

*STRONGLY PROXIMAL SUBSPACES OF FINITE  
CODIMENSION IN  $C(K)$*

BY

S. DUTTA (Beer-Sheva and Kolkata) and DARAPANENI NARAYANA (Bangalore)

**Abstract.** We characterize strongly proximal subspaces of finite codimension in  $C(K)$  spaces. We give two applications of our results. First, we show that the metric projection on a strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension in  $C(K)$  is Hausdorff metric continuous. Second, strong proximality is a transitive relation for finite-codimensional subspaces of  $C(K)$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  a closed subspace of  $X$ . The *metric projection* of  $X$  onto  $Y$  is the set-valued map defined by  $P_Y(x) = \{y \in Y : \|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, Y)\}$  for  $x \in X$ . If for every  $x \in X$ ,  $P_Y(x) \neq \emptyset$ , we say that  $Y$  is a *proximal subspace* of  $X$ .

For a Banach space  $X$ , we denote the closed unit ball and the unit sphere by  $B_X$  and  $S_X$  respectively. We restrict ourselves to real scalars. All subspaces we consider are assumed to be closed.

In [7] and [8], G. Godefroy, V. Indumathi and F. Lust-Piquard studied the following stronger version of proximality.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $Y$  be a closed subspace in a Banach space  $X$  and  $x \in X$ . For  $\delta > 0$ , consider the set

$$P_Y(x, \delta) = \{y \in Y : \|x - y\| < d(x, Y) + \delta\}.$$

A proximal subspace  $Y$  is said to be *strongly proximal* at  $x \in X$  if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$P_Y(x, \delta) \subseteq P_Y(x) + \varepsilon B_Y.$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for strong proximality of a finite-codimensional subspace  $Y$  in a Banach space  $X$  are given in [7]. To describe those results we need the notions of SSD-points and QP-points.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 41A65, 46B20.

*Key words and phrases*: strong proximality, SSD-points, QP-points, Hausdorff metric continuous.

Research of S. Dutta was supported in part by the Institute for Advanced Studies in Mathematics at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev.

DEFINITION 1.2.

- (a) Let  $X$  be a Banach space. The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is said to be *strongly subdifferentiable* (for short SSD) at  $x \in X$  if the one-sided limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x + th\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists uniformly for  $h \in S_X$ .

We say that  $x$  is an *SSD-point* of  $X$  if the norm is SSD at  $x$ . Recall that the *duality map*  $J_{X^*}$  of  $X$  is defined as

$$J_{X^*}(x) = \{g \in B(X^*) : g(x) = \|x\|\} \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

In [4], it was shown that  $x$  is an SSD-point if and only if the duality map  $J_{X^*}$  is (norm-norm) upper semicontinuous at  $x$ , that is, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$J_{X^*}(z) \subseteq J_{X^*}(x) + \varepsilon B_{X^*} \quad \text{if } \|z - x\| < \delta, \|z\| = \|x\|.$$

- (b) We say that  $x$  is a *QP-point* of  $X$  if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$J_{X^*}(z) \subseteq J_{X^*}(x) \quad \text{if } \|z - x\| < \delta, \|z\| = \|x\|.$$

It was shown in [7, Lemma 3.3] that QP-points are SSD-points but the converse is not true.

The following two propositions describe the connections between strongly proximal subspaces of finite codimension and QP- and SSD-points.

PROPOSITION 1.3 ([7]). *Let  $Y$  be a finite-codimensional subspace of a Banach space  $X$ . If  $Y$  is strongly proximal then  $Y^\perp$  is contained in the set of SSD-points of  $X^*$ .*

It remains an open question if the converse of Proposition 1.3 is true. However, we have the following result. Recall that a finite-dimensional Banach space  $E$  is called *polyhedral* if  $B_E$  has finitely many extreme points.

