Paleoseismic evidence from trench investigation along Hajipur fault, Himalayan Frontal Thrust, NW Himalaya: Implications of the faulting pattern on landscape evolution and seismic hazard
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Abstract
The study area falls within the mesoseismal zone of 1905 Kangra earthquake (Mw 7.8). Two parallel NNW–SSE striking active fault scarps named as Hajipur Faults (HF1 and HF2) along the northwestern end of the Janauri anticline in the foothill zone, have displaced floodplain sediments of the Beas River. The HF1 and HF2 represent the imbricate faults of the Himalayan Frontal Thrust (HFT), and are the result of lateral propagation of deformation from two fold segments i.e., JF1 and JF2 respectively in northwest direction along the strike. Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) profiles and trenching across the HF2 reveal two low-angle thrust fault strands (F1 and F2). Displacements of \( w \) 7.5 m on F2 and \( w \) 1.5 m on the associated branching faults (fa, fb and fc) were observed. Total four stratigraphic units: unit A (gravel) – with a lens of medium sand (unit A0) is the oldest; overlain by units B – medium to coarse sand; unit C – with fine to medium sand; and unit D – fine to medium sand with scattered gravel were observed in trench. Radiocarbon ages of the charcoal samples from unit B and unit D, optical ages of sediments from units A0, B and C, GPR data and trench log, suggest two major events along F1 and F2 strands. Event I along F1 occurred during 2600–800 yr BP and Event II along F2 around 400 yr BP and before 300 yr BP. Given the uncertainty in dates it is suggested that the latest event occurred during 1500–1600 AD. Considering the oldest unit (unit A) exposed in trench with vertical displacement of 7.5–8 m, age of 2600/500 yr BP and net displacement of \( \sim 9 \) m during single event along low-angle fault (\( \theta = 25^\circ \)), implies slip rate = \( 7.6 \pm 1.7 \) mm/yr, uplift rate = \( 3.2 \pm 0.6 \) mm/yr, shortening rate = \( 6.9 \pm 1.4 \) mm/yr and recurrence interval = \( 1160 \pm 250 \) yr for large-magnitude event with Mw > 7.0. With the recurrence of 1100 yr, the penultimate event probably occurred at around 1400–1500 yr BP. Given the recent GPS based slip rate of \( 14 \pm 1 \) mm/yr in Kangra reentrant (Baneetjee and Burgman, 2002), the present study suggests that about half of this slip is consumed along the HFT and that this fault is more active compared to those in the hinterland.
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1. Introduction
The continued convergence between Indian and Eurasian plates has made the Himalayan arc a seismically active region that experienced moderate to large earthquakes. In last 100 years the Himalaya has experienced three major large-magnitude events during 1905 Kangra (Mw 7.8), 1934 Bihar (Mw 8.1) and 1950 Upper Assam (Mw 8.4) earthquakes (Seeber and Armbruster, 1981; Yeats et al., 1997; Ambraseys and Bilham, 2000; Ambraseys and Douglas, 2004) (Fig. 1a). The recent October 8, 2005 Muzaffarabad earthquake (Mw 7.6) occurred along an earlier identified active fault named Balakot–Bagh fault causing extensive damage in Pakistan as well as in Indian side (Nakata et al., 1991; Kaneda et al., 2008). Seismic hazard evaluation in Himalaya is one of the most crucial problems. Historic records and instrumental data available so far is not so comprehensive and also little or no written records are available from much of the Himalayan belt, hence actual appraisal of hazard from this dataset is difficult (Iyengar and Sharma, 1999; Bilham et al., 2001). For proper seismic hazard estimation,
identification of active faults bears significant importance, it is also necessary to know the accurate locations and geometry of active faults (Gross et al., 2002). Paleoseismic investigation is one of the most commonly adopted techniques towards identification/cata-


dlogging the historic and pre-historic earthquakes in tectonically active regions of the world (McCalpin, 1996).

The studies carried out during several decades have provided important data on the ongoing crustal deformation in the Himalaya. However, not much effort is directed to site-specific studies (Nakata, 1989; Valdiya, 1992; Yeats et al., 1992; Wesnousky et al., 1999; Malik et al., 2003; Malik and Nakata, 2003). Recent paleo-

seismic investigations from India, Pakistan and Nepal along the Himalaya arc have added valuable information towards the occurrence of large-magnitude earthquake during recent historic past. Investigations along Sirmuri Tal fault in Dehra Dun valley along Main Boundary Thrust (MBT) suggests two major earthquakes have struck Dehra Dun region in the last 1000 years (Oatney et al., 2001). Paleoseismic investigations along Black Mango (Kala Amb) tear fault along the Himalayan Frontal Thrust (HFT) in the NW Himalaya have revealed evidence of two large earthquakes with surface ruptures during the past 650 years; subsequent to 1294 AD and 1423 AD and yet another at about 260 AD (Kumar et al., 2001). Also studies from NW Himalaya have indicated major event at around 1400–1500 AD along HFT (Kumar et al., 2006; Malik et al., 2008). Paleoseismic evidence from east central Nepal reveals a single earthquake rupture along the Frontal Thrust during ~1100 AD (Lavé et al., 2005). Trench investigation performed along Balakot–Bagh fault after the 2005 Muzaffarabad earthquake suggests recurrence interval of 1000–3300 yr and shortening rate of 1.4–4.1 mm/yr (Kaneda et al., 2008).

