Lattice QCD

Lattice QCD : Some Topics

QCD 2002, I. I. T. Kanpur, November 19, 2002

Lattice QCD : Some Topics

Basic Lattice Gauge Theory

Phase Diagram

Quark Number Susceptibility

Screening Lengths

Summary

• Discrete space-time : Lattice spacing *a* UV Cut-off.

- Discrete space-time : Lattice spacing *a* UV Cut-off.
- Matter fields $\psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(x)$ on lattice sites.

- Discrete space-time : Lattice spacing *a* UV Cut-off.
- Matter fields $\psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(x)$ on lattice sites.
- Gauge transformation : $\psi'(x) = V_x \psi(x)$, $V_x \in SU(3)$.

- Discrete space-time : Lattice spacing *a* UV Cut-off.
- Matter fields $\psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(x)$ on lattice sites.
- Gauge transformation : $\psi'(x) = V_x \psi(x)$, $V_x \in SU(3)$.
- Gauge Fields on links $U'_{\mu}(x) = V_x U_{\mu}(x) V_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{-1}$.

- Discrete space-time : Lattice spacing *a* UV Cut-off.
- Matter fields $\psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(x)$ on lattice sites.
- Gauge transformation : $\psi'(x) = V_x \psi(x)$, $V_x \in SU(3)$.
- Gauge Fields on links $U'_{\mu}(x) = V_x U_{\mu}(x) V_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{-1}$.
- Gauge invariance \rightarrow Actions from Closed Wilson loops, e.g., plaquette.

- Discrete space-time : Lattice spacing *a* UV Cut-off.
- Matter fields $\psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(x)$ on lattice sites.
- Gauge transformation : $\psi'(x) = V_x \psi(x)$, $V_x \in SU(3)$.
- Gauge Fields on links $U'_{\mu}(x) = V_x U_{\mu}(x) V_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{-1}$.
- Gauge invariance \rightarrow Actions from Closed Wilson loops, e.g., plaquette.
- Fermion Doubling Problem

- Discrete space-time : Lattice spacing *a* UV Cut-off.
- Matter fields $\psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(x)$ on lattice sites.
- Gauge transformation : $\psi'(x) = V_x \psi(x)$, $V_x \in SU(3)$.
- Gauge Fields on links $U'_{\mu}(x) = V_x U_{\mu}(x) V_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{-1}$.
- Gauge invariance \rightarrow Actions from Closed Wilson loops, e.g., plaquette.
- Fermion Doubling Problem \rightarrow
 - Staggered Fermions (partial chiral and flavour symmetry),

- Discrete space-time : Lattice spacing *a* UV Cut-off.
- Matter fields $\psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(x)$ on lattice sites.
- Gauge transformation : $\psi'(x) = V_x \psi(x)$, $V_x \in SU(3)$.
- Gauge Fields on links $U'_{\mu}(x) = V_x U_{\mu}(x) V_{x+\hat{\mu}}^{-1}$.
- Gauge invariance \rightarrow Actions from Closed Wilson loops, e.g., plaquette.
- \bullet Fermion Doubling Problem \rightarrow
 - Staggered Fermions (partial chiral and flavour symmetry),
 - Wilson fermions (only flavour symmetry),

- Recent Overlap fermions (exact chiral and flavour symmetry).

- Recent Overlap fermions (exact chiral and flavour symmetry).

Typically, we need to evaluate

$$\langle \Theta(m_v) \rangle = \frac{\int DU \exp(-S_G)\Theta(m_v) \text{ Det } M(m_s)}{\int DU \exp(-S_G) \text{ Det } M(m_s)} ,$$
 (1)

where M is the Dirac matrix in x, color, spin, flavour space for fermions of mass m_s , S_G is the gluonic action, and the observable Θ may contain fermion propagators of mass m_v .

Since $\langle \Theta \rangle$ is computed by averaging over a set of configurations $\{U_{\mu}(x)\}$ which occur with probability $\propto \exp(-S_G) \cdot \text{Det } M$, the complexity of evaluation of Det $M \Longrightarrow$ approximations : Quenched ($m_s = \infty$ limit), Partially Quenched (low $m_s = m_u = m_d$), and Full (including a heavier s quark).

 $\mathsf{Q} \to \mathsf{P}\mathsf{Q} \to \mathsf{Full} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{Computer time} \uparrow \mathsf{and Precision} \downarrow.$

Phase Diagram

- Lattice details :
 - $N_s^3 \times N_t$ Lattice, $N_s \gg N_t$ for $T \neq 0$,
 - Spatial Volume $V = N_s^3 a^3$,
 - Temperature $T = 1/N_t a(\beta)$.

Phase Diagram

- Lattice details :
 - $N_s^3 \times N_t$ Lattice, $N_s \gg N_t$ for $T \neq 0$,
 - Spatial Volume $V = N_s^3 a^3$,
 - Temperature $T = 1/N_t a(\beta)$.
- Order Parameters : Chiral condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$, Polyakov Loop $\langle L \rangle$, where $L(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{3} \prod_{t=1}^{N_t} \operatorname{tr} U_4(\vec{x}, t)$

Phase Diagram

- Lattice details :
 - $N_s^3 \times N_t$ Lattice, $N_s \gg N_t$ for $T \neq 0$,
 - Spatial Volume $V = N_s^3 a^3$,
 - Temperature $T = 1/N_t a(\beta)$.
- Order Parameters : Chiral condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$, Polyakov Loop $\langle L \rangle$, where $L(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{3} \prod_{t=1}^{N_t} \operatorname{tr} U_4(\vec{x}, t)$
- Theoretical