Boundary layer effect at the edge of fibrous composites using homogenization theory
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ABSTRACT

Many studies in the theory of composite materials are based on the homogenization approach, which consists of the substitution of the heterogeneous medium by a homogeneous one with certain effective properties. These effective properties are obtained from internal asymptotics which excludes boundary layer effects. However, at the boundary of the individual ply the periodic solution is disrupted. This loss of periodicity of the interior asymptotic solution needs to be accounted for. Here, the boundary layer solution is a correction in the micro solution which accounts for the applied boundary condition. This error gives rise to a sharp boundary layer which decays very quickly when it travels to the interior of the domain, leaving behind a tail which has to be added to the interior solutions to get the correct representation of the global displacement field. It is shown that this correction is significant, local and affects local stress and strain predictions, which are missed by meso or classical micro mechanical solutions. This important outcome of the study proves the theoretical estimates available in the literature (via error estimates in $L^2$ and $H^1$ - norms) emphasizing significant improvement in the local solution at the boundary. It is shown, through numerical examples, that the corrected solution can significantly alter damage initiation predictions at the boundary.

1. Introduction

The homogenization of micro structured composite material is a subject of continuous interest for many years. For this kind of materials, the locally heterogeneous material behaves as a homogeneous media when the characteristic size of the inclusion is much smaller than the size of the whole domain. According to Willis [1] numerous methods in mechanics of composite can be classified into four broad categories: asymptotic, self-consistent, variational, and modeling methods. Most of the methods explained in literature [2,3] accurately predict the effective composite properties provided that the ratio of the RVE size to the global structural dimension is very small, i.e. tending to zero. Well known micro mechanical methods [4,5] include self consistent model [6], concentric cylinder assemblage model [7], method of cells and fully periodic model as shown in Fig. 1. These are the local interior idealization of the micro structure, enabling solution of local problems through which one can predict the effective properties. The solution of this boundary value problem gives the average properties along with the relation between the average and local strains.

Further, local strains can be estimated from the relationship between average and local strains through local structure tensor obtained from the local analysis. The advantage of micromechanical approach is not only to predict the global properties of composites but also to model various micro mechanisms such as damage initiation and propagation. For the analysis, a basic structural element is defined as the smallest element of the microstructure reflecting basic geometrical features. A micrograph of materials, shown in Fig. 2, often displays randomness in distribution thereby making this assumption restrictive.

A brief review presented here deals with the asymptotic approaches explained in literature [8,9] that are capable of analyzing the composite materials with constituents with high distinction in mechanical properties. The asymptotic method for homogenization is detailed in the works by Sanchez-Palencia [10] and Suquet [11]. As remarked by Sanchez-Palencia [10], the two scale asymptotic expansion is a classical method in mechanics. This approach obtains asymptotical developments for the heterogeneous medium in both micro and macro scale. The mathematical theory of homogenization, is used as an alternative approach to find the effective properties of the equivalent homogenized material [12]. The finite element method has been successfully applied in conjunction with the homogenization theory for the analysis of linear
From a mathematical point of view, the theory of homogenization is a limit theory which uses the asymptotic expansion from Ref. [14] and the assumption of periodicity to substitute the differential equations with rapidly oscillating coefficients. For our problem, we consider the unit cell as shown in Fig. 2 (c). In unit cell models, global properties are determined by assuming macroscopic periodicity conditions on the RVEs. Local problems are solved for specific representative load cases, thus representing the actual interaction between macro and micro scale deformations. Further, novel three-dimensional homogenization methods are developed for the design of composites with woven reinforcement [15-18].

It is noteworthy that several authors, e.g., Refs. [19-21] and references therein, have studied the meso-level boundary layer problem in layered composites. These studies use the effective properties of plies. A number of authors studied the convergence of solutions of equations with rapidly oscillating coefficients to a solution of an averaged equation that does not require the coefficients to be periodic, including a nearly periodic case [22] and sufficient conditions [23] for the convergence of solutions. However, problems relating to the theory of the boundary layer in inhomogeneous media were first treated by Panasenko [24]. Panasenko [25,26] also considered some mathematical questions of the boundary layer theory for inhomogeneous media. However, not much literature is available on the boundary layer tail except the theoretical work done by Allaire and Amar [27], Tartar [28]. Dumontet [29] studied the free edge effects in planar (2D) elastic composite materials with periodic micro structure near a Neumann boundary. The results demonstrate the significance of boundary layer corrections.

In this study, we address the problem of boundary layer in a three-dimensional periodic homogenization for a domain with fixed edges (though the type of boundary condition is not a constraint on the method). Our goal is to investigate how the boundary layer decays from the vicinity of the boundary to the interior of the domain for different fibre orientations. The study is organized as follows: In section 2, the formulation for the asymptotic homogenization for multiple scale analysis starting from the basic elastic continuum is discussed. In section 3, the asymptotic method is extended to the vicinity of the boundary through a boundary layer correction. In section 4 and section 5, numerical implementation and results are discussed, with fibres at different orientations.
studied. Further the behaviour of the boundary layer for different fundamental load cases is analyzed.

