

# Bloch-Floquet Transform

T. Muthukumar  
tmk@iitk.ac.in

December 19, 2014

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Raison d'être</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Fourier Transform	1
1.2	Schrödinger Operator with Periodic Potential	2
1.3	Direct Integral Decomposition	3
1.4	Bloch Periodic Functions	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Bloch Transform</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Spectrum of Elliptic Operator	9
2.2	Regularity of $\lambda_m(\eta)$ and $\phi_1(\cdot, \eta)$	11
2.3	Taylor Expansion of Ground State	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Homogenization of Second order Elliptic Operator</b>	<b>14</b>

## 1 Raison d'être

### 1.1 Fourier Transform

Recall that  $-\Delta : H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an unbounded, self-adjoint operator whose spectral decomposition is well-known. The “generalised” eigenfunctions<sup>1</sup> are the *plane or Fourier waves*  $e^{i\xi \cdot x}$ , for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $|\xi|^2$  is an eigenvalue, for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , giving the spectrum to be  $[0, \infty)$ . Further,  $-\Delta(e^{ix \cdot \xi}) = |\xi|^2 e^{ix \cdot \xi}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Given any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there is a unique  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\xi.$$

Also, for any  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

In particular, the Fourier transform  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is an isometry from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>For each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $e^{i\xi \cdot x}$  are not elements of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  but they span  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

The Fourier transform will change a differential equation in to an algebraic equation. For instance,  $-\Delta u = f$  transforms to, on applying Fourier transform,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_j^2} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{j=1}^n (-i\xi_j) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_j} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \quad (\text{Integration by parts}) \\
&= -\sum_{j=1}^n (-i\xi_j)^2 \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \quad (\text{Integration by parts}) \\
&= |\xi|^2 \hat{u}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, any  $m$ -th order linear differential equation with constant coefficients  $P(D)u = f$  where  $P(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha D^\alpha$  will transform in to an algebraic equation  $P(i\xi)\hat{u}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$ .

The Laplacian is a particular case of the elliptic operator  $-\Delta + c(x)$  with  $c \equiv 0$ . For  $c(x) \neq 0$  (without loss of generality assume  $c(x) \geq 0$ ), the Bloch theorem gives the generalised eigenfunction for  $-\Delta + c(x)$  when  $c$  is  $Y$ -periodic, for any given reference cell  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 1.2 Schrödinger Operator with Periodic Potential

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\{e_i\}$  be the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^n [0, \ell_i]$  be a reference cell (or period) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $Y$ -periodic if  $f(x + e_i p_i \ell_i) = f(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Consider the Schrödinger operator  $-\Delta + c(x)$  where  $c$  is a periodic function, i.e., for some  $\ell = (\ell_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $c(x + e_i \ell_i p_i) = c(x)$ . Let  $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  be the operator  $L := -\Delta + c(x)$ .

To begin, let us consider the one dimension situation with  $c \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with bounded derivatives and  $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  defined as

$$L := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + c(x).$$

If  $c$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic and, hence,  $c$  admits a uniformly convergent Fourier series

$$c(x) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}} c_\eta e^{i\eta x}$$

where

$$c_\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} c(x) e^{-i\eta x} dx.$$

If  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Lu(x)}(\xi) &= \xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(x) u(x) e^{-ix} dx \\
&= \xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\eta} e^{i\eta x} \right) u(x) e^{-ix} dx \\
&= \xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi) + \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\eta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) e^{-i(\xi-\eta)x} dx \\
&= \xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi) + \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\eta} \hat{u}(\xi - \eta).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\widehat{Lu}(\xi)$  depends only on the values  $\hat{u}(\xi - \eta)$  for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But recall that  $\hat{u}(\xi - \eta) = e^{ix\eta} \widehat{u(x)}(\xi)$ . This suggests that the operator  $L$  depends on the modulation by all  $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### 1.3 Direct Integral Decomposition

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $(X, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Let  $L^2(X, \mu; H)$  is the Hilbert space of square integrable  $H$ -valued functions. If  $\mu$  is a sum of point measures at finite set of points  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  then, any  $f \in L^2(X, \mu; H)$ , is determined by the  $k$ -tuple  $(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_k))$ . Thus,  $L^2(X, \mu; H)$  is isomorphic to the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k H$ . For more general  $\mu$ , one may define a kind of “continuous direct sum” called the *constant fiber direct integral* and write

$$L^2(X, \mu; H) = \int_X^{\oplus} H d\mu.$$

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $T(\cdot) : X \rightarrow L(H)$  is measurable iff, for each  $\phi, \psi \in H$ ,  $\langle \phi, T(\cdot)\psi \rangle$  is measurable.  $L^{\infty}(X, \mu; L(H))$  denotes the equivalence class (with a.e.) of measurable functions from  $X$  to  $L(H)$  with

$$\|T\|_{\infty} = \text{ess sup} \|T(x)\|_{L(H)} < \infty.$$

**Definition 1.4.** A bounded operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H} = \int_X^{\oplus} H d\mu$  is said to be decomposed by the direct integral decomposition iff there is  $T(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu; L(H))$  such that, for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$(T\psi)(x) = T(x)\psi(x).$$

We then say  $T$  is decomposable and

$$T = \int_X^{\oplus} T(x) d\mu(x).$$

The  $T(x)$  are called the fibers of  $T$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $H = l_2$  and

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}^{\oplus} H dx.$$

For  $\eta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , let  $L_\eta : l_2 \rightarrow l_2$  be defined as

$$(L_\eta(z))_k = (\eta + k)^2 z_k + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m z_{k-m}.$$