Earthquakes along active faults are periodic (Yeats et al., 1997; McCalpin, 1996) and a proper seismic hazard assessment needs an active fault map with paleoseismic history. The Muzaffarabad 2005 event has raised more concerns towards the seismic hazard assessment in areas along Himalayan foothills, where practically no historic or no active fault-paleoseismic data is available. In this paper we document the geomorphic manifestation of newly identified active faults, named as Hajipur faults in the mesoseismal zone of 1905 Kangra earthquake (Mw 7.8) along the northwestern end of the Janauri anticline (Fig. 1a). These faults along the Himalayan front were identified using CORONA satellite (stereo pair) photos, Digital Elevation Model (DEM) generated from SRTM data followed by detail field investigations (Figs. 2–4). GPR (Ground Penetrating Radar) profiling was conducted to understand the geometry of the faulting and to locate the appropriate site for further paleoseismic investigation. Paleoseismic investigation revealed occurrence of two major events during 2600–800 yr BP and another at around 400 yr BP. The 1905 Kangra and 2005 Muzaffarabad events are the only well documented events from NW Himalaya (Iyengar and Sharma, 1999; Ambraeys and Jackson,
And also up to now, surface ruptures associated with the 2005 event (Yeats and Hussain, 2006; Kaneda et al., 2008) and historic events during 1100 AD and 1400–1500 AD are the only coseismic features known so far along the Himalaya thrust (Lavé et al., 2005; Kumar et al., 2006; Malik et al., 2008). It has been suggested that the 1905 earthquake rupture corresponds to the Jawalamukhi Thrust (JMT, Fig. 1a) and that the slip was never transferred to the HFT (Wallace et al., 2005), this is consistent with our trench results. Moreover, the historical records of pre-1905, major earthquake in Kangra are not available (Bilham, 2001). The historical catalogue from this area and further northwest reports the occurrence of two earthquakes in 1555 AD.

Fig. 2. (a) Shaded relief map showing distribution of major faults and geomorphic divisions of the NW Himalaya (modified after Malik and Mohanty, 2006). Box on top left marks area of Fig. 3. Three topographic profiles extracted from SRTM data are marked by dotted line, these lines are A–A' and B–B' across the northern fringe of Janauri anticline, and X–X' across the central portion of Janauri anticline extending in NE–SW covering the Indo-Gangetic Plain in the south up to Higher Himalaya in north, (b) Topographic profile across the Hajipur fault – HF1 and HF2 along the northern fringe of Janauri anticline. The profile A–A' shows very low scarp along HF1 and HF2, scarps are marked by arrows. The scarp along HF1 is marked by gentle sloping surface, where slight elevation change is seen along HF2. The deformation is a result of movement along the northeast dipping thrust faults (HF1 and HF2), (c) This profile extracted close to the hill range towards southeast shows about 10 m and 8 m high scarp along HF1 and HF2 respectively. Slight back-tilting of uplifted surface towards NE is clearly noticed along HF2, and (d) This profile shows relative topographic variations between Indo-Gangetic Plain in the south and Higher Himalayas in the north. The sharp topographic breaks are indicative of north dipping thrust faults, except in the frontal portion with a south dipping back-thrust.
and in 1885 AD (Mw 6.4) in the Kashmir valley. It is quite possible that the latest event in our trench represents the event occurred during 1500–1600 AD. The information generated by us will be of great importance since no paleoseismic information is available from this region of NW Himalaya.

2. General seismotectonic background

Since the collision (~50 Ma) along the Indus-Tsango Suture Zone (ITSZ), successive deformation zones have progressively advanced southward towards foreland, causing faulting and folding along the south verging prominent structural features [Gansser, 1964; Seeber and Armbruster, 1981; Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1983]. These principal intracrustal thrusts are the Main Central Thrust (MCT), the Main Boundary Thrust (MBT), and the Himalayan Frontal Thrust (HFT) (Fig. 1a and b). These thrusts with an average dip of about 30°–40° northwards mark distinct geological boundaries, to the north the boundary between the Lesser Himalayan sedimentary and metasedimentary rocks is marked by the MBT, and between Lesser Himalayan and the Higher Himalayan Crystallines, by the MCT (Valdiya, 1980). The HFT, displacing the Siwalik (Powers et al., 1998) demarcates the boundary between the foreland fold (Siwalik range) composed of late Tertiary to early Quaternary molassic sediments (Upper Siwalik) and the undeformed Quaternary alluvial deposits of Indo-Gangetic Plain (Raiverman et al., 1994; Powers et al., 1998). Occurrence of 5–50 m high discontinuous fault scarps displacing the Late Quaternary and Holocene alluvial-fan surfaces and fluvial terraces along the MBT and HFT suggests recent tectonic movements (Nakata, 1972, 1989; Yeats et al., 1992; Valdiya, 1992; Wesnousky et al., 1999; Kumar et al., 2001; Malik and Nakata, 2003; Malik et al., 2003). It is suggested that these major thrusts converge towards north and merge into a décollement, the Main Himalayan Thrust (MHT) (Seeber and Armbruster, 1981; Zhao et al., 1993; Brown et al., 1996; Hodges, 2000; Hodges et al., 2004) (Fig. 1a and b).