2. Asymptotic homogenization for multiple scale analysis

Homogenization methods have proven to be powerful techniques for the study of heterogeneous media (see Refs. [14,30]). This section describes in detail asymptotic homogenization technique for elasticity [31]. We assume that the material under consideration has a microstructure comprising of two or more different elastic materials which are periodically distributed in all the three directions throughout the material and is represented. By the unit cell. In the first step, the well known two scale asymptotic expansion method is applied in order to find the precise form of the homogenized equation [31,32]. The existence of two length scales, such as length scale of the microstructure, and the length scale of the structure, L, is shown in Fig. 3. Different patterns of the unit cell have been shown in Fig. 3 (a), out of which we have taken one pattern (shown in Fig. 3 (b)) as the representative volume element. A numerical finite element method has been employed directly to study the macroscopic behaviour of the structure together with its microstructure. This theory is based on two assumptions: The first one is that the fields vary on spatial variables where, the function $\sigma_{ij}$ is associated with the small length scale of the microstructural displacements. The microstructural displacements $\Delta \sigma_{ij}$ are given as

$$\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \Delta u_{kl}$$

(1)

where, the function $C_{ijkl}$ is $Y$ - periodic in $x$. Let,

$$\epsilon_{ij}(u^\Delta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i^\Delta}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j^\Delta}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

(3)

be the strain field, and

$$\sigma_{ij}^\Delta = C_{ijkl}^\Delta \epsilon_{kl}(u^\Delta)$$

(4)

with, the script $\Delta$ denoting quantities that describe the rapidly oscillating behaviour of the material under consideration. Let $C_{ijkl}^\Delta$ be a periodically oscillating matrix of coefficients. Then the boundary value problem of elasticity is

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( C_{ijkl}^\Delta \Delta u_{ij} \right) = f_i \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$\Delta u_{ij} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \text{ and } \left( C_{ijkl}^\Delta \Delta u_{ij} \right) n_j = t_i \text{ on } \Gamma_f$$

(5)

which admits a unique solution in $H^1_0 = \left\{ u \mid \int_{\Omega} C_{ijkl}^\Delta \Delta u_{ij} \Delta u_{kl} \, dV < \infty, \Delta u_{ij} |_{\Gamma_0} = 0 \right\}$. The homogenization of the above equation is a classical issue, which can be seen in the literature (see e.g. Refs. [8,9]). The solution $\Delta u_{ij}$ is approximated with an asymptotic series representation in $\Delta$ to admit the following ansatz

$$\Delta u_{ij}(x,y) = \Delta u_{ij}^{(1)}(x,y) + \Delta^2 u_{ij}^{(2)}(x,y) + \ldots + \Delta^{n} u_{ij}^{(n)}(x,y) + \ldots$$

(6)

where, $\Delta u_{ij}$ is the exact value of the field variable, $u_{ij}^{(m)}$ is the macroscopic or average value of the field variable. The displacements $u_{ij}^{(1)}, u_{ij}^{(2)}, \ldots, u_{ij}^{(n(m))}$ are the perturbations in the field variable due to the microstructure, also called microstructural displacements. The microstructural displacements $u_{ij}^{(m)}$ are $Y$ - periodic functions with respect to fast variable $y$. The strains $\epsilon_{ij}(u)$ can be expanded as

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} = \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_i} \right)$$

(7)

Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (3), we get

$$\epsilon_{ij}(u^\Delta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i^\Delta}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j^\Delta}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{1}{\Delta} \left( \frac{\partial u_i^\Delta}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial u_j^\Delta}{\partial y_i} \right)$$

(8)

The above equation can be further simplified as

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i^\Delta}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j^\Delta}{\partial x_i} \right) = \bar{\tau}_{ij} \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i^\Delta}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial u_j^\Delta}{\partial y_i} \right) = \epsilon_{ij}^\Delta$$

(9)

where, $\bar{\tau}_{ij}$ is the macro, i.e. the smooth part of the strain and $\epsilon_{ij}^\Delta$ is the micro, i.e. the oscillating part which changes rapidly in the periodic medium. The above equation can be expressed in terms of higher powers of $\Delta$ as

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \left( \bar{\tau}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^\Delta \right) = \Delta \left( \bar{\tau}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^\Delta \right) + \Delta^2 \left( \bar{\tau}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^\Delta \right) + \ldots$$

(10)

with $(\bar{\tau}_{ij} = \sum_{i,j} \Delta^k \bar{\tau}(k), g)$ and $(\epsilon_{ij}^\Delta = \sum_{i,j} \Delta^{k-1} \epsilon(k), g)$, which are expressed as

Fig. 3. Macro to micro scale transition. (a) Heterogeneous material at macro scale, (b) Unit cell - RVE for periodic micro structure.
\[ \sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \]

Inserting Eq. (8) using Eq. (9) in Eq. (4), we get

\[ \sigma_{ij}^S = \sigma_{ij}^C + \frac{1}{\Delta} \sigma_{ij}^A \]

Further, from Eq. (12), we can write

\[ \sigma_{ij}^S = \sigma_{ij}^C + \frac{1}{\Delta} \sigma_{ij}^A \]

Due to the consistency requirement (see Eq. (15) - Eq. (16)) the multipliers of \( \Delta^{-2} \) and \( \Delta^{-1} \) should become zero, leading to

\[ \varepsilon_{ijkl}^0(x) \text{ is independent of } y \]

Expressing,

\[ \epsilon_{ij}^{(1),ijkl} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \varepsilon_{ijkl}^0 \]