Define  $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by

$$[(Tf)(\eta)]_k = \hat{f}(\eta + k).$$

For  $L = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + c(x)$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$T L T^{-1} = \int_{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] }^{\oplus} L_\eta d\eta.$$

When  $c \equiv 0$ , the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of  $L_\eta$  are  $(\eta + k)^2$  and the Fourier transform of  $e^{i(\eta+k)x}$ , respectively. This suggests that  $L_\eta$  is related to  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  on  $[0, 2\pi)$  with the boundary condition  $u(2\pi) = e^{i2\pi\eta}u(0)$  and  $u'(2\pi) = e^{i2\pi\eta}u'(0)$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** Let  $H = L^2[0, 2\pi)$  and

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] }^{\oplus} H d\eta.$$

Then  $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  given by

$$(Tf)_\eta(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i2\pi m \eta} f(x + 2\pi m) \quad \eta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \quad x \in [0, 2\pi)$$

which extends uniquely to an unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover,

$$T \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) T^{-1} = \int_{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] }^{\oplus} \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_\eta d\eta \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)_\eta$  is the operator  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  on  $L^2[0, 2\pi)$  with boundary condition

$$u(2\pi) = e^{i2\pi\eta}u(0) \quad u'(2\pi) = e^{i2\pi\eta}u'(0).$$

*Proof.* Let us note that  $T$  is well defined. For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , the series in RHS is convergent. For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $Tf \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  because

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i2\pi m \eta} f(x + 2\pi m) \right|^2 dx \right) d\eta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \left( \sum_{m,p \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{f(x + 2\pi m)} f(x + 2\pi p) \right) \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i2\pi(p-m)\eta} d\eta \right] dx \\ & \quad (\text{by Fubini's Theorem}) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x + 2\pi m)|^2 \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T$  is well defined and admits a unique isometry extension. To see that  $T$  is onto  $\mathcal{H}$ , we compute  $T^*$ . For any  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(T^*g)(x + 2\pi m) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i2\pi m\eta} g_\eta(x) d\eta.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*g\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(T^*g)(y)|^2 dy \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |(T^*g)(2\pi m + x)|^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i2\pi m\eta} g_\eta(x) d\theta \right|^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |g_\eta(x)|^2 d\theta \right) dx \quad (\text{Parseval's Identity}) \\ &= \|g\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, to prove (1.1), let  $G$  be the operator on the right-hand side of (1.1). We shall show that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $Tf \in D(G)$  and  $T(-f'') = G(Tf)$ . Since  $-d^2/dx^2$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G$  is self-adjoint, (1.1) will follow. So, suppose  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $Tf$  is given by the convergent sum as in the statement. Thus,  $Tf \in C^\infty(0, 2\pi)$  with  $(Tf)'_\eta(x) = (Tf'_\eta)(x)$  and similarly for higher derivatives. Further, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tf)_\theta(2\pi) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i2\pi m\eta} f(2\pi(m+1)) \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i2\pi(m-1)\eta} f(2\pi m) = e^{i2\pi\eta} (Tf)_\eta(0). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $(Tf)'_\eta(2\pi) = e^{i2\pi\eta} (Tf'_\eta)'(0)$ . Thus, for each  $\eta$ ,  $(Tf)_\eta \in D\left(\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_\eta\right)$  and

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_\eta (Tf) = U(-f'')_\eta.$$

We conclude that  $Tf \in D(G)$  and  $G(Tf) = U(-f'')$ . This proves (1.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 1.7** (Direct Integral Decomposition of Periodic Schrödinger operator). *Let  $c$  be a bounded measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with period  $2\pi$ . For  $\eta \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ , let*

$$L_\eta = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)_\eta + c(x)$$

*be an operator on  $L^2[0, 2\pi]$ . Let  $T$  be given by*

$$(Tf)_\eta(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i2\pi m\eta} f(x + 2\pi m) \quad \eta \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \quad x \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Then

$$T \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + c \right) T^{-1} = \int_{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}^{\oplus} L_{\eta} d\eta.$$

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be the  $\eta$ -independent operator acting on the fiber  $H = L^2[0, 2\pi]$  by  $(c_{\eta}f)(x) = c(x)f(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ . It is sufficient to prove that

$$TcT^{-1} = \int_{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}^{\oplus} c_{\eta} d\eta.$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tcf)_{\eta}(x) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i2\pi m \eta} c(x + 2\pi m) f(x + 2\pi m) \\ &= c(x) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i2\pi m \eta} f(x + 2\pi m) \\ &= c_{\eta}(Tf)_{\eta}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The second last equality is due to the periodicity of  $c$ . □

## 1.4 Bloch Periodic Functions

The Bloch transform is a generalization of Fourier transform that leaves the periodic functions invariant, in some sense. Let us begin by considering a generalization of periodic functions.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^n [0, \ell_i]$  be a reference cell (or period) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For each  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $(\eta, Y)$ -Bloch periodic if  $f(x + \ell \cdot p) = e^{i2\pi p \cdot \eta} f(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Note that the case  $\eta = 0$  corresponds to the usual notion of  $Y$ -periodic functions. Note that the boundary condition remains unchanged if  $\eta$  is replaced with  $\eta + k$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Hence, it is sufficient to consider  $\eta \in Y^*$  where  $Y^* = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^n$ . The cell  $Y^*$  is called the *reciprocal cell* and, in Physics literature,  $Y^*$  is known as the *first Brillouin zone*.