Tectonic models proposed for the evolution of Himalaya suggest that the zone of plate convergence gradually moved towards foreland (e.g. Powell and Conaghan, 1973; LeFort, 1975; Stöcklin, 1980). It is also suggested that at each stage, the deformation was taken up by a newly formed younger tectonic structure and older fault systems became inactive. This means MBT is active and MCT is dormant. Later the deformation moved on to the front along HFT which marks
the southernmost limit of the Himalayan orogenic belt (Validya, 2003). The steady-state model proposed, also suggested a gradual shift of the deformation towards the foreland, with MBT and MCT as contemporaneous features and MCT is still active (Seeber et al., 1981; Seeber and Armbruster, 1981). This is supported by knick points observed in river profiles which cross the MCT and from the evidence of uplifted terraces in the Higher Himalaya (Seeber and Gornitz, 1983). Moreover, several geological and geomorphological evidences indicate ongoing tectonic activity along the MCT (Validya, 1980; Seeber and Gornitz, 1983) as well as along the MBT (Validya, 1992; Nakata, 1989; Malik and Nakata, 2003).

Within the last decade, several studies focusing on convergence rates have provided an opportunity to compare the short-term shortening rates measured by GPS with the long-term rates estimated from geological and geomorphological studies. Based on the NUVEL-1A model (DeMets et al., 1994), India moves northward with respect to Asia at a rate of 40 mm/yr in the west to 52 mm/yr in the east (Bilham and Gaur, 2000). GPS measurements determined that the India–Eurasia plate convergence rate is 37 ± 2 mm/yr in the west to 44 mm/yr in the east (Bilham and Gaur, 2000). GPS measurements in Nepal suggest that India and southern Tibet converge at a rate of about 20 ± 3 mm/yr (Bilham et al., 2001). This short-term rate is consistent with the long-term rate of 21 ± 1.5 mm/yr deduced from dating of folded terraces across the Siwalik Hills in central Nepal Himalaya (Lavé and Avouac, 2000). Recent GPS measurements carried along western Himalaya determined a lower rate of 14 ± 1 mm/yr (Banerjee and Bürgmann, 2002). This rate is consistent with the shortening rates deduced from the restoration of balanced geological cross-section across the fold and thrust belt in the Himalayan frontal portion between the HFT and the MBT, with a rate of 14 ± 2 mm/yr across the Kangra reentrant and 11 ± 5 mm/yr across the Dehra Dun reentrant (Powers et al., 1998). Rates determined from geomorphological and paleoseismic investigations suggest a slip rate of 13.8 ± 3.16 mm/yr near Mohand in the Dehra Dun region (Wensnousky et al., 1999), 9.62 ± 7.0/–3.5 mm/yr along the Black Mango tear fault (Kumar et al., 2001), and about 6.3 ± 2 mm/yr near Chandigarh (Malik and Nakata, 2003) are also consistent with the above rates.

3. General geomorphological setting

In this study we use high resolution CORONA KH-4B satellite data (stereo pair, ground resolution ~6 feet) of September 1971, Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission (SRTM) 3 arc second data (resolution ~90 m) and Survey of India (SOI) topographic maps (scale 1: 50,000) in order to map active faults and landforms. Field investigations allow us to identify two active fault traces on the left bank of the Beas River (Figs. 1a, 2a, 3a and 4).

The Himalayan belt in northwestern portion of the arc is widely distributed in 100 km wide N–S zone (Fig. 2a and d). Geomorphologically the area can be broadly classified into four zones, from north to south (Nakata, 1972; Powers et al., 1998; Malik and Mohanty, 2007). These zones are bounded to south by major thrust faults, (1) folded mountain range of Higher Himalaya (>3000 m) comprised of Mesozoic and older metasedimentary rocks are separated from Lesser Himalaya hills (~2000 m) made up of late Proterozoic–early Cambrian rocks by Main Central Thrust (MCT) in the south. Along with this the area further south between the Main Boundary Thrust (MBT) and Soan Thrust (SnT) is marked by several NNW–SSE trending fold ranges bounded to their south by major thrust faults, viz. Palampur Thrust (PtT), Jawalamukhi Thrust (JMT), Barsar Thrust (BaT) etc. These hills consist of inter-layered succession of conglomerate, sandstone, claystone etc., of Lower and Middle Tertiary sediments. (2) In the frontal part another prominent geomorphic feature is marked by a longitudinal intermontane
valley locally known as Soan Dun. This valley is filled by thick alluvium of late Pleistocene to Holocene age overlying the Middle and Lower Siwalik succession represents the debris deposited by coalesced alluvial-fan and finer fluvial deposits. Soan Dun is bounded to its north by Lower Tertiary hills known as Bharwani anticline (350–650 m) in northwestern part of the arc and to the south by Upper Siwalik (Sub-Himalaya) hills – the Janauri anticline. The Nahan Thrust (NaT) marks the boundary between the Lower Tertiary hill and Soan Dun. (3) The NNW–SSE striking Janauri Anticline (400–650 m) composed of Mid-Miocene to late Pleistocene age molassic sediments (Upper Siwaliks) marks the Sub-Himalaya range which represent the southernmost fringe of the Himalaya. This range is separated from the Indo-Gangetic Plain in the south by Himalayan Frontal Thrust (HFT). The frontal fold along it back limb is bounded by south dipping back-thrust (Powers et al., 1998). (4) The vast Indo-Gangetic Plain which represents the present foreland basin comprised of young Quaternary fluvial and alluvial-fan sediment succession deposited by the drainage debouching on the plain. The Janauri anticline does not seem to be extending further northwestern (Figs. 2a, 3b and 4), however, the extension is seen taken over by two younger faults (Figs. 2b, c, 3a and 4). The overall drainage network suggests that the Beas River is one of the major Himalayan river debouch on the alluvial plain, is marked by comparatively wider channel ranging from 5 to 8 km wide with prominent U-shape meander (Figs. 2–4).