Now, considering the equilibrium equation,

\[ \left( C^{JM}_{ik} \varepsilon_{ij}^{(1)} + f_i \right) = 0 \]

where, \( J \) and \( M \) denote inclusion and matrix, respectively. Accounting for powers of \( \Delta \), we get the first three expressions as

\[ \Delta^{-2} : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ C^{JM}_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{ij}^{(0)} + u_{ij}^{(1)} \right) \right) \right] = 0 \]

\[ \Delta^{-1} : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ C^{JM}_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{ij}^{(0)} + u_{ij}^{(1)} \right) \right) \right] = 0 \]

\[ \Delta^0 : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ C^{JM}_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{ij}^{(0)} + u_{ij}^{(1)} \right) \right) \right] + f_i = 0 \]
the second of Eq. (18) can be rewritten as,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left( C_{ijkl} + C_{ijmn} \hat{\varepsilon}_{mn} \right) \mathbf{f}_{[0],kl} = 0$$

(20)

where, the above equation is a purely $Y$ - domain problem. The above condition is true for any value of $\varepsilon_{[0],ij}$. Note that the above representation requires the solution of six fundamental problems corresponding to each $\varepsilon_{[0],ij}$, the solution of which can be given as $\chi^{(i)}$, with $\chi^{(i)}$ a fully periodic function in the $Y$ - domain (or unit cell). Thus,

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{mn}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi^{(i)}_{x_m y_n} + \chi^{(i)}_{x_n y_m} \right)$$

(21)

The six periodic fundamental solutions corresponding to $\mathbf{f}_{[0],mn}$ can be solved using Eq. (20). From the equilibrium Eq. (17) and the consistency condition, we get

$$\int_{\text{V_{RVE}}} \left[ C_{ijkl} \left( \delta_{klm} \delta_{ijn} + \hat{\varepsilon}_{mn}^{(i)} \right) \mathbf{f}_{[0],ij} \right] dV = 0$$

(22)

Finally, dividing by the volume of the RVE, we get

$$\frac{1}{\text{V_{RVE}}} \int_{\text{V_{RVE}}} \left[ C_{ijkl} \left( \delta_{klm} \delta_{ijn} + \hat{\varepsilon}_{mn}^{(i)} \right) \right] (\mathbf{f}_{[0],ij} + f_i) = 0$$

(23)

Further, from this expression we have

$$\frac{1}{\text{V_{RVE}}} \int_{\text{V_{RVE}}} \left[ C_{ijkl} \left( \delta_{klm} \delta_{ijn} + \hat{\varepsilon}_{mn}^{(i)} \right) \right] dV = \mathbf{T}_{ijmn}$$

(24)

which is the RVE volume averaged (or effective) global stiffness used for ply-level macro solutions. The homogenized tensor $\mathbf{T}_{ijkl}$ may be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_1$</th>
<th>$E_2$</th>
<th>$G_{12}$</th>
<th>$G_{21}$</th>
<th>$\nu_{12}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Mechanical properties of AS4 carbon fibre material by Soden et al. [35].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>$v$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Mechanical properties of 3501-6 epoxy matrix material by Soden et al. [35].
interpreted as a physical parameter corresponding to an effective macro-level homogeneous material, where overall response is ‘close’ to that of the heterogeneous material, when the size of the cell tends to zero.

**Theorem 2.1.** Following the work in [27], let $u^*$ be the unique solution of the exact boundary value problem given by Eq. (5), then the approximation $\tilde{u}(x,y) = u_0(x) + \Delta u_1(x,y)$ converges weakly to $u^*$ in $H^1_0(\Omega)$ as:
where the expression in Eq. (25) is for the fully periodic problem and $u_1$ is given by

$$u_1(x, y) = \sum_{n,m=1}^{3} \chi_{nm}^{y} y f_{nm} + \Pi(x)$$

3. Problems in the vicinity of the boundary

Due to the boundary layer phenomenon, the homogenized system depends in a non trivial way on the boundary. Consider the cell at the boundary of the composite material, as shown in Fig. 4, with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, $u^{\Delta}(x, y) = 0$ on $\Gamma_D$. We expect the solution $u^{\Delta}$ as
Table 4
Extreme values of micro strains for applied normal macro strain for both boundary layer (BL) and fully periodic (FP) problems for RVE with 0° fibre orientation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),xx}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),yy}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),zz}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.00046</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain Type</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_{xx}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{(1),xx}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{yy}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.00046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 16. Comparison of micro strain for BL and FP problem - normal and shear load cases for 0° RVE.
Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>ε_{0,xy}^{(0)}</th>
<th>ε_{0,xx}^{(0)}</th>
<th>ε_{0,yy}^{(0)}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>4.800</td>
<td>3.900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>ε_{0,xy}^{(0)}</th>
<th>ε_{0,xx}^{(0)}</th>
<th>ε_{0,yy}^{(0)}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>0.00147</td>
<td>0.00765</td>
<td>0.00475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>0.4860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 17. Comparison of micro strain for BL and FP problem - normal and shear load cases for 90° RVE.

Table 7

Extreme values of micro strains for applied shear macro strain for both boundary layer (BL) and fully periodic (FP) problems for RVE with 90° fibre orientation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),zz}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),xz}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),xy}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>4.500</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{0,xx}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{0,yy}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{0,zz}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Strain Value]</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>2.680</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>3.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the solution due to boundary-layer correction. In the following section, we will carry out a detailed study of the three-dimensional influence of boundary, for the specific case of Dirichlet boundary.

4. Basic concept of generation of RVE

As in most micromechanical analysis of composite materials, regular packing has been assumed, typically in a square or hexagonal layout as shown in Fig. 3. A micromechanical model is set up based on periodic RVE technique for continuous reinforced composite. It is assumed that fibres are uniformly distributed in a matrix and have the same radii as shown in Fig. 3 (b).