We shall assume that  $Y = [0, 2\pi]^n$  and, for  $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $a_{jk} : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $a_{jk} \in L_{\text{per}}^{\infty}(Y)$ . Let  $A(y) = (a_{jk}(y)) \in M(\alpha, \beta, Y)$  and is a symmetric matrix, i.e.,  $a_{jk}(y) = a_{kj}(y)$ . One can extend  $a_{jk}$  to entire  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a  $Y$ -periodic function. Also,  $c$  is a  $Y$ -periodic function such that  $c(y) \geq c_3 > 0$ . We are interested in the spectral resolution of closure of the operator  $\mathcal{A} = -\text{div}(A(y)\nabla) + c(y)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

By Bloch Theorem, it is enough to study the  $(\eta, Y)$ -Bloch periodic eigenvalue problem, for each  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,

**Definition 1.9.** For any fixed (momentum) vector  $\eta \in Y^*$ , consider the eigenvalue problem: given a symmetric  $A \in M(\alpha, \beta, Y)$ , find  $\lambda(\eta) \in \mathbb{C}$  and non-zero  $\psi(\cdot; \eta) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}\psi(y; \eta) &= \lambda(\eta)\psi(y; \eta) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \psi(y + 2\pi\ell) &= e^{2\pi i \ell \cdot \eta} \psi(y) \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The eigenvalues  $\psi$  are known as Bloch waves associated with  $\mathcal{A}$  and the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  are called Bloch eigenvalues.

Suppose  $\eta \in Y^*$  have rational components and  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ . Recall that there is a homeomorphism from  $Y^*$  to  $S^1$ . Thus,  $e^{i2\pi\eta_j} \in S^1$ . In this sense, the Bloch periodicity condition has the form  $e^{2\pi i p \cdot \eta} = \omega^p$  where  $\omega \in [S^1]^n$  and  $\omega^p = \omega_1^{p_1} \omega_2^{p_2} \dots \omega_n^{p_n}$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $D_m \subset [S^1]^n$  be the collection of all  $\omega \in [S^1]^n$  such that its  $j$ -th component is the  $m_j$ -th root of unity. Thus,  $\omega^m = 1$  for all  $\omega \in D_m$ . The spectral problem (1.2) may be seen as a sequence of spectral problems, i.e., for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we define  $\psi_m$  as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}\psi_m(y) = \lambda_m \psi_m(y) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \psi_m(y + 2\pi m) = \psi(y) & y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Note that in the above boundary condition  $\psi$  is  $Y_m$ -periodic where  $Y_m = \prod_{i=1}^n [0, 2\pi m_i)$ . The space of spectral decomposition is  $L^2_{\text{per}}(Y_m)$  which admits the orthogonal decomposition  $L^2_{\text{per}}(Y_m) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in D_m} L^2_{\text{per}}(\omega, Y)$  where

$$L^2_{\text{per}}(\omega, Y) = \{\psi \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \psi(y + 2\pi\ell) = \omega^\ell \psi(y) \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Thus, we observe that the above space consists of  $(\eta, Y)$ -Bloch Periodic functions. For any irrational  $\eta$  can be approximated by rationals by varying  $m$  and noting that the sets of roots of unity is dense in  $S^1$ .

## 2 Bloch Transform

**Theorem 2.1** (Bloch Decomposition). *Let  $Y = [0, 2\pi)^n$  and  $Y^* = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^n$ . Given a  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there is a unique function, called Bloch Transform,  $f_b \in L^2(Y \times Y^*)$  such that*

$$f(y) = \int_{Y^*} f_b(y, \eta) e^{i\eta \cdot y} d\eta.$$

Also, for any  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the Plancherel formula holds, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \overline{g(y)} dy = \int_Y \int_{Y^*} f_b(y, \eta) \overline{g_b(y, \eta)} dy d\eta.$$

In particular, the Bloch transform  $f \mapsto f_b$  is an isometry from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^2(Y \times Y^*)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $\eta \in Y^*$ , define

$$f_b(y; \eta) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y + 2\pi p) e^{-i(y + 2\pi p) \cdot \eta}.$$

The sum is well defined because it has finite number of terms because  $f$  has compact support. Note that  $f_b(y; \eta)$  is  $Y$ -periodic in  $y$  variable because

$$f_b(y + 2\pi; \eta) := \sum_{p+1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y + 2\pi p) e^{-i(y + 2\pi p) \cdot \eta} = f_b(y; \eta).$$

Similarly,  $e^{iy \cdot \eta} f_b(y; \eta)$  is  $Y^*$ -periodic in  $\eta$  variable because, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{iy \cdot (\eta+k)} f_b(y; \eta+k) &= e^{iy \cdot (\eta+k)} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y+2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot (\eta+k)} \\
&= e^{iy \cdot (\eta+k)} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y+2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot k} \\
&= e^{iy \cdot (\eta+k)} e^{-iy \cdot k} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y+2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} e^{-i2\pi p \cdot k} \\
&= e^{iy \cdot \eta} f_b(y; \eta).
\end{aligned}$$

In the above relation we have used the fact that  $e^{i2\pi p \cdot k} = 1$ . Observe that

$$e^{iy \cdot \eta} f_b(y; \eta) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y+2\pi p) e^{-2i\pi p \cdot \eta}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{Y^*} e^{iy \cdot \eta} f_b(y; \eta) d\eta &= f(y) + \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ p \neq 0}} f(y+2\pi p) \int_{Y^*} e^{-2i\pi p \cdot \eta} dy \\
&= f(y) - \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ p \neq 0}} f(y+2\pi p) \left[ \frac{e^{-i\pi p} - e^{i\pi p}}{2i\pi p_1 \dots p_n} \right] dy \\
&= f(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have proved the results for all functions in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Similarly, one can prove the Plancherel's formula for functions in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The Bloch transform is a linear map on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus, by density of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the Bloch transform extends to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and Plancherel's formula holds true.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that, for each fixed  $\eta \in Y^*$ ,  $y \mapsto f_b(y; \eta)$  is extended  $Y$ -periodic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and, for each fixed  $y \in Y$ ,  $\eta \mapsto e^{iy \cdot \eta} f_b(y; \eta)$  is extended  $Y^*$ -periodic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus, the Bloch transform may be seen as an isometry from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 2.3.** The Bloch transform is a ‘‘modulation’’ of Zak transform. The Zak transform for any  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as

$$f_z(y; \eta) := \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y+2\pi p) e^{-i2\pi p \cdot \eta}$$

and extended unitarily to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Further,  $f_b(y; \eta) = e^{-iy \cdot \eta} f_z(y; \eta)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The following theorem explains the sense in which the Bloch transform leaves the periodic functions invariant.