PROPOSITION 1.4 ([7]). *Let  $Y$  be a finite-codimensional subspace of a Banach space  $X$  such that  $Y^\perp$  is contained in the set of QP-points of  $X^*$ . Then  $Y^\perp$  is polyhedral and  $Y$  is strongly proximal.*

In Theorem 2.1 of Section 2 we show that in  $C(K)^*$ ,  $K$  a compact Hausdorff space, SSD-points and QP-points coincide and they are precisely the finitely supported measures on  $K$ . As a corollary, a finite-codimensional subspace  $Y$  of  $C(K)$  is strongly proximal if and only if  $Y^\perp$  is contained in the set of SSD-points of  $C(K)^*$ .

Section 3 contains two applications of our results from Section 2. The first one is a continuity property of the metric projection  $P_Y$ , where  $Y$  is a strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension in  $C(K)$ . We will need the following definitions.

DEFINITION 1.5. Suppose  $Y$  is a proximal subspace of a Banach space  $X$ .

- (a)  $P_Y$  is called *lower semicontinuous* at  $x \in X$  if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $y_0 \in P_Y(x)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $z$  satisfying  $\|z - x\| < \delta$ , one can find  $y \in P_Y(z)$  such that  $\|y - y_0\| < \varepsilon$ .
- (b)  $P_Y$  is called *lower Hausdorff semicontinuous* (henceforth LHsc) at  $x$  if it is lower semicontinuous at  $x$  and the  $\delta$  in (a) above can be chosen independent of  $y_0 \in P_Y(x)$ . Equivalently,  $P_Y$  is LHsc at  $x$  if for any  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,

$$\sup\{d(y, P_Y(x_n)) : y \in P_Y(x)\} \rightarrow 0.$$

- (c)  $P_Y$  is called *upper Hausdorff semicontinuous* (henceforth uHsc) at  $x$  if given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $z$  satisfying  $\|z - x\| < \delta$  we have  $P_Y(z) \subseteq P_Y(x) + \varepsilon B_Y$ .
- (d)  $P_Y$  is called *Hausdorff metric continuous* at  $x$  if it is continuous as a single-valued map from  $X$  to  $2^Y$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric defined by

$$h(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} \text{dist}(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} \text{dist}(y, A)\}, \quad A, B \in 2^Y.$$

REMARK 1.6.

- (a) It is a simple consequence of the definition that if  $Y$  is a strongly proximal subspace then  $P_Y$  is uHsc.
- (b) If  $Y$  is proximal in  $X$ , then  $P_Y$  is Hausdorff metric continuous if and only if  $P_Y$  is both LHsc and uHsc.
- (c) Sometimes in the literature (see [2, 11]) lower Hausdorff semicontinuity is referred to as strong lower semicontinuity.

In [11] it was shown that if  $X \subseteq c_0$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  is a strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension in  $X$ , then  $P_Y$  is Hausdorff metric continuous. A more general result was obtained in [2], where the authors showed that if  $X$  is a Banach space with property (\*) (see [2, 3] for the definition) and  $Y \subseteq X$  is a proximal subspace of finite codimension, then  $P_Y$  is LHsc. By [2], every separable polyhedral space has a renorming with property (\*). In particular, if  $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$  is a countable ordinal then the space  $C(\omega^\alpha)$  is an  $\ell_1$ -predual and hence isomorphically polyhedral space. Thus  $C(\omega^\alpha)$  has a renorming with property (\*) (see [5]).

As a first application of our results, we show in Section 3 that if  $Y$  is a strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension in  $C(K)$ , then  $P_Y$  is Hausdorff metric continuous.

A second application is to show that the relation of being a strongly proximal subspace is transitive for finite-codimensional subspaces in  $C(K)$ . In particular, we show that if  $Y$  and  $M$  are finite-codimensional subspaces

in  $C(K)$  such that  $Y \subseteq M \subseteq X$ ,  $Y$  is strongly proximal in  $M$  and  $M$  is strongly proximal in  $C(K)$ , then  $Y$  is strongly proximal in  $C(K)$ . A similar result for proximal subspaces of finite codimension in subspaces of  $c_0$  was established in [10]. However, in [1], the authors constructed an example to show that the transitivity of proximal subspaces of finite codimension in  $C(K)$  fails in general.