3.1. Active fault distribution

Interpretation of CORONA KH-4B satellite photos and SRTM data helped in identifying the fault scarps. In this paper, the active faults are defined as one those ruptured repeatedly during the Late Quaternary, and are capable to generate rupture on the surface in future. The manifestation of young deformation along the fault is always reflected on the surface in form of the deformed geomorphic feature such as active fault scarps or warped surface. Based on prominent elevation change trace of two linear features – the Hajipur fault (HF1 and HF2) extending for about 8–10 km with NNW–SSE strike along the northwestern end of the Janauri anticline were identified on CORONA satellite photos and with the help of DEM extracted from SRTM data (Figs. 2–4). The HF1 – fault scarp along the front and HF2 in the hinterland side on the left bank of Beas River, have displaced/uplifted the floodplain deposits of the Beas River, resulting into formation of WSW facing scarps. The scarp height along HF1 and HF2 varies along the strike, marked with higher elevation near the range front and gradual reduction in NNW direction (Figs. 2a–c and 4). The height varies from 2 to 15 m. HF1 and HF2 are ~2.5 km apart and tectonic movements along these faults produced a stepped topography (Fig. 2a–c). Near the range front along HF2, distinct gentle back-tilting of about 6°–8° towards NNE direction was observed. Along the strike HF1 extends laterally for 8–8.5 km, and HF2 for 10–10.5 km (Figs. 2a–c and 4). The scarp height is 10–12 m along the HF1 near Bamonwal-Siprian villages, and reduces up to 8 m and as low as 2 m along the strike in NNW direction (Figs. 2a–c, 3a and 4). The scarp height along HF2 ranges from 6 to 8 m near the range front and reduces up to about 1.5 m along the strike (Figs. 2b, c and 4). Finally, both the scarps die-out towards near the tip (Fig. 4). The surface morphology of the scarp along HF1 is highly diversified and degraded compared to HF2 (Figs. 2–4). The sharp geomorphic contact and less degraded scarp along HF2 are indicative of younger displacement during recent geological past. Also the greater lateral extend of HF2 fault in terms of length justifies that younger movement has occurred along this fault (Fig. 4).

It has been suggested that any displacement related to normal or reverse faulting or on elastic crack will propagate laterally as well as vertically and marks reduction towards the ends along the strike (e.g., Gudmundsson, 1987a,b, 2000; Cartwright et al., 1995; Walsh et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2005). The decrease in displacement at the lateral ends (tips) of the faults or cracks will lead towards reduction of surface manifestation of deformation on ground (Gudmundsson, 1987a,b, 2000). The same was observed along the HF1 and HF2 scarps. The higher elevation of the scarp near the hill range as compared to the tip area indicates large displacements. A north-westward gradual decrease in heights of HF1 and HF2 scarps suggests lateral propagation along the strike and growth of associated folds (Figs. 2–4). Assuming that the folds grow by successive coseismic movements along the fault, it can be suggested that overall slip was accumulated during more than one major event (Walsh et al., 2002). Such lateral propagation of folds has resulted in diversion of rivers in active thrust-and-fold belt (Delcaillau et al., 1998; Champel et al., 2002; Bés de Berc et al., 2005; Malik and Mohanty, 2007). Thus, the deflection–diversion of the Beas River is attributed to northward propagation of faults (Figs. 3a and 4). According to Burbank et al. (1992) occurrence of parallel multiple fault lines are suggestive of imbricated fault system, commonly observed in thrust-and-fold belts. The surface distribution of two parallel faults HF1 and HF2 suggests the existence of an imbricated fault system and propagation of the tectonic activity from two fault-related-fold segments – JF1 and JF2 respectively (Figs. 3a and 4). Based on morphological characteristic and length of both the faults suggest that hinterland HF2 fault is more active as compared to HF1, and has accommodated most recent movements.