**Theorem 2.4** (Invariance of Periodic Functions). *Let  $Y = [0, 2\pi)^n$  and  $c : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $c \in L^\infty(Y)$  extended  $Y$ -periodically to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $(cf)_b(y; \eta) = c(y) f_b(y; \eta)$ .*



*Proof.* It is enough to prove the result for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (cf)_b(y; \eta) &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c(y + 2\pi p) f(y + 2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} \\ &= c(y) \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y + 2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} \\ &= c(y) f_b(y; \eta). \end{aligned}$$

By density the result is true for any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** For any  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $(\nabla_y f)_b(y; \eta) = (\nabla_y + i\eta) f_b(y; \eta)$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the result for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_y f)_b(y; \eta) &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} [\nabla_y f(y + 2\pi p)] e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \nabla_y \left[ f(y + 2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} \right] \\ &\quad + i\eta \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y + 2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} \\ &= [\nabla_y + i\eta] f_b(y; \eta). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

For any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , consider the equation  $\mathcal{A}u = f$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Applying Bloch transform to this equation, using Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain a family of equations, indexed by  $\eta \in Y^*$ , with periodic boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(\eta)u_b(y; \eta) = f_b(y; \eta) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ u_b(y + 2\pi\ell; \eta) = u_b(y; \eta) & \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}(\eta)$  is the *shifted operator*, denoted as

$$\mathcal{A}(\eta) := - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + i\eta_j \right) \left[ a_{jk}(y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + i\eta_k \right) \right] + c(y).$$

The shifted operator equation admits a solution (being a periodic problem) in  $H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$  and a corresponding Poincaré inequality holds true, i.e., for all  $u \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$  and  $\eta \in Y^*$ ,

$$c(\|\nabla u\|_{2,Y} + |\eta|\|u\|_{2,Y}) \leq \|\nabla u + i\eta u\|_{2,Y} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{2,Y} + |\eta|\|u\|_{2,Y}.$$

## 2.1 Spectrum of Elliptic Operator

The spectral decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ , in one dimension periodic media, was first studied by Floquet (1883) and much later, in crystal lattice, by Bloch (1928). We shall compute the spectral decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  via the spectral decomposition of the shifted operator  $\mathcal{A}(\eta)$ . Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(\eta)\phi(y; \eta) = \lambda(\eta)\phi(y; \eta) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \phi(y + 2\pi\ell) = \phi(y) & \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

**Theorem 2.6** (Periodic Eigen Value problem). *There exists a sequence of pairs  $(\lambda_m, \phi_m)$  satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}\phi(y) = \lambda\phi(y) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \phi(y + 2\pi\ell) = \phi(y) & \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\{\lambda_m\}$  are positive real eigenvalues and  $\{\phi_m(y)\}$  are the corresponding eigenvectors, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\{\phi_m\}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2_{\text{per}}(Y)$  and  $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$  diverges and each eigenvalue has finite multiplicity.

**Remark 2.7.** By Theorem 2.6, for each fixed  $\eta \in Y^*$ , there exists a sequence of pairs  $(\lambda_m, \phi_m)$  satisfying (2.2) where  $\{\lambda_m(\eta)\}$  are positive real eigenvalues and  $\{\phi_m(y; \eta)\}$  are the corresponding eigenvectors, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\{\phi_m(\cdot; \eta)\}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2_{\text{per}}(Y)$  and  $0 \leq \lambda_1(\eta) \leq \lambda_2(\eta) \leq \dots$  diverges and each eigenvalue has finite multiplicity. By varying  $\eta \in Y^*$ , we obtain the spectral resolution of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The set  $\{e^{iy\cdot\eta}\phi_m(y; \eta); m \in \mathbb{N}, \eta \in Y^*\}$  forms a ‘generalised’ basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As a consequence,  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be identified with  $L^2(Y^*; \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  acts as a multiplication operator:  $\mathcal{A}[e^{iy\cdot\eta}\phi_m(y; \eta)] = \lambda_m(\eta)e^{iy\cdot\eta}\phi_m(y; \eta)$ . The spectrum of  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted as  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , coincides with the Bloch spectrum and denoted as  $\sigma_b$ . The Bloch spectrum is defined as the union of the images of all the mappings  $\lambda_m(\eta)$ , i.e.,

$$\sigma_b := \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \inf_{\eta \in Y^*} \lambda_m(\eta), \sup_{\eta \in Y^*} \lambda_m(\eta) \right].$$

The spectrum has a band structure. In contrast to the homogeneous case,  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  need not fill up the entire  $[0, \infty)$  and there may be gaps.