**2. Strongly proximal subspaces of  $C(K)$ .** The following theorem is our main result in this section.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mu \in C(K)^*$  with  $\|\mu\| = 1$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mu$  is finitely supported.
- (b)  $\mu$  is a QP-point.
- (c)  $\mu$  is an SSD-point.

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). We write  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{k_i}$  where  $k_i \in K$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| = 1$ . If  $F \in J_{C(K)^{**}}(\mu)$  then  $F(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{k_i}(F) = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|$ . It follows that  $F \in J_{C(K)^{**}}(\mu)$  if and only if  $\delta_{k_i}(F) = \text{sign}(\alpha_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $\nu \in S_{C(K)^*}$  be such that  $\|\mu - \nu\| < \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon = \min\{|\alpha_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Then  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are atoms of  $\nu$  and  $\text{sign}(\alpha_i) = \text{sign}(\nu(k_i))$ .

Now let  $G \in J_{C(K)^{**}}(\nu)$ . We claim  $\delta_{k_i}(G) = \text{sign}(\nu(k_i)) = \text{sign}(\alpha_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Indeed, assume  $\delta_{k_i}(G) \neq \text{sign}(\nu(k_i))$  for some  $i$ . We can write  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(k_i) \delta_{k_i} + \nu_1$  where  $\nu_1 = \nu|_{K \setminus \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}}$ . But then  $G(\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(k_i) \delta_{k_i}(G) + G(\nu_1) < \sum_{i=1}^n |\nu(k_i)| |\delta_{k_i}(G)| + |G(\nu_1)| = 1$ . This contradicts  $G \in J_{C(K)^{**}}(\nu)$ . Thus  $\delta_{k_i}(G) = \text{sign}(\alpha_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and hence  $G \in J_{C(K)^{**}}(\mu)$ . This proves  $\mu$  is a QP-point.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Follows from [7, Lemma 3.3].

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$  be the Jordan decomposition of  $\mu$ . Then  $|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$  is a probability measure and  $\text{supp}(|\mu|) = \text{supp}(\mu)$ . It is now straightforward to verify that  $|\mu|$  is an SSD-point if and only if  $\mu$  is. Thus without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mu$  is a probability measure.

We first note that if  $f \in J_{C(K)}(\mu)$  then  $f = 1$  on  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ .

Suppose  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  is not finite. By regularity of  $\mu$  we can find a decreasing sequence  $\{V_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of open sets in  $K$  such that  $\mu(V_n) > 0$  and  $\lim_n \mu(V_n) = 0$ . Let  $\mu_n = \mu_{K \setminus V_n} / \|\mu_{K \setminus V_n}\|$ . Then  $\|\mu_n\| = 1$  and  $\|\mu - \mu_n\| = \mu(V_n) / \|\mu_{K \setminus V_n}\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Fix  $x_n \in V_n \cap \text{supp}(\mu)$ . Let  $f_n : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be such that  $f_n(x_n) = 0$  and  $f_n = 1$  on  $K \setminus V_n$ . Then  $f_n \in J_{C(K)}(\mu_n)$  but  $\|f_n - f\| = 1$ . This contradicts  $\mu$  being an SSD-point. ■

REMARK 2.2.