Therefore, each unidirectional layer can be represented by a unit cube with a single fibre having the required fibre volume fraction. Here, three different scenarios are considered as unit cell with fibre in three directions as 0°, 45° and 90° and are shown in Fig. 5. When modeling the unit cell with fibre orientation 45°, periodicity has to be enforced carefully. For angle-ply, a specific strategy has been followed by which initially a rectangle of height $h$ and width $b$ is considered and then a line segment is placed diagonally as a fibre as shown in Fig. 6 (a). This rectangle is the master unit cell.

Now, this master unit cell is placed on all the four sides as shown in Fig. 6 (c), and a check is done to ascertain whether the fibres are running continuously, maintaining the periodicity on all the sides with the same angle as that of the master unit cell. Now the diagonal line is replaced by a fibre of diameter $d$, with the master unit cell defined by height, $h = \frac{d}{\theta}$ and and width, $b = \frac{d}{\theta}$. Now considering this as a base, three dimensional RVE is modeled to create the periodic unit cell. For this we assume a rational number and from Fig. 6 (a) it can be said that,

$$tan\theta = \left( \frac{b}{h} \right) = (\text{any rational number})$$

For example, if $tan\theta = \frac{1}{3}$, then $\left( \frac{b}{h} = \frac{1}{3} \right)$, 3b = h, i.e. the unit cell should have a width that is three times the height. This will also ensure the periodicity of the angular fibre on both faces of the unit cell. As an example, an RVE is modeled with fibre orientation 45° with fibre volume fraction of 0.6 (see Fig. 7). The periodic column at the boundary is constructed, as shown in Fig. 8, by stacking unit periodic cells.

5. Numerical formulation of the problem

The linear system of equations resulting from the finite element analysis of the boundary layer problem given by Eq. (38) is of the form

$$Ku = f$$

$K$ is symmetric and semi-positive definite, while the right side vector $f$ denotes the nodal forces due to the boundary conditions. Now, considering a single unit cell the displacements can be written in terms of top, bottom and interior displacements as shown in Fig. 8. Thus, the matrix problem can be reformulated in terms of top, bottom and interior displacements as

$$K_{bb} u_b + K_{bo} u_o = F_1 - K_{bo} u_b = F_1$$

and

$$K_{bo} u_o + K_{oo} u_o = F_1 - K_{bo} u_b = F_2$$

From Eq. (47), we can write $u_i = K_{ii} F_i - K_{bi} u_b$.

Substituting this in Eq. (48), we get

$$K_{ii} [F_i - K_{ii} K_{bi} u_b] + K_{ii} u_i = F_i$$

Rearranging the terms and representing

$$K_{ii} - K_{ii} K_{bi} K_{ii} = K_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 - K_{ii} K_{bi} F_1 = F_i$$

Eq. (50) can be rewritten as

$$K_{ii} u_i = F_i$$

Solving Eq. (52) we get

$$u_i = K_{ii}^{-1} [F_i - K_{ii} K_{bi} F_1]$$

Substituting the values of $F_1$ and $F_2$ from Eq. (47), we get

$$u_{ii} = K_{ii}^{-1} [F_i - K_{ii} K_{bi} (F_1 - K_{bo} u_b)]$$

Substituting, $\overline{F} = K_{ii}^{-1} (F_i - K_{ii} K_{bi} F_1)$ and $\overline{K} = K_{ii}^{-1} (K_{ii} K_{bi} K_{ii} - K_{ii})$, the final equation can be written as,

$$u_i = \overline{F} + \overline{K} u_b$$

From Eq. (55), we can extract the displacements in the vicinity of the boundary, as one moves from the boundary to the interior of the domain. Note that since $F_i, F_1 = 0$, Eq. (55) leads to the recursive relation $u_i = \overline{K} u_b$. This gives us

$$u_i = \overline{K}^{(i)} u_i^{(0)}$$

As $\overline{K}$ is invertible, from diagonalization we can write,

$$\overline{K} = [P][\Lambda][P]^{-1}$$

For the $i$th term we get,

$$\overline{K}^{(i)} = [P][\Lambda]^{(i)}[P]^{-1}$$

Thus, Eq. (56) can be rewritten as,

$$u_i = [P][\Lambda]^{(i)}[P]^{-1} u_i^{(0)}$$

As $\overline{K}$ is invertible, from diagonalization we can write,
which upon pre-multiplying by \( P^{-1} \) gives

\[
[P^{-1}u_i^{(0)} = [A]^{(i)}[P]^{-1}u_i^{(0)}
\]

or,

\[
y_i^{(0)} = [A]^{(i)}y_i^{(0)} \quad \text{where,} \quad y_i^{(0)} = [P]^{-1}u_i^{(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad y_i^{(0)} = [P]^{-1}u_i^{(0)}
\]

Letting each term be given as, \( y_i^{(0)} = y_i^{(0)} e^{-i/k} \) we get,
Table 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>$e_{0,xx}$</th>
<th>$e_{0,yy}$</th>
<th>$e_{0,zz}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{[Stress Value]}$</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Type</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>76.50</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$y^{(i)}_k = y^{(0)}_k (e^{-1/k})^i = y^{(0)}_k x_k^i$

where, $x_k = e^{-1/k}$. Considering the norm of the nodal displacements, we can write

$||y^{(0)}|| \approx ||y^{(0)}|| e^{-1/k} \approx ||y^{(0)}||(\lambda)^i$

It is noticed that the exponential decay perfectly matches with the displacement norms, as shown in the numerical results later. We will also show that the decay of boundary-layer depends on the strain and material. The method of this section can thus be used to study boundary-layers for any boundary condition and (periodic) material.