**Theorem 2.8.** *For any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , its Bloch transform is given as*

$$f_b(y; \eta) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_b^m(\eta)\phi_m(y; \eta)$$

where,  $\{\phi_m\}$  are the eigenfunctions corresponding to the shifted operator  $\mathcal{A}(\eta)$  and  $f_b^m(\eta)$ , for each  $\eta \in Y^*$ , is the  $m$ -th Bloch coefficient of  $f$  defined as

$$f_b^m(\eta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)e^{-iy\cdot\eta}\overline{\phi_m(y; \eta)} dy.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the result for  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Recall that, for each  $\eta \in Y^*$ ,  $f_b(\cdot; \eta) \in L^2_{\text{per}}(Y)$ . Hence, by spectral decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}(\eta)$ ,

$$f_b(y; \eta) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_b^m(\eta)\phi_m(y; \eta),$$

where

$$f_b^m(\eta) = \int_Y f_b(y; \eta)\overline{\phi_m(y; \eta)} dy.$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_b^m(\eta) &= \int_Y \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y + 2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} \overline{\phi_m(y; \eta)} dy \\
&= \int_Y \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y + 2\pi p) e^{-i(y+2\pi p) \cdot \eta} \overline{\phi_m(y + 2\pi p; \eta)} dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \overline{\phi_m(y; \eta)} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 2.9.** The Bloch inversion formula can be rewritten as:

$$f(y) = \int_{Y^*} e^{iy \cdot \eta} f_b(y; \eta) d\eta = \int_{Y^*} e^{iy \cdot \eta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_b^m(\eta) \phi_m(y; \eta) d\eta.$$

Further, the Parseval formula holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^2 dy = \int_{Y^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |f_b^m(\eta)|^2 d\eta. \quad (2.4)$$

**Remark 2.10** (Algebraic Formula for Solution). For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\eta \in Y^*$ , multiply  $\phi_m(y; \eta)$  on both sides of (2.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Y \mathcal{A}(\eta) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_b^k(\eta) \phi_k(y; \eta) \right] \phi_m(y; \eta) dy &= \int_Y \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_b^k(\eta) \phi_k(y; \eta) \phi_m(y; \eta) dy \\
\int_Y \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_b^k(\eta) \phi_k(y; \eta) \lambda_m(\eta) \phi_m(y; \eta) dy &= f_b^m(\eta) \\
u_b^m(\eta) \lambda_m(\eta) &= f_b^m(\eta) \\
u_b^m(\eta) &= \frac{f_b^m(\eta)}{\lambda_m(\eta)}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Set  $\psi_m(y; \eta) := \{e^{iy \cdot \eta} \phi_m(y; \eta)\}$ . Then, for each  $\eta \in Y^*$ ,  $\psi_m(\cdot; \eta)$  forms a basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus,  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be identified with  $L^2(Y^*; \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ . Let us compute  $\psi(y + 2\pi\ell)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_m(y + 2\pi\ell) &= e^{iy \cdot \eta} e^{2\pi i \ell \cdot \eta} \phi_m(y + 2\pi\ell) \\
&= e^{iy \cdot \eta} e^{2\pi i \ell \cdot \eta} \phi_m(y) \\
&= e^{2\pi i \ell \cdot \eta} \psi_m(y).
\end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Regularity of $\lambda_m(\eta)$ and $\phi_1(\cdot, \eta)$

**Theorem 2.11.** For all  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\eta \mapsto \lambda_m(\eta)$  is a Lipschitz function.

*Proof.* Consider the quadratic form associated with  $\mathcal{A}(\eta)$ :

$$a(v, v; \eta) = \int_Y a_{jk}(y) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_k} + i\eta_k v \right) \overline{\left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_j} + i\eta_j v \right)} dy.$$

The quadratic form admits a decomposition as follows:

$$a(v, v; \eta) = a(v, v; \eta^0) + R(v, v; \eta, \eta^0)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \int_Y a_{jk}(y) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_k} (\overline{v\eta_j - v\eta_j^0}) dy + \int_Y a_{jk}(y) (v\eta_k - v\eta_k^0) v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_j} dy \\ &\quad + \int_Y a_{jk}(y) (\eta_k \eta_j - \eta_k^0 \eta_j^0) |v|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$|R| \leq C_0 |\eta - \eta^0| \int_Y (|\nabla v|^2 + |v|^2) dy.$$

By min-max principle,

$$\lambda_m(\eta) = \min_{W \subset H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)} \max_{v \in W} \frac{a(v, v; \eta)}{\|v\|_{2,Y}^2}$$

where  $W$  is a  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$ . Using the estimate on  $R$ , we deduce that

$$\lambda_m(\eta) \leq \lambda_m(\eta^0) + C_0 |\eta - \eta^0|$$

for a suitable constant  $C_0$ . Interchanging  $\eta$  and  $\eta^0$ , we obtain

$$|\lambda_m(\eta) - \lambda_m(\eta^0)| \leq C_0 |\eta - \eta^0|.$$

□

**Theorem 2.12** (Analyticity). *There is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\lambda_1(\eta)$  is analytic in the open ball  $B_\delta(0)$  centred at origin and radius  $\delta$ . Further, one can choose a corresponding unit eigenvector  $\phi_1(y; \eta)$  satisfying*

(i)  $\eta \mapsto \phi_1(\cdot; \eta)$  from  $Y^*$  to  $H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$  is analytic on  $B_\delta(0)$ .

(ii)  $\phi_1(y; 0) := |Y|^{-1/2} := (2\pi)^{-n/2}$ .

(iii)  $\|\phi_1(\cdot; \eta)\|_{2,Y} = 1$  and  $\int_Y \phi_1(y; \eta) dy = 0$  for each  $\eta \in B_\delta$ .