- (a) Recall that  $x^* \in S_{X^*}$  is called a *point of (norm-weak) upper semicontinuity of the preduality map* of  $X^*$  if given any weak neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $B_X$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $y^* \in S_{X^*}$  satisfies  $\|x^* - y^*\| < \delta$ , then  $J_X(y^*) \subseteq J_X(x^*) + V$ . It was proved in [6] that an SSD-point of a dual space attains its norm and is a point of (norm-weak) upper semicontinuity of the preduality map. Now if  $\mu \in C(K)^*$  with  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  uncountable then we can actually show that  $\mu$  is not even point of (norm-weak) upper semicontinuity of the preduality map of  $C(K)^*$ . To see this, first note that  $\mu$  is not a purely atomic measure. Thus there exists  $k \in \text{supp}(\mu)$  such that  $\mu(k) = 0$ . Let  $f_n \in S_{C(K)}$  be such that  $f_n(k) = 0$  for all  $n$  and  $\mu(f_n) \rightarrow 1$ . Set  $V = \{g \in B_{C(K)} : g(k) < 1/2\}$ . We have  $f_n \notin J_{C(K)}(\mu) + V$  for all  $n$ . By [6, Lemma 2.1], the preduality map is not (norm-weak) upper semicontinuous at  $\mu$ .
- (b) If  $K$  is countably compact, it is not true in general that if  $\mu$  is a point of (norm-weak) upper semicontinuity of the preduality map of  $C(K)^*$  then  $\mu$  is a finitely supported measure. For example, consider the space of convergent sequences  $c$  and  $\mu = (1/2^n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_1$ . Then  $J_c(\mu) = J_{\ell_\infty}(\mu) = (1, 1, \dots)$  and by [6, Theorem 2.3],  $\mu$  is a point of (norm-weak) upper semicontinuity of the preduality map of  $\ell_1$ .

We can now describe strongly proximal subspaces of finite codimension in  $C(K)$ .

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let  $Y$  be a finite-codimensional subspace in  $C(K)$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  *$Y$  is strongly proximal.*  
 (b) *Every closed subspace  $Z$  of finite codimension with  $Y \subseteq Z \subseteq X$  is strongly proximal.*  
 (c) *Every hyperplane containing  $Y$  is strongly proximal.*  
 (d)  $Y^\perp \subseteq \{f \in X^* : f \text{ is an SSD-point of } X^*\} = \{f \in X^* : f \text{ is an QP-point of } X^*\}.$

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Since  $Y$  is strongly proximal,  $Y^\perp \subseteq \text{SSD-points of } X^*$  by Proposition 1.3. By the equivalence of (b) and (c) in Theorem 2.1,  $Y^\perp \subseteq \text{QP-points of } X^*$ . Since  $Z^\perp \subseteq Y^\perp$  the result follows from Proposition 1.4.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Follows trivially.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d). Follows from Proposition 1.3 and the equivalence of (b) and (c) in Theorem 2.1.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Follows from Proposition 1.4. ■

**3. Applications.** Our first application is the following result on continuity of the metric projection.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $Y$  be a strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension in  $C(K)$ . Then  $P_Y$  is Hausdorff metric continuous.*

We need to fix some notation for which we closely follow [11].

Let  $Y$  be a proximal subspace of codimension  $n$  in a Banach space  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , set  $Q_Y(x) = x - P_Y(x)$ . For  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subseteq Y^\perp$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$  we define

$$Q_{f_1, \dots, f_k}(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \{z \in B_X : f_i(z) = f_i(x)\}.$$

Note that  $Q_Y(x) \subseteq Q_{f_1, \dots, f_k}(x)$ , and if  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  is a basis of  $Y^\perp$  then  $Q_Y(x) = Q_{f_1, \dots, f_n}(x)$ .

Let  $E$  be an  $n$ -dimensional polyhedral space and  $\Phi \in S_E$ . Consider the sets

$$A_\Phi = \{f \in B_{E^*} : f(\Phi) = 1\}, \quad C_\Phi = \{f \in \text{ext } B_{E^*} : f(\Phi) = 1\}.$$

Then  $C_\Phi$  is a finite set and  $\bigcap_{f \in A_\Phi} J_E(f) = \bigcap_{f \in C_\Phi} J_E(f)$ . Let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , be a maximal linearly independent subset of  $C_\Phi$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k J_E(f_i)$  is a minimal face of  $B_E$  containing  $x$ .

Let  $D(Y) = \{x \in X : \text{dist}(x, Y) = 1\}$ .

**DEFINITION 3.2.** Suppose  $x \in D(Y)$  and there exists a maximal independent set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subseteq S_{Y^\perp}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , such that

$$Q_{f_1, \dots, f_k}(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k J_X(f_i).$$

Then we say  $x$  is a  $k$ -corner point with respect to  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ .

We summarize the above discussion in the following lemma. Note that if  $Y^\perp$  is polyhedral, then so is  $X/Y$ .

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $Y$  be a proximal subspace of codimension  $n$  in  $X$ . Suppose  $Y^\perp$  is polyhedral and  $x \in D(Y)$ . Then there exists a maximal independent set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subseteq S_{Y^\perp}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , such that  $x$  is a  $k$ -corner point with respect to  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ .*

The following result was proved in [11]. Though it is stated for Hausdorff metric continuity of  $P_Y$ , it is evident from the proof given there that it is valid for both lHsc and uHsc.

**THEOREM 3.4** ([11, Theorem 3.10]). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  a proximal subspace of codimension  $n$  in  $X$  with  $Y^\perp$  polyhedral. Assume that whenever  $x \in D(Y)$  is a  $k$ -corner point with respect to a set of linearly*

independent functionals  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  in  $Y^\perp$  for some positive integer  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , then the map  $Q_{f_1, \dots, f_k}$  is Hausdorff metric continuous at  $x$ . Then the metric projection  $P_Y$  is Hausdorff metric continuous on  $X$ .

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.1. We separate out the following simple lemma from the proof of Theorem 2.1.

LEMMA 3.5. Suppose  $\mu \in S_{C(K)^*}$  is given by  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{k_i}$ .

- (a) If  $f \in J_{C(K)}(\mu)$  then  $f(k_i) = \text{sign}(\alpha_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (b) If  $f_n \in B_{C(K)}$  are such that  $\mu(f_n) \rightarrow 1$  then  $f_n(k_i) \rightarrow \text{sign}(\alpha_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* By Remark 1.6(a),  $P_Y$  is uHsc. Thus by Remark 1.6(b) we only need to show that  $P_Y$  is lHsc. Also, scaling by the norm of  $z \in X$ , it is evident that  $P_Y$  is lHsc at  $z$  if and only if  $P_Y$  is lHsc at every  $x \in D(Y)$ .

Let  $x \in D(Y)$ . Since  $Y$  is strongly proximal it follows from Corollary 2.3 that  $Y^\perp \subseteq \text{QP-points of } C(K)^*$ . By Proposition 1.4,  $Y^\perp$  is polyhedral. Thus Lemma 3.3 implies there exists a maximal linearly independent set  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m\} \subseteq S_{Y^\perp}$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , such that  $x$  is an  $m$ -corner point with respect to  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m\}$ . By Theorem 3.4, it is enough to prove that  $Q_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}$  is lHsc at  $x$ .

By Theorem 2.1, for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\text{supp}(\mu_j)$  is a finite set, say  $\bigcup_{j=1}^m \text{supp}(\mu_j) = \{k_1, \dots, k_l\}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , we choose a neighborhood  $\theta_i$  of  $k_i$  such that  $\theta_i \cap \theta_j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and  $x_n \in D(Y)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Suppose  $y \in Q_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(x)$ . We need to produce an  $n_0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$ , there exists  $v_n \in Q_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(x_n)$  such that  $\|v_n - y\| < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $Q_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m J_{C(K)}(x)$ , it follows from Lemma 3.5 that if  $k_i \in \text{supp}(\mu_j)$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, m$  then  $y(k_i) = \text{sign}(\mu_j(k_i))$ .

Fix  $z_n \in Q_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(x_n)$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , we have  $\mu_j(z_n) \rightarrow 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Thus by Lemma 3.5, there exists  $n_0$  such that  $|z_n(k_i) - \text{sign}(\mu_j)(k_i)| < \varepsilon/2$  whenever  $k_i \in \text{supp}(\mu_j)$ .