4. Georadar survey and paleoseismic investigation

With an aim to identify most recent events in the area, the Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) survey was carried out across the HF2 fault scarp. GPR survey using a 200 MHz shielded antenna with SIR 3000 system (Geophysical Survey System Inc.) was carried out to confirm shallow subsurface faulting and to locate appropriate trenching sites along HF2 (Figs. 3 and 5a). Several profiles helped optimize acquisition parameters (Table 1), and finally a 32 m long profile with 7–8 m penetration depth was collected. High signals to noise ratio implied direct use of data without signal processing. Topographic corrections and auto gain control (AGC) enhanced the display resolution. Stacking was applied to avoid stretching of the data due to topographic correction.

Based on the prominent offsets and warping observed in the georadar reflections two low-angle thrust faults strands F1 and F2 were identified (Fig. 5a and b). Strands F1 identified between 19 and 28 m horizontal distance and F2 between 8 and 26 m marker are shown in Fig. 5b. Warping of radar reflections on the hanging wall of F1 fault at 18–22 m markers was seen; also similar deformed reflectors were noticed below the fault plane of F2 strands between 14 and 20 m markers on the footwall side. The F1 merges with F2 between 18 and 19 markers at a depth of about 5 m. F2 further continues towards the surface, traceable up to 8 m marker (Fig. 5b). The offset could be observed up to the surface with a deformation near the tip along F2.

We excavated two trenches (T1 and T2) across the HF2 fault scarp (Figs. 3b, 4, 6 and 7). Trench – T1 is about 7 m long, 1–2 m deep, and ~2m wide. Four stratigraphic units from A (older) to D (younger) were identified (Fig. 6). The exposed trench section revealed a very low-angle fault displacing units A and B over unit B. Internal folding is well noticed by the preferred orientation of the gravel clasts. Trench – T2 (18 m long, 1–4.5 m deep and 2–2.5 m wide) revealed excellent deformational features. In this paper we discuss trench – T2 in greater detail (Fig. 7a and b). Similar to T1, four stratigraphic units were identified in T2. Unit A, about 1.5–3.0 m thick is made of rounded to sub-rounded poorly sorted
cobble-pebble, weakly stratified fining upwards sequences, with occasional occurrence of lens shaped medium sand (unit A0). This unit shows channel deposit. Unit A was overlain by about 2.5 m thick massive medium to coarse sand unit – Unit B. The lower part unit B (1.5 m) comprises more clayey silt package with blocky structures compared to the upper part, whereas the upper 1 m part shows occasional laminations and scattered pottery fragments. Looking to the proximity of the Beas River it is suggested that, unit B represents its older floodplain, deposited under overbank environment during flooding condition. Unit C (0.5 m) comprising medium to coarse sand got deposited during the phase of scarp degradation (Fig. 7b). Unit D, about 0.2–0.4 m thick capped the overall succession, comprised medium to fine sand with dispersed gravels having shallow channel-trough geometry, which can be interpreted as a channel-fill.

Only F2 dipping towards NE was observed in the trench (Fig. 7). The dip of F2 was ~32° at a depth in the northeast of trench and graded to ~8° to almost horizontal in the southwest near surface. The F2 splayed into three branching faults: fα, fβ and fγ near the surface. The faulting along F2 strand as well as branching faults displaces the unit A (gravel) and unit B (massive sand) indicative of one single event. These units (A and B) show prominent folding along low-angle fault. We surmise that the faulting along all these faults is coeval since they all are covered by unit C. The flattening of fault geometry has been observed in the trenches excavated across the El-Asnam fault in Algeria (Meghraoui et al., 1988), in the Himalaya across Chandigarh fault (Malik et al., 2003, 2008) and in Pakistan across the fault scarp related to 2005 Muzaffarabad earthquake (Kaneda et al., 2008; Kondo et al., 2005).