### 2.3 Taylor Expansion of Ground State

Observe that (2.1) is a polynomial of degree two w.r.t  $\eta$  variable. Let  $T_m(\eta) : L^2(Y) \rightarrow L^2(Y)$  be defined as

$$T_m(\eta)(\phi) = \mathcal{A}(\eta)\phi - \lambda_m\phi.$$

For a fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us compute the  $j$ -th first partial derivative of (2.2) w.r.t  $\eta$  to get

$$\mathcal{A}(\eta) \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(\eta)}{\partial \eta_j} \phi_m = \lambda_m \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j} + \phi_m \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_j}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T_m(\eta) \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j} &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(\eta)}{\partial \eta_j} \phi_m + \phi_m \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_j} \\ &= \imath e_j A(\nabla_y + \imath \eta) \phi_m + (\nabla_y + \imath \eta) \cdot (\imath A e_j \phi_m) + \phi_m \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_j}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a solution to the above equation which is unique upto an additive multiple of  $\phi_m$ . Hence, the RHS satisfies the compatibility condition or Fredholm alternative. Therefore,

$$\int_Y T_m(\eta) \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j} \bar{\phi}_m dy = 0$$

yields a formula for  $\nabla_\eta \lambda_m(\eta^m)$  in terms of  $\phi_m$ . Thus,

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_j}(\eta) = \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(\eta)}{\partial \eta_j} \phi_m(\cdot; \eta), \phi_m(\cdot; \eta) \right\rangle.$$

Similarly, by computing the  $j$ -th second partial derivative of (2.2) w.r.t  $\eta$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_m(\eta) \frac{\partial^2 \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k} &= \imath e_j A(\nabla_y + \imath \eta) \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_k} + (\nabla_y + \imath \eta) \cdot \left( \imath A e_j \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_k} \right) \\ &\quad + \imath e_k A(\nabla_y + \imath \eta) \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j} + (\nabla_y + \imath \eta) \cdot \left( \imath A e_k \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_j} \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_k} + \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_k} \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_j} - e_j A e_k \phi_m - e_k A e_j \phi_m \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_k \partial \eta_j} \phi_m. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a solution to the above equation which is unique upto an additive multiple of  $\phi_m$ . Hence, the RHS satisfies the compatibility condition or Fredholm alternative. Therefore,

$$\int_Y T_m(\eta) \frac{\partial^2 \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k} \bar{\phi}_m dy = 0$$

yields a formula for the Hessian matrix  $D_\eta^2 \lambda_m(\eta^m)$  in terms of  $\phi_m$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k}(\eta) &= \langle a_{jk} \phi_m, \phi_m \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(\eta)}{\partial \eta_j} - \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_j} \right] \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_k}, \phi_m \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(\eta)}{\partial \eta_k} - \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial \eta_k} \right] \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \eta_j}, \phi_m \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us summarise the properties of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_m(\eta)$  and eigenvectors  $\phi_m(y; \eta)$ .

- (a) All odd order derivatives of  $\lambda_1(\eta)$  at  $\eta = 0$  vanish.
- (b) All odd order derivatives of  $\phi_1(\cdot, \eta)$  at  $\eta = 0$  are purely imaginary. For instance, the first order derivatives at  $\eta = 0$  are given by

$$\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \eta_j}(y; 0) = \imath |Y|^{-1/2} w_j(y),$$

where  $w_j \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$  is the unique solution of the cell problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}w_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{jk}}{\partial y_k} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y w_j(y) dy = 0. \end{cases}$$

(c) All even order derivatives of  $\phi_1(\cdot; \eta)$  at  $\eta = 0$  are real.

(d) Second order derivatives of  $\lambda_1(\eta)$  at  $\eta = 0$  are given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k}(0) = a_{jk}^0, \quad \forall j, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $a_{jk}^0$  are the homogenized coefficients defined by

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \left[ a_{jk} + \sum_{m=1}^n a_{jm} \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial y_m} \right].$$

**Theorem 2.13.** *The origin is a critical point of the first Bloch eigenvalue, i.e.,  $\frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial \eta_j}(0) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Further, the Hessian of  $\lambda_1$  at  $\eta = 0$  is given by*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k}(0) = a_{jk}^0 \quad \forall j, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The derivatives of the first Bloch mode can also be calculated and they are as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \eta_j}(y; 0) = \imath |Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}} w_j(y) \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n.$$

*Proof.* Use the information  $\lambda_1(0) = 0$  and  $\phi_1(y; 0) = |Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  in the Taylor expansion with  $\eta = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3 Homogenization of Second order Elliptic Operator

Let  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = -\text{div}_x(A(x/\varepsilon)\nabla_x)$  be the elliptic operator with periodically oscillating coefficients. If  $\xi$  corresponds to the Fourier variable corresponding to  $x$  then  $\varepsilon\xi$  corresponds to the Fourier variable corresponding to  $x/\varepsilon$ . Recall that, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{\lambda_m(\eta)\}$  and  $\{e^{iy \cdot \eta} \phi_m(y; \eta)\}$  are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, respectively, of  $\mathcal{A} = -\text{div}_y(A(y)\nabla_y)$ . We employ the change of variables,  $y = x/\varepsilon$  and  $\eta = \varepsilon\xi$ , in the equation  $\mathcal{A}[e^{iy \cdot \eta} \phi_m(y; \eta)] = \lambda_m(\eta) e^{iy \cdot \eta} \phi_m(y; \eta)$  to obtain

$$\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \left[ e^{ix \cdot \xi} \phi_m \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon}; \varepsilon\xi \right) \right] = \lambda_m(\varepsilon\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \phi_m \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon}; \varepsilon\xi \right).$$

