cor: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then the following are equivalent:

1. A is invertible

2. $Ax = 0$ has only the trivial solution $x = 0$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from the previous result.

(5/4)

(2) \Rightarrow [(3) of the previous result]: Suppose $Au_1 = Au_2 = b$ for some b and $u_1 \neq u_2$. Then $A(u_1 - u_2) = 0$ where $u_1 - u_2 \neq 0$ which is a contradiction.

Cramer's Rule: Let $Ax = b$ be a system of n linear equations in n unknowns s.t. $|A| \neq 0$. Then the system has a unique solution given by

$$x_j = \frac{|C_j|}{|A|}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

where C_j is the matrix obtained from A by replacing the j th column with the column matrix $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)^t$.

Proof: If $|A| \neq 0$, then A is invertible and $x = A^{-1}b$ is the unique solution of $Ax = b$,

$$\text{i.e., } x = \frac{1}{|A|} (\text{adj } A) b$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x_j &= \frac{1}{|A|} b_1 C_{1j} + b_2 C_{2j} + \dots + b_n C_{nj} \\ &= \frac{|C_j|}{|A|} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$