We define further neighborhoods  $B_i$  of  $k_i$  as follows:

$$B_i = \begin{cases} \theta_i \cap \{s \in K : y(s) > 1 - \varepsilon\} & \text{if } y(k_i) = 1, \\ \theta_i \cap \{s \in K : y(s) < -1 + \varepsilon\} & \text{if } y(k_i) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $v'_n \in B_{C(K)}$  be such that

$$v'_n(k) = \begin{cases} z_n(k) & \text{if } k \in \{k_1, \dots, k_l\}, \\ y(k) & \text{if } k \in K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i. \end{cases}$$

Then set

$$v''_n = v'_n \wedge (y + \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad v_n = v''_n \vee (y - \varepsilon).$$

Then  $v_n(k_i) = x_n(k_i)$  and by construction  $v_n \in Q_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m}(x_n)$ . Also, it is straightforward to verify that  $\|v_n - y\| < \varepsilon$  for  $n > n_0$ . This completes the proof.

REMARK 3.6. Recall that a proximal subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  is called a *Chebyshev subspace* if  $P_Y(x)$  is single-valued for each  $x \in X$ . Clearly, if  $Y$  is a Chebyshev subspace of  $X$  then the Hausdorff metric continuity of  $P_Y$  amounts to the continuity of  $P_Y$  in the usual sense. R. R. Phelps in [13] constructed a Chebyshev subspace  $Y$  in  $C(K)$  with  $\text{codim } Y = 2$  for some extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space  $K$ . However, a result of P. D. Morris (see [12, Theorem 4]) states that if  $Y$  is a Chebyshev subspace of finite codimension greater than one in  $C(K)$ , then  $P_Y$  cannot be continuous. Thus the strong proximality condition in Theorem 3.1 cannot be replaced by  $Y$  being proximal (even Chebyshev) in  $C(K)$ .

Our next application of Theorem 2.1 is to show that strong proximality is transitive for finite-codimensional subspaces of  $C(K)$ . We begin by the following lemma which is a simple consequence of the definition of an SSD-point in terms of one-sided differentiability mentioned in the introduction.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be two closed subspaces of a Banach space  $X$  such that  $X = Y \oplus_{\ell_1} Z$  and  $x \in X$ . Let  $x = y + z$  where  $y \in Y$ ,  $z \in Z$ . Then  $x$  is an SSD-point in  $X$  if and only if both  $y$  and  $z$  are SSD-points in the respective subspaces.*

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let  $Y$  be a strongly proximal subspace of finite codimension in  $C(K)$ . Suppose  $\mu \in C(K)^*$  attains its norm on  $Y$  and  $\mu|_Y = F$  is an SSD-point of  $Y^*$ . Then  $\mu$  is an SSD-point of  $C(K)^*$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the codimension of  $Y$  is  $n$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  span  $Y^\perp$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $\text{supp}(\mu_i)$  is a finite set for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . So  $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(\mu_i)$  is finite.

Let  $J = \{h \in C(K) : h|_D = 0\}$ . Then  $J$  is an  $M$ -ideal of finite codimension in  $C(K)$ . Observe that  $J \subseteq Y \subseteq C(K)$  and by [9, Corollary I.1.19],  $J$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $Y$  as well.

By [9, Example I.1.4(a)], there exists a subspace  $N \subseteq C(K)^*$  isometric to  $J^*$  such that  $C(K)^* = J^\perp \oplus_{\ell_1} N$ . Similarly, we can write  $Y^* = J_1^\perp \oplus_{\ell_1} M$  where  $J_1^\perp$  is  $J^\perp$  for  $J$  considered as a subspace of  $Y$ , and  $M \subseteq Y^*$  is isometric to  $J^*$ .

We write  $F = F_1 + F_2$ , where  $F_1 \in J_1^\perp$ ,  $F_2 \in M$ . Since  $F$  is an SSD-point of  $Y^*$ , by Lemma 3.7,  $F_1, F_2$  are SSD-points in the respective summands. Since SSD-points of a dual Banach space are norm attaining (see [6]), it follows that  $F_2$  attains its norm on  $J$ .



Now write  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  where  $\mu_1 \in J^\perp$ ,  $\mu_2 \in N$ . The support of  $\mu_1$  is contained in  $D$ , and thus  $\mu_1$  is finitely supported. Hence by Theorem 2.1,  $\mu_1$  is an SSD-point of  $J^\perp$ . It remains to show that  $\mu_2$  is an SSD-point in  $N$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $\|\mu_2\| = 1$ . Since  $\mu_2|_J = F_2|_J$ ,  $\mu_2|_J$  attains its norm on  $J$ .