The displacement measured along the F2 strand taking into consideration the bounding surface between the units A and B exposed in the trench suggests that these units have been displaced by about ≥7.5 m during recent event (Fig. 7b). The folding of these units along the fault suggests that part of the slip has also been consumed in folding. In addition to this the branching faults (fα, fβ and fγ) show displacement of ≥0.4 m, ≥0.5 m and ≥0.5 m respectively. Therefore, adding the displacement along the main (F2) as well as the splayed faults gives a total slip of ~8.5–9 m during single event.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acquisition Parameters</th>
<th>GPR survey across Hajipur fault (HF2-hinterland), NW Himalaya foothill zone.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antenna</td>
<td>200 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample/scan</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bits/sample</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (scans/s)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scans/m</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIR (Infinite Impulse Response) filter</td>
<td>Low pass 300 MHz, High pass 20 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric constant</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. (a) Unprocessed 32 m long Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) profile collected with 200 MHz antenna across Hajipur fault (HF2), (b) Processed profile showing two low-angle thrust fault strands F1 between 19 and 28 m, and F2 between 8 and 26 m horizontal distance marker. Warping of radar reflections was identified on the hanging wall of F1 fault at 18–22 m markers, and on the footwall side below the fault plane of F2 strand between 14 and 20 m markers. F1 merges with F2 between 18 and 19 markers. F2 continues near to the surface up to 8 m marker showing prominent deformation. The data is shown in the form of line-scan, which represents the amplitudes, Red is positive pulse and Blue marks negative pulse. TWT-two way travel time in nanosecond (ns). The box with dotted line shows approximate trench area. AW – Air wave and GW – Ground wave. The dotted line shows the location of trench area across the scarp. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Based on the GPR profile and exposed trench section it is suggested that at least two major events have been experienced along this HF2. The event sequences of faulting can be constructed as, Stage I – Event I, faulting along F1, displacement of unit A (Fig. 8a); Stage II – deposition of unit B (Fig. 8b). The blocky nature of the lower part of unit B compared to the upper part, suggests that probably there was break in deposition. Later during next phase of deposition the upper part of unit B was deposited under same overbank environment (Fig. 8b). Stage III – Event II, displacement of units A and B by $\frac{7.5}{C_21}$ along F2 and along $f_a$, $f_b$, and $f_c$ with total slip of $\sim 9$ m (Fig. 8c). Movement occurred after the deposition of unit B. Stage IV – erosion, deposition of units C and D (Fig. 8d).

Fig. 6. North wall of 7 m long and 1–2 m deep trench T1 excavated across Hajipur fault (HF2). The exposed trench section shows four major lithounits, unit A – gravel, unit B – massive sand, unit C – medium to fine sand capping the faulted units, and unit D – topmost unit capping the overall sequence. A low-angle thrust fault has displaced units A and B. The clast fabric in unit A reveals folding. For location refer Figs. 3 and 4.

Fig. 7. (a) North facing wall of 18 m long trench section excavated across Hajipur fault (HF2), (b) The trench wall revealed only F2 strand. The exposed successions show four major lithounits. Unit A – gravel deposits and A’ is sandy unit embedded within the grave unit, Unit B – massive sand unit, Unit C comprising medium to coarse sand, and Unit D comprised of medium to fine sand capping the sequence. Both units A–A’ and B have been displaced along the F2 strand. The NE dipping F2 strand is marked with variable dip of $\sim 32^\circ$ in deeper part of the trench and to $\sim 8^\circ$ to almost horizontal in the southwest near surface. Total displacement of about 8–9 m (i.e. $\sim 7.5$ m along the main fault and 1.5 m along the branching faults ($f_a$, $f_b$, and $f_c$)) was measured in trench. Location of samples dated by OSL and AMS are marked on the trench, (c) Topographic profile collected with total station shows scarp height of about 6 m. The oldest unit A shows total vertical displacement of about 8 m measured between the top of the exposed gravel in the trench and top on the scarp in the hanging wall. For location refer Figs. 3 and 4.
5. Chronology of events

Optically Simulated Luminescence (OSL) of sediments and radiocarbon Accelerator Mass Spectroscopy (AMS) of detrital charcoal were used for the chronology of stratigraphy and related faulting events (Tables 2 and 3). OSL dated the depositional event of the sediment and radiocarbon ages dated charcoal associated with the sediment. OSL ages are calendar ages whereas radiocarbon ages

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of the sample&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Lab sample number</th>
<th>δ&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;sec&lt;/sub&gt; (‰)</th>
<th>Conventional age&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Corrected pMC&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Radiocarbon age (BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated age 2σ&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit D</td>
<td>H2a</td>
<td>KIA30702</td>
<td>−27.84 ± 0.13</td>
<td>225 ± 50 BP</td>
<td>97.22 ± 0.59</td>
<td>226 ± 49</td>
<td>cal A.D. 1623–1696 (probability 30.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit B</td>
<td>H5</td>
<td>KIA30705</td>
<td>−27.78 ± 0.19</td>
<td>550 ± 50 BP</td>
<td>93.39 ± 0.57</td>
<td>549 ± 49</td>
<td>cal A.D. 1302–1370 (probability 44.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Samples were processed and measurements were carried out at Leibniz Labor für Altersbestimmung und Isotopenforschung Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel.
<sup>b</sup> Conventional 14C ages were calculated according to Stuiver and Polach (1977) with a δ<sup>13</sup>C correction for isotopic fractionation based on the δ<sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio measured by our AMS-system simultaneously with the 14C/12C ratio.
<sup>c</sup> “Calibrated” or calendar ages were calculated using “CALIB rev 4.3” (Dataset 2, 1998 decadal atmospheric data), Stuiver et al. (1998).
<sup>d</sup> “Corrected pMC” indicates the percent of modern (1950) carbon corrected for fractionation using the δ<sup>13</sup>C measurement.
<sup>e</sup> Please note that the δ<sup>13</sup>C includes the fractionation occurring in the sample preparation as well as in the AMS measurement and therefore cannot be compared to a mass spectrometer measurement.
<sup>f</sup> Refer trench section Fig. 7b for sample location.
refer to 1950 base line and hence in comparing OSL and radiocarbon ages, ~50a should be added to radiocarbon ages. For OSL dating, standard Single Aliquot Regeneration protocol on pure IRSL tested quartz was of Wintle and Murray (2006). The dispersion paleoages were small and a mean age was selected. The radioactivity of samples were measured using the ZnS (Ag) thick source alpha counting technique and NaI(Tl) gamma ray spectrometry. The dose rate was calculated using elemental concentration of U, Th and K, assuming radioactive equilibrium and 10% water content. Cosmic ray contribution for the site was computed following Prescott and Stephen (1982).