6. Numerical results

To illustrate the method given in the previous section, some numerical results are presented. To study these effects, three different cell geometries are considered for unidirectional fibre reinforced composites with fibres orientated at 0°, 45° and 90° as shown in Fig. 9.

By using the required information three dimensional representative volume element (RVE) models are generated in ABAQUS/Standard, which are then imported in HYPERMESH software for meshing. Initially, solid RVE is meshed on one side of the surface of cube using two dimensional (2D) triangular elements and then same elements get duplicated and translated to the opposite face to maintain periodicity. Three dimensional (3D) linear tetrahedron matrix and fibre elements are created, which now represent an RVE with finite elements. All the three finite element (FE) models are generated with approximately 2609 nodes and 11121 elements, as shown in Fig. 9. Once the meshing is over, the mesh data like, the total number of elements, total number of nodes, their coordinates and node connectivity, is extracted. These are given as input to the mathematical homogenization code, which is written in FORTRAN 77. In this study, unidirectional composite laminate composed of epoxy matrix and carbon fibre is considered. The constituent material for matrix and fibre are assumed as isotropic and transversely isotropic, respectively. Tables 1 and 2 give the material properties used in this study.

The focus of these analyses is to study the longitudinal, transverse and shear behaviour of the material and also to determine how the boundary layer decays from the fixed edge to the interior of the domain in the $y_3$ direction. Note that on the $y_1 - y_2$ and $y_2 - y_3$ planes the periodicity of $u^{(bl)}$ is enforced. In this boundary layer analysis, the above mentioned FE models are considered with non-periodic boundary condition in the depth direction.

6.1. Decay of displacement for RVEs with fibre orientations 0°, 45° and 90°

For the above analyses, the norms are calculated for different fibre orientation, i.e. 0°, 45° and 90°. RVE $L_2$ - norm of nodal displacements for each of the displacement component is used as a measure. Here, $L_2$-norm is defined as

$||x||_{L_2} = \sqrt{\sum_j x_j^2}$

The problem is solved by applying unit macro strain on these finite element models. It is observed that the displacement norms are settling within five to six layers along the thickness direction. However, for each fibre orientation the magnitude of the displacement norm varies significantly for normal and shear load cases. Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show the plots of the decay of the displacement norms for different fibre orientation from Face/layer 2 to 7. The figures clearly demonstrate that the displacement norm settles quickly, for different loading conditions.

From Table 3, it can also be seen that for 0° fibre orientation the minimum and the maximum norm occur for $\nu$ displacement and for 90° fibre orientation both of these norms occur for $u$ displacement. However, minimum and maximum displacement norm for 45° RVE are for $w$ and $v$ displacements, respectively. For the shear load case, the maximum value is 7.54E – 01 for macro strain of $e_{0,yy}$ for 0° RVE. Even the maximum values for the other shear load cases are 5.42E – 01 for $e_{0,yy}$ for 45° RVE and 2.85E – 02 for $e_{0,yy}$ for 0° RVE.

Interestingly, note that the minimum norm values for all the three orientations occur for the macro normal load conditions but the maximum norm values occur for the macro shear load case, mainly for $e_{0,yy}$ loading condition. This means that the boundary layer tail has a significant effect for $e_{0,yy}$ macro strain. From Table 3, it can be seen that the maximum norms are decaying in 5–6 layers for 45° and 90° RVEs. For 0° RVE the maximum norm is settling down in 6–7 layers. Note that for all the three different fibre orientations, boundary layer due to shear load is significant.

To show the theoretically desired (refer to Saint-Venant’s principle based on Hill-Yosida theorem) exponential decay by means of curve fitting, we get the equation which is of the form

$y \approx Ae^{z/t}$

where, $z$ is the distance along the strip and $t$ is the decay factor or characteristic length. For studying the exponential decay of the displacement fields, we considered the plots from Face 2 to Face 7 for the above mentioned maximum displacement norms. Fig. 12 shows the exponential decay of the displacement norms for macro strain $e_{0,yy}$ for RVE with 0° fibre orientation.

In Fig. 12, the table shows the values of the constants of Eq. (65) for different displacement norms for $e_{0,yy}$ macro strains. Fig. 13 shows the exponential decay of the maximum displacement norms for macro strain $e_{0,yy}$ for RVE with 45° fibre orientation. In Fig. 13, table shows the constant values of the exponential decay for the RVE with 45° fibre orientation.

Table 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>$e_{0,yy}$</th>
<th>$e_{0,xx}$</th>
<th>$e_{0,xy}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{[Stress Value]}$</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>3720</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Type</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>3.04E94</td>
<td>4320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 12
Extreme values of micro stresses for applied normal macro strain for both boundary layer (BL) and fully periodic (FP) problems for RVE with 90° fibre orientation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>ε(0),xx</th>
<th>ε(0),yy</th>
<th>ε(0),zz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Value</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>6400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Type</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>σ(1),xx</td>
<td>σ(1),yy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>σ(1),xx</td>
<td>σ(1),yy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 19. Comparison of micro stress for BL and FP problem - normal and shear load cases for 0° RVE.
Table 13

Extreme values of micro stresses for applied shear macro strain for both boundary layer (BL) and fully periodic (FP) problems for RVE with 90° fibre orientation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),yz}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),xz}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),xy}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Value</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>1.68E04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>7.60E04</td>
<td>9.26E05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Type</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>$\sigma_{(0);yy}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{(1);xx}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>$\sigma_{(0);xx}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{(1);yz}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 20. Comparison of micro stress for BL and FP problem - normal and shear load cases for 90° RVE.
orientation.