Thus, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$  are  $\varepsilon^{-2} \lambda_m(\varepsilon\xi)$  and  $e^{ix \cdot \xi} \phi_m(x/\varepsilon; \varepsilon\xi)$ . Set  $\lambda_m^\varepsilon(\xi) := \varepsilon^{-2} \lambda_m(\varepsilon\xi)$  and  $\phi_m^\varepsilon(x; \xi) := \phi_m(x/\varepsilon; \varepsilon\xi)$ . Hence, the Bloch transform of  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , is

$$f_b^\varepsilon(x; \xi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_b^{m, \varepsilon}(\xi) \phi_m^\varepsilon(x; \xi)$$

where, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\xi \in \varepsilon^{-1}Y^*$ , the  $m$ -th Bloch coefficient of  $f$  is

$$f_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi) = \varepsilon^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \overline{\phi_m^\varepsilon(x; \xi)} dx.$$

Thus, the inverse formula is

$$f(x) = \varepsilon^{n/2} \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \phi_m^\varepsilon(x; \xi) d\xi.$$

The  $\varepsilon^{n/2}$  is a normalising factor appearing because the Lebesgue measure of  $\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*$  is  $\varepsilon^{-n}$ . The Plancherel identity holds: for any  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi) \overline{g_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

Applying the Bloch transform, the equation  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = f$  transforms in to a set of algebraic equations, indexed by  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda_m^\varepsilon(\xi) u_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi) = f_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in \varepsilon^{-1}Y^*$  (cf. Remark 2.10). Our aim is to pass to the limit in the system of algebraic equations. We first claim that one can neglect all the equations corresponding to  $m \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let*

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{n/2} \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} u_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \phi_m^\varepsilon(x; \xi) d\xi.$$

Then  $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{2,\mathbb{R}^n} \leq C_0 \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \overline{u_\varepsilon} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{u_\varepsilon}(x) dx.$$

The LHS is bounded and, applying Plancherel Identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx &\geq \varepsilon^n \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi) \overline{u_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \varepsilon^n \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m^\varepsilon(\xi) |u_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \varepsilon^{n-2} \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m(\eta) |u_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq \varepsilon^{n-2} \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \lambda_m(\eta) |u_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq \varepsilon^{n-2} \lambda_2^{(N)} \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |u_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is a consequence of the min-max principle yielding, for  $m \geq 2$ ,

$$\lambda_m(\eta) \geq \lambda_2(\eta) \geq \lambda_2^{(N)} > 0 \quad \forall \eta \in Y^*,$$

where  $\lambda_2^{(N)}$  is the second eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem for  $\mathcal{A}$  in the cell  $Y$  with Neumann boundary condition on  $\partial Y$ . Then

$$\varepsilon^n \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |u_b^{m,\varepsilon}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C_0 \varepsilon^2.$$

By Parseval's Identity, the left side is equal to  $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{2,\mathbb{R}^n}$ . □

**Remark 3.2.** Consider the algebraic equation corresponding to  $m = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\lambda_1^\varepsilon(\xi) u_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) = f_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \varepsilon^{-1}Y^*.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $\varepsilon^{n/2}$ , we get

$$\varepsilon^{-2} \lambda_1(\varepsilon\xi) \varepsilon^{n/2} u_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) = \varepsilon^{n/2} f_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \varepsilon^{-1}Y^*.$$

Expanding  $\lambda_1(\varepsilon\xi)$  by Taylor's formula around  $\xi = 0$ , we get

$$\left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k}(0) \xi_j \xi_k + O(\varepsilon \xi^3) \right] \varepsilon^{n/2} u_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) = \varepsilon^{n/2} f_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi)$$

Passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to get

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k}(0) \xi_j \xi_k \hat{u}_0(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Setting

$$a_{jk}^0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k}(0)$$

Then  $\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}^0 \xi_k \xi_j \hat{u}_0(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0 u_0 := -\sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}^0 \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = f(x)$ . The only flaw in the above argument is that in passing to limit we have not checked uniform compact support of the sequence. To overcome this difficulty we use cut-off function technique to localize the equation.

**Proposition 3.3** (First Bloch Transform tends to Fourier Transform). *Let  $\{g_\varepsilon\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a sequence such that there is a fixed compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{supp}(g_\varepsilon) \subseteq K$  for all  $\varepsilon$ . If  $g_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup g$  weakly in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} g_b^{1,\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \hat{g}$  weakly in  $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

*Proof.* The first Bloch transform  $g_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi)$ , a priori defined for

$$\xi \in \varepsilon^{-1}Y^* = \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{2}\right)^n$$

can be extended by zero outside  $\varepsilon^{-1}Y^*$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} g_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_\varepsilon(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \overline{\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}; 0\right)} dx \\ &+ \int_K g_\varepsilon(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \left( \overline{\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}; \varepsilon\xi\right)} - \overline{\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}; 0\right)} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$



Since  $\phi_1(y; 0) = |Y|^{\frac{-1}{2}} = (2\pi)^{-n/2}$ , the first term is nothing but the Fourier transform of  $g_\varepsilon$  and so it converges weakly to  $\hat{g}(\xi)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the regularity of the first Bloch eigenfunction  $\eta \mapsto \phi_1(\cdot, \eta) \in L^2_{\text{per}}(Y)$  at  $\eta = 0$ , the second term is bounded by

$$\|g_\varepsilon\|_{2, \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_K |\phi_1(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}; \varepsilon\xi) - \phi_1(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}; 0)|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_0 \|\phi_1(y; \varepsilon\xi) - \phi_1(y; 0)\|_{2, Y}.$$