The AMS radiocarbon measurements were carried out at Labor für Altersbestimmung und Isotopenforschung Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Kiel on charcoal samples. The sediment sample (Fig. 7b; Tables 2 and 3) from unit A (JMT2) sand lens within unit A provided OSL age of 2600 ± 500 yr. Radiocarbon age of detrital charcoal (HS) from unit B collected from the hanging wall in the overthrust blocked yield an age of 550 ± 50 yr BP and the sample from the same unit (JMT4) gave OSL age of 800 ± 100 yr. The sediment sample (JMT5) from unit B on the footwall yielded an OSL age of 400 ± 100 yr. The unit C capping the units A and B gave an OSL age of 300 ±40 yr (JMT6), and the detrital charcoal (H2a) from the uppermost unit D gave an age of 225 ± 50 yr BP. Conjunctive use of two methods added an advantage towards validation of radiocarbon ages, besides the depositional and soil formation ages.

Stratigraphically, Event I along the F1 could be bracketed by the ages of unit A–A’ and unit B, i.e. between 2600 yr and 800 yr BP (Fig. 7b). Event II along F2 strand post-dated unit B (400 yr BP) and pre-dated unit C (300 yr BP) (Figs. 7b and 8). Unit A was displaced along F1 (Event I) and along F2 (Event II).

6. Discussion and conclusion

Any displacement along normal or reverse faulting or on elastic crack will propagate laterally as well as vertically with a reduction towards the tips of the faults along the strike (e.g., Gudmundsson, 1987a,b, 2000; Cartwright et al., 1995; Walsh et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2005). It has been suggested that as displacement occurs during major earthquake – fault grows, propagates laterally by acquiring more length along the strike (Walsh et al., 2002; Champel et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2005). Such phenomenon of lateral propagation of fault and related fold growth usually results in fluvial diversion, most commonly noticed in the active thrust-and-fold belts (Delcaillau et al., 1998; Champel et al., 2002; Bès de Berc et al., 2005; Malik and Mohanty, 2007; Simoes et al., 2007). The present study revealed occurrence of two parallel striking (NNW–SSE) fault scarps (HF1 and HF2) along the northwestern end of the Janauri anticline, which marks the frontal foreland fold extending for about 100 km (Figs. 2–4). The reduction in the height of the scarps towards NNW, i.e. from about 10 to 12 m near the hill range at Bamonwal-Siprian villages, reducing up to 8 m and as low as 2 m along HF1; and also from 6 to 8 m near the hill range, reducing up to about 1.5 m towards NWW along HF2 is suggestive of lateral propagation of fault and related folding in the frontal part of Himalaya (Figs. 2–4). This is further well justified by the deflection–diversion of Beas River channel in its present location (Figs. 3 and 4). The parallel striking HF1 and HF2 faults represents the propagation of deformation from two fault-related-fold segments – F1 and F2 respectively and represents the imbricated faults from the main Himalayan Frontal Thrust (HFT) system (Figs. 3a and 4).

The highly diffused and degraded morphology of HF1 scarp as compared to the HF2 suggests that the HF2 has experienced younger displacement during recent geological past. The length of the HF2 fault with 10 km and HF1 with 8 km also indicates that the HF2 is still accommodating recent movements and is comparatively more active than HF1.

The GPR helped us in identifying two northeast dipping low-angle thrust faults strands F1 and F2 (Fig. 5a and b). Exposed section in trench revealed only F2 strand with variable dip of ~32° in deeper part of the trench in northeast and graded to ~8° to almost horizontal in the southwest near surface displacing older gravel unit A and the overlying massive sand unit B. Flattening of fault in compression regime has been usually observed in thrust-fold-belt, e.g. in Algeria along El-Asnam fault (Meghraoui et al., 1988), in Himalaya along Chandigarh Fault (Malik et al., 2008) and along Tanda Fault in Pakistan (Kondo et al., 2005).

Total station profile gave scarp height of 6 m, whereas taking into consideration the top of the unit A exposed at the base of the trench and on the top of the scarp on hanging wall side gave a total vertical separation of about 8 m (Fig. 7c). The displacement of about 9 m (along F2 and c) during single event, and average fault angle of 25° measured from trench gave vertical separation of about 3.8 m and horizontal shortening of about 8.2 m during one single event. Therefore, the total vertical displacement of gravel unit A of about 8 m (Fig. 7c) suggests that this height has been acquired from at least two events, where the unit A got displaced along F1 strand during penultimate event and again along F2 strand during the latest event.