Similarly, Fig. 14 shows the exponential decay of the maximum displacement norms for macro strain $\varepsilon_{(0)yz}$ for RVE with 90° fibre orientation. In Fig. 14, table shows the constant values of the exponential decay for the RVE with 90° fibre orientation.

It is observed that after doing the curve fitting, the exponential decay plot converges with the norm plot (with the value of $R^2$ above 0.99) for all the load cases. Importantly, it should be noted that the coefficients (for the exponential decay) depend strongly on the load type and fibre orientation.

### 6.2. Variation of micro strains along the depth of the RVE

In this section, we will study the variation of micro strains along the depth of an RVE for both boundary layer (BL) and fully periodic (FP) problems. Here, RVEs with 0°, 45° and 90° fibre orientations are studied. The planes on which the micro strains distribution is obtained are shown in Fig. 15 for these RVEs. For 0° and 45° RVEs the planes are midway along $x$-axis and for 90° RVE it is midway along $y$-axis.

The variation of micro strains and micro stresses are estimated for all the three macro normal strains $\varepsilon_{(0)ij}$ and macro shear strains $\varepsilon_{(0)i}$. Note that the bar on the symbol for macro strain, asterisk for both boundary layer microstrain and fully periodic microstrain (as in Eq. (34)) are omitted for brevity in the following. Illustrative contour plots are presented, for both minimum and maximum micro strain and stress, only for one normal macro strain and one shear macro strain cases.

### 6.2.1. Variation of micro strains along the depth of the RVE for 0° fibre orientation

For macro normal load cases, the minimum and maximum values of micro strains and their types, for boundary layer and fully periodic problems are reported in Table 4. Further, the corresponding micro strain distributions on a plane perpendicular to $x$-axis at mid length of $x$-axis through contour plots are shown in Fig. 16. The plane on which the contours are plotted is also depicted in these figures. From the results, it can be seen that in comparison to the boundary layer problem, the micro strain values for all the macro strains are higher for fully periodic problem except for $\varepsilon_{(0)xx}$. Furthermore, it can be observed that for macro normal cases, the maximum micro strains for fully periodic problem occur in normal micro strain components whereas for boundary layer problem the maximums occur for shear micro strains.

From Table 5, we see that for macro shear strains the maximum of maximum and minimum values in micro strains are obtained for the boundary layer problem. However, the nature of the micro strains for which these values are obtained differ for boundary layer as compared to the fully periodic problem. The minimum values are obtained only for normal micro strains. In contrast, maximum value is obtained for shear micro strains.

Thus, for this particular fibre orientation, shear load cases have significant effect due to boundary layer. Furthermore, it can be observed that for fully periodic cases with macro shear strains the maximum values are also reported for the same nature of micro strains, that is for $\varepsilon_{(0)yy}$, the maximum value is seen for $\varepsilon_{(1)yy}$, which is significantly higher compared to the other strain values. Further, for $\varepsilon_{(0)yy}$ and $\varepsilon_{(0)xy}$ the maximum values of micro strains have significant differences.

Thus, from the above discussion it can be noted that the normal and
Table 16
Variation of $\sigma_{\text{RMS}}$ along the depth of the RVEs for both boundary layer (BL) and fully periodic (FP) problems considering normal macro strain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Strain</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),yy}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),zz}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{(0),xz}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>FP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0° RVE</td>
<td>8.917E02</td>
<td>2.084E03</td>
<td>1.139E04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° RVE</td>
<td>2.966E03</td>
<td>5.483E03</td>
<td>9.102E04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45° RVE</td>
<td>6.101E05</td>
<td>3.390E04</td>
<td>7.229E05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 21. Comparison of micro stress for BL and FP problem - normal and shear load cases for 45° RVE.
shear load cases have significantly different effects for fully periodic and boundary layer problems. It is also observed that micro strain $\varepsilon^{(bl)}$ has significant effect due to boundary layer.

6.2.3. Variation of micro strains along the depth of the RVE for 45° fibre orientation

For this particular fibre orientation, the minimum and maximum...
values of micro strains and their types, for boundary layer and fully periodic problems are reported in Table 8 for normal macro strain. Further, the variation of micro strains are observed through contour plots which are shown in Fig. 18. Both normal and shear macro strains are applied on the RVE for both boundary layer and fully periodic problems. Here, for all the cases the maximum value occurs for boundary layer problem. Further, for all macro normal load cases, it can be observed that the maximum value among all the micro strain is for $\varepsilon_{(bl)}^{xy}$ but for the fully periodic problem the maximum strain value occurs for the micro normal strain corresponding to a macro strain applied (see Table 8).

The minimum and maximum values of micro strains and their types, for boundary layer and fully periodic problems are reported in Table 9 for shear macro strains. For $\varepsilon_{(0)}^{yx}$ and $\varepsilon_{(0)}^{xz}$ the maximum strain value occurs for $\varepsilon_{(bl)}^{(0)}$ with values 18 and 74.5, respectively which is much higher compared to the micro strain for fully periodic problem. However, for $\varepsilon_{(0)}^{xz}$ maximum strain value occurs for $\varepsilon_{(bl)}^{(0)}$. For the fully periodic problem, the maximum value occurs for micro strain types corresponding to macro strain. For example, for $\varepsilon_{(0)}^{yx}$ it occurs for $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{yz}$. Thus, for this particular fibre orientation it can be noticed that both for normal and shear macro strain the maximum strain value occurs only for the micro shear strains mainly in the boundary layer problem. So, it can be concluded that boundary layer significantly affects the shear micro strains, mainly $\varepsilon_{(1)}^{yz}$.