If  $\mu_2$  is not an SSD-point of  $N$ , then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\nu_n \in C(K)^*$  with  $\|\nu_n\| = \|\nu_n|_J\| = 1$  and  $h_n \in J$  such that  $\|\nu_n - \mu_2\| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\nu_n(h_n) = 1$  but  $\text{dist}(h_n, \{x \in J : \mu_2(x) = 1\}) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $n$ .

But  $\mu_2(x) = F_2(x)$  for all  $x \in J$  and  $\|\nu_n|_Y - F_2\| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\text{dist}(h_n, \{x \in J : F_2(x) = 1\}) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $n$ . This contradicts  $F_2$  being an SSD-point in  $M$ . ■

**COROLLARY 3.9.** *Let  $Y \subseteq C(K)$  be a subspace of finite codimension and  $M$  a subspace of  $C(K)$  such that  $Y \subseteq M \subseteq C(K)$ . If  $Y$  is strongly proximal in  $M$  and  $M$  is strongly proximal in  $C(K)$ , then  $Y$  is strongly proximal in  $C(K)$ . In other words, strong proximality is transitive for finite-codimensional subspaces of  $C(K)$ .*

*Proof.* Considering  $Y$  as a subspace of  $M$ , by Proposition 1.3, we know that  $Y^\perp$  is contained in the set of SSD-points of  $M^*$ . Since  $M$  is strongly proximal, by Proposition 3.8,  $Y^\perp$  is contained in the set of SSD-points of  $C(K)^*$ . The conclusion follows from Corollary 2.3. ■

**Acknowledgements.** The first named author would like to thank Professor Vladimir Fonf of Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, and Professor A. L. Brown of University College London for many illuminating discussions during the preparation of the manuscript. We also like to thank the referee who made a careful examination of the paper and suggested necessary corrections.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Dutta and D. Narayana, *Strongly proximal subspaces in Banach spaces*, to appear.
- [2] V. Fonf and J. Lindenstrauss, *On the metric projection in a polyhedral space*, preprint.
- [3] —, —, *On quotients of polyhedral spaces*, preprint.
- [4] C. Franchetti and R. Payá, *Banach spaces with strongly subdifferentiable norm*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) 7 (1993), 45–70.
- [5] A. Gleit and R. McGuigan, *A note on polyhedral Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 398–404.
- [6] G. Godefroy and V. Indumathi, *Norm-to-weak upper semi-continuity of the duality and preduality mappings*, Set-Valued Anal. 10 (2002), 317–330.
- [7] —, —, *Strong proximality and polyhedral spaces*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 14 (2001), 105–125.

- [8] G. Godefroy, V. Indumathi and F. Lust-Piquard, *Strong subdifferentiability of convex functionals and proximality*, J. Approx. Theory 116 (2002), 397–415.
- [9] P. Harmand, D. Werner and W. Werner, *M-Ideals in Banach Spaces and Banach Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1547, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [10] V. Indumathi, *On transitivity of proximality*, J. Approx. Theory 49 (1987), 130–143.
- [11] —, *Metric projections of closed subspaces of  $c_0$  onto subspaces of finite codimension*, Colloq. Math. 99 (2004), 231–252.
- [12] P. D. Morris, *Metric projections onto subspaces of finite codimension*, Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 799–808.
- [13] R. R. Phelps, *Chebyshev subspaces of finite codimension in  $C(X)$* , Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 647–655.

Department of Mathematics

Ben Gurion University

P.O.B. 653

Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

and

Stat Math Unit

Indian Statistical Institute

Kolkata, India

E-mail: sudipta.dutta@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics

Indian Institute of Science

Bangalore 560012, India

E-mail: narayana@math.iisc.ernet.in

*Received 4 May 2006;*  
*revised 19 January 2007*

(4751)