By Lipschitz continuity of  $\eta \mapsto \phi_1(\cdot, \eta)$ , the second term in the right side is bounded above by  $C_0 \varepsilon \xi$ . Thus, if  $|\xi| \leq M$  then it is bounded above by  $cM\varepsilon$  and so, in particular, it converges to zero in  $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an arbitrary, not necessarily bounded, domain. Consider a sequence  $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0$  weakly in  $H^1(\Omega)$  and  $A_\varepsilon u_0 = f$  in  $\Omega$  with  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then  $u_0$  satisfies  $A_0 u_0 = f$  in  $\Omega$ . In fact,  $A_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup A_0 \nabla u_0$  weakly in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in D(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. If  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfies  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = f$  in  $\Omega$  then consider its localization  $\phi u_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\phi u_\varepsilon) = \phi f + g_\varepsilon + h_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_\varepsilon &= -2 \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j^\varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}^\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} u_\varepsilon, \\ \sigma_j^\varepsilon(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}^\varepsilon \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k}, \\ h_\varepsilon &= - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{jk}^\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k} u_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using the arguments given in the remark above, we can pass to the limit above, since  $\phi u_\varepsilon$  is bounded in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Neglecting all the harmonics corresponding to  $m \geq 2$  and considering only the  $m = 1$  yields at the limit

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial \eta_j \partial \eta_k} (0) \xi_j \xi_k \widehat{(\phi u_0)}(\xi) = \widehat{(\phi f)}(\xi) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} g_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} \hat{h}_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi). \quad (3.1)$$

The sequence  $\sigma_j^\varepsilon$  is bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Therefore, we can extract a subsequence (still denoted by  $\varepsilon$ ) which is weakly convergent in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $\sigma_j^0$  denote its limit and its extension by zero outside  $\Omega$ . Using this convergence and the definition of  $g_\varepsilon$ , we see that

$$g_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup g_0 := -2 \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j^0 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \mathcal{M}(a_{jk}) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} u_0 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(a_{jk})$  is the average of  $a_{jk}$  on  $Y$ . Therefore,

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}} g_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) \rightharpoonup \hat{g}_0(\xi) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

A similar argument fails for  $\{h_b^{1,\varepsilon}\}$  because  $h_\varepsilon$  is not bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We decompose

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{2}}h_b^{1,\varepsilon}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_\varepsilon(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi}\overline{\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},0\right)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_\varepsilon(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi}\left(\overline{\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon};\varepsilon\xi\right)} - \overline{\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon};0\right)}\right) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using the Taylor expansion of  $\phi_1(y;\eta)$  at  $\eta = 0$ , the second term is equal to

$$-\varepsilon^{-1}\sum_{j,k=1}^n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\partial a_{jk}}{\partial y_j}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}(x)u_\varepsilon(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi}\left[\varepsilon\sum_{\ell=1}^n\frac{\partial\overline{\phi_1}}{\partial\eta_\ell}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon};0\right)\xi_\ell+O(\varepsilon^2\xi^2)\right]dx,$$

which evidently converges to

$$-\sum_{j,k,\ell=1}^n\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\partial a_{jk}}{\partial y_j}\frac{\partial\overline{\phi_1}}{\partial\eta_\ell}(y;0)\right)\xi_\ell\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}u_0e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx.$$

strongly in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . On the other hand, after integraing by parts, the first term in the RHS of the decomposition of  $\varepsilon^{n/2}h_b^{1,\varepsilon}$  becomes

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}a_{jk}^\varepsilon\left[\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}u_\varepsilon+\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial x_j}-i\xi_j\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}u_\varepsilon\right]e^{-ix\cdot\xi}\overline{\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon};0\right)}dx.$$

Choosing  $\phi_1(y;0) = |Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , it is easily seen that the above integral converges weakly in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to

$$\begin{aligned}&|Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{j,k=1}^n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\mathcal{M}(a_{jk})\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}u_0-i\xi_j\mathcal{M}(a_{jk})\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}u_0\right]e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx \\ &+ |Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{k=1}^n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sigma_k^0\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using this information in (3.1) and using Theorem 2.13, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j,k=1}^na_{jk}^0\xi_j\xi_k(\widehat{\phi u_0})(\xi) &= (\widehat{\phi f})(\xi) - |Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{k=1}^n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sigma_k^0\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx \\ &\quad -i\sum_{j,k=1}^n\xi_j|Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}a_{jk}^0\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}u_0e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx.\end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}[\widehat{\mathcal{A}_0(\phi u_0)}](\xi) &= (\widehat{\phi f})(\xi) - |Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{k=1}^n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sigma_k^0\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx \\ &\quad -i\sum_{j,k=1}^n\xi_j|Y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}a_{jk}^0\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}u_0e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx.\end{aligned}$$

This is the *localized homogenized equation* in the Fourier space. Taking inverse Fourier transform of the above equation, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_0(\phi u_0) = \phi f - \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^0 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k} u_0 \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

On the other hand, we can calculate  $\mathcal{A}_0(\phi u_0)$  directly:

$$\mathcal{A}_0(\phi u_0) = - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left[ a_{jk}^0 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} u_0 + 2a_{jk}^0 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_k} \right] + \phi \mathcal{A}_0 u_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

A comparison of the above two equation yields

$$\phi(\mathcal{A}_0 u_0 - f) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}^0 \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_k} - \sigma_j^0 \right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since the above relation is true for all  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , the desired conclusions follow. In fact, let us choose  $\phi(x) = \phi_0(x)e^{imx \cdot \nu}$ , where  $\nu$  is a unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\phi_0(x) \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is fixed. Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the resulting relation and varying the unit vector  $\nu$ , we can easily deduce, successively, that  $\sigma_j^0 = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}^0 \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_k}$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0 u_0 = f$  in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$