### 6.3. Variation of micro stresses along the depth of the RVE

In this section, we will study the variation of micro stresses along the depth of an RVE for both boundary layer (BL) and fully periodic (FP) problems. Here, RVEs with 0°, 45° and 90° fibre orientations are studied.

#### 6.3.1. Variation of micro stresses along the depth of the RVE for 0° fibre orientation

The variation of micro stresses has been also observed due to applied macro strains on the RVE. The variation of micro stresses due to applied macro strains is reported in Table 10 and Table 11 for normal and shear macro strains, respectively. Further, the corresponding distribution of these micro stresses on planes as shown in Fig. 15 is shown in Fig. 19 for normal and shear macro strains, respectively. It can be noticed that for
the normal macro strains the maximum stress values are much higher for the fully periodic problem compared to boundary layer corrected case. These values are 2–4 times more than corresponding boundary layer problem values. From Table 10, it can be noticed that the maximum stress value for the boundary layer case occurs for $\sigma_{yy}^{(bl)}$ whereas for the fully periodic case the maximum stress value occurs corresponding to applied macro strain, i.e. for $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ it is $\sigma_{11,y}$. Further, it is interesting to note that the minimum value occurs for $\sigma_{xx}$ component for all normal macro strain cases in both boundary layer and fully periodic problems.

For the macro shear load cases, it can be observed that the maximum stress value is for $\sigma_{xx}$ for all the three applied macro shear strains. Further, from Table 11 it is observed that $\sigma_{xx}^{(bl)}$ has the maximum stress value for unit macro strain of $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$. However, for the fully periodic case it can be noticed from Table 11 that maximum stress value occurs for the corresponding shear micro strains, i.e. for $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ the stresses are $\sigma_{11,y}$.

Thus, from these results it can be concluded that stress values are maximum for the boundary layer case as compared to fully periodic for the macro shear load case. However, for the macro normal load cases the maximum reduces when boundary layer correction is made.

6.3.2. Variation of micro stresses along the depth of the RVE for 90° fibre orientation

The minimum and maximum values of micro stresses and their types, for boundary layer and fully periodic problems are obtained for this RVE and reported in Table 12 and Table 13 for macro normal and shear strains, respectively. The variation of micro stresses is given as contour plots in Fig. 20. From Table 12, it can be noticed that the stress values are maximum for the fully periodic problem when macro normal loads are applied. Interestingly, from Table 12 it can be seen that the maximum stress occurs for $\sigma_{11,yy}$ for all the three macro normal strains for the fully periodic case. However, for the boundary layer case, the maximum value depends on the applied macro strain. It is noteworthy that for $\varepsilon_{(0),yy}$ and $\varepsilon_{(0),zy}$ the maximum stress for the fully periodic case is much higher compared to the boundary layer solution.

From Table 13 it is seen that for the macro shear load condition, the maximum stress occurs for fully periodic case. The maximum value observed is $\sigma_{11,yy}$ for fully periodic case when $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ is applied. Further, it is seen that the maximum stress value occurs for $\sigma_{xx}^{(bl)}$ for both the macro strain $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ and $\varepsilon_{(0),zy}$ for the boundary layer case but for the fully periodic problem it occurs for $\sigma_{11,yy}$. Interestingly, the boundary layer correction reduces the micro-stress intensity for this case.

It is observed that for the normal macro strains the maximum stress occurs for normal components for both boundary layer and fully periodic problem. However, for the shear case both normal and shear micro strains can be the higher.

6.3.3. Variation of micro stresses along the depth of the RVE for 45° fibre orientation

Finally, for the 45° fibre orientation the micro stress variations have been studied for different macro strains. The minimum and maximum values of micro stresses and their types, for boundary layer and fully periodic problems are reported in Table 14 and Table 15 for macro normal strain and shear strain cases, respectively. Further, the corresponding distribution of these micro stresses are shown in Fig. 21 for both normal and shear macro strains. From Table 14 it can be noticed that the maximum stress value occurs for $\sigma_{xx}^{(bl)}$ for all the three normal macro strains $\varepsilon_{(0),y}$ for the boundary layer case. However, for the fully periodic case maximum stress value occurs for $\sigma_{11,y}$ corresponding to applied macro strain $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$. Interestingly, it can be noticed that there is not much difference in stress values between the boundary layer and fully periodic problems for this particular fibre orientation contrary to the observations made earlier.

The stress value is maximum for the $\sigma_{yy}^{(bl)}$ for unit macro strain $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ which is 6.10E04 but for the fully periodic case it is only 7450. Interestingly, for $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ the maximum stress value for boundary layer and fully periodic problem are very close which is 1.33E04 and 1.38E04, respectively but for different micro stresses, i.e. $\sigma_{xx}^{(bl)}$ and $\sigma_{11,xy}$.