Radiocarbon and OSL ages shows that the earthquakes occurred during past ~2.6 ka (Fig. 7b; Tables 2 and 3). The OSL age of the finer layer in gravel unit (unit A) with 2600 yr gave the depositional age of the sediments (Fig. 7b and Table 3: sample no. JMT2). Therefore, the upper part of the gravel unit must be comparatively younger than 2600 yr. The OSL ages from unit B ranging from 800 yr up to 400 yr (Fig. 7b and Table 3: sample nos. JTM4 and JMT5) suggest that the deposition of the unit continued for longer span of time under the overbank depositional environment. The radiocarbon age of 550 yr BP and OSL age of 800 yr of unit B (Fig. 7b and Tables 2 and 3: sample nos. H5 and JMT4) from the overthrust portion is suggestive of reworked charcoal fragment and sediments from deeper part. The unit C with OSL age of 300 yr caps the faulted succession (i.e. units A and B), and topmost unit D gave an age of 226 yr BP (Fig. 7b and Tables 2 and 3: samples JMT6 and H2a). It is inferred that the penultimate event (Event I) occurred along F1 strand displacing the unit A’–A, later covered by unit B.

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of the samplea</th>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Th-232 (ppm)</th>
<th>U-238 (ppm)</th>
<th>K (%)</th>
<th>De (Gy)b</th>
<th>DR (Gy/ka)c</th>
<th>Age (ka)d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit A</td>
<td>JMT2</td>
<td>17.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.07</td>
<td>9.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit B</td>
<td>JMT4</td>
<td>12.3 ± 6.0</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit C</td>
<td>JMT5</td>
<td>11.6 ± 5.0</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit D</td>
<td>JMT6</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a* Refer to trench section Fig. 7b for sample location.

*b* Equivalent dose (*De*) computed using minimum plus two sigma, water content assumed to be 10%, cosmic ray dose 150 micro-gray per year. Dating was carried out at Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, India.

---

that the recurrence of large-magnitude events (M ≥ 8) has been identified along the southeastern end of the Chandigarh anticline (Fig. 1a). Given the uncertainties in dates, considering the event around 1400–1500 AD (Malik et al., 2008). This event has been dated about 150 km southeast of the trench site suggests latest event in the region. However, there is a report of event little north during 1555 AD – Kashmir event which added in improving our manuscript. We are also thankful to Prof. Joao Hippert for his suggestions and comments which added in improving our manuscript. We are also thankful to Prof. M. Meghraoui, IPG Strasbourg, France for valuable suggestions.

There is no report of historic event during the time frame of penultimate as well as latest event in the region. However, there is a report of event little north during 1555 AD – Kashmir event which caused ground fissures and rupture extend up to 100 km (Ambraseys and Jackson, 2003). Also it has been suggested that most recent event along Hajipur fault occurred during 1500 AD (Malik et al., in press). Paleoseismic study along the HFT near Chandigarh city shows that the recurrence of 1100 yr obtained from the trench data and the occurrence of latest event it is suggested that the penultimate event probably occurred at around 1400–1500 yr BP. Paleoseismic investigations near the HFT in Central Himalaya suggest that ~1330–1280 years or more are needed to produce ~11–20 m slip during a single event and with time averaged slip of ~6–18 mm/yr (Kumar et al., 2006). Similarly, along the front part in eastern Nepal Lavé et al. (2005) suggest a single rupture event with slip of 17–42 m, and infer a return period ranging between 1800 and 3000 years. A rupture with ~4–8 m of slip along 240 km long fault is associated to an earthquake with Mw 8.4 to Mw 8.8 (Lavé et al., 2005). We concluded that the recurrence of large-magnitude events (M > 7.5) along the Himalayan Frontal Thrust requires return time of about ~1000–1100 yr.

There is no report of historic event during the time frame of penultimate as well as latest event in the region. However, there is a report of event little north during 1555 AD – Kashmir event which caused ground fissures and rupture extend up to 100 km (Ambraseys and Jackson, 2003). Also it has been suggested that most recent event along Hajipur fault occurred during 1500 AD (Malik et al., in press). Paleoseismic study along the HFT near Chandigarh city shows that the recurrence of 1100 yr obtained from the trench data and the occurrence of latest event it is suggested that the penultimate event probably occurred at around 1400–1500 yr BP. Paleoseismic investigations near the HFT in Central Himalaya suggest that ~1330–1280 years or more are needed to produce ~11–20 m slip during a single event and with time averaged slip of ~6–18 mm/yr (Kumar et al., 2006). Similarly, along the front part in eastern Nepal Lavé et al. (2005) suggest a single rupture event with slip of 17–42 m, and infer a return period ranging between 1800 and 3000 years. A rupture with ~4–8 m of slip along 240 km long fault is associated to an earthquake with Mw 8.4 to Mw 8.8 (Lavé et al., 2005). We concluded that the recurrence of large-magnitude events (M > 7.5) along the Himalayan Frontal Thrust requires return time of about ~1000–1100 yr.

This recurrence is significant for seismic hazard assessment because, presently, no sufficient paleoseismic data in this region of Himalayan foothills is available, this information will play important role in evaluating seismic hazard in this area along the thickly populated Indo-Gangetic Plain.
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