6.4. Variation of $\sigma_{RMS}$ along the depth of different RVEs for boundary layer and fully periodic problem

After studying the variation of micro stresses and micro stresses for the RVEs with different fibre orientations, we have extended the study to the variation of RMS value of the micro stress, $\sigma_{RMS}$ due to unit macro strain on these two scenarios. In this study, initially effect of macro normal strain is considered. Table 16 presents the $\sigma_{RMS}$ values for the applied normal macro strain for both boundary layer and fully periodic problems. These values are reported for all the three RVEs. From this table, it can be noticed that in general, for the boundary layer the $\sigma_{RMS}$ values are much higher compared to the fully periodic condition for all the three macro normal strains.

The $\sigma_{RMS}$ values for macro shear strain cases for the three RVEs of boundary layer and fully periodic problems are reported in Table 17. From this table it is clearly seen that $\sigma_{RMS}$ values are significantly higher for boundary layer problem than fully periodic problem for all the cases. Further, in case of boundary layer problem $\sigma_{RMS}$ values for 45° RVE are significantly higher compared to those 0° and 90° RVEs for $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ and $\varepsilon_{(0),zx}$ whereas for $\varepsilon_{(0),xy}$ case it is higher in 0° RVE. Similarly, for fully periodic problem the maximum $\sigma_{RMS}$ values are seen for $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$, $\varepsilon_{(0),zx}$ and $\varepsilon_{(0),xy}$ cases in 90°, 0° and 45° RVEs, respectively.

Further, contour plots for the variation of $\sigma_{RMS}$ are provided on the planes as shown in Fig. 15 from Fig. 22 to Fig. 25 for both fully periodic and boundary layer problems. Illustrative contour plots are shown only for macro strain $\varepsilon_{(0),xy}$ and $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ for both fully periodic (FP) and boundary layer (BL) problems. These plots also show the areas of high stress concentration. Damage initiation is expected to take place in these regions due to applied macro strains for different fibre orientation (for example, see Fig. 23).

It can be seen that $\sigma_{RMS}$ values for boundary layer corrected solutions are significantly higher than those for fully periodic problem. It is also seen that $\sigma_{RMS}$ values are more for applied macro shear strain cases than applied macro normal strain cases for all RVEs. Furthermore, these distributions show clear symmetry in case of applied macro normal strains. From Fig. 24 - Fig. 25, it is seen that the effect of shear loading is significant on $\sigma_{RMS}$ for boundary layer problem.

Finally, we extended our study for the variation of maximum $\sigma_{RMS}$ around the fibre-matrix interface. The variation is calculated for both boundary layer and fully periodic problem for 0° and 90° fibre orientation. Table 18 and Table 19 show the variation of maximum $\sigma_{RMS}$ for boundary layer and fully periodic problem for 0° and 90° fibre orientations, respectively. The data is extracted around the fibre-matrix interface as shown in Fig. 26.

It is observed that for the RVE with 0° fibre orientation, the ratio is less that 1 for normal macro strain cases. However, for shear macro strain case, $\varepsilon_{(0),zx}$ the ratio is very high (see Table 18). However, for RVE with 90° fibre orientation, the ratio is high for normal macro strain case, $\varepsilon_{(0),yx}$ and shear macro strain cases, $\varepsilon_{(0),zx}$ and $\varepsilon_{(0),yz}$. Ratios for the other macro strain values are less than 1 (See Table 19). So, the ratio varies not only with the fibre orientation but also with the applied macro strain.

7. Conclusion

In this study, a novel approach to study the effect of boundary layer at the edge of the composite material is presented. The classical mathematical homogenization theory is modified from the interior of the domain to the edge of the composite material. The method presented uses the macro and fully periodic micro solution to determine a boundary layer correction term, which accounts for the mismatch in the
boundary displacement induced due to the fully periodic micro solution. The mismatch of the displacement drives the boundary problem corresponding to the boundary layer correction. This method gives a more accurate representation of the local stresses in the neighbourhood of the boundary. The method developed is used to study plies with three different fibre orientations. For the applied uni macro strains, variation of the micro strains and micro stresses are studied along strips terminating at the boundary. Variation of $\sigma_{RMS}$ is studied in order to determine critical regions in the vicinity of boundary, which will be regions of damage initiation. Comparison with the classical two-scale solution shows a significant change in the local stress concentrations as a result of the boundary layer correction.

The major conclusions that can be drawn from this study are:

1. The boundary layer tail decays within 5–6 layers from the fixed edge.
2. The displacement norm shows exponential decay for the boundary layer problem. This is the classical St. Venant principle. Note that the rate of decay varies with the load type and fibre orientation.
3. The boundary correction leads to significant changes in the local strains, in the layer close to the boundary. Consequently, the variation of micro stresses is also similar. Interestingly, $\sigma_{yy}$ and $\sigma_{xy}$ increase significantly near the boundary, for almost all load cases and orientations considered. The increase can be as high as 772% for RVE with 90° fibre orientation.
4. The minimum and maximum values of micro strains and stresses for all the cases in this study vary from one RVE to another as it is noticed from the contour plots. This variation is mainly due to the orientation of the fibre. So, orientation of the fibre has a significant effect on the boundary layer tail to decay.
5. It is noteworthy that $\sigma_{RMS}$ changes significantly when the boundary layer correction is added. The increase can be as high as 133% for $\varepsilon_{(0,xy)}$ case of RVE with 0° fibre orientation. This is important as it completely changes the failure initiation mechanism (matrix normal or shear mode), failure load value and failure zones, in the vicinity of the boundary.

The method presented in this study can be easily extended to Neumann or Robin boundary conditions, leading to a better understanding of the mechanics near boundaries.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2019.05.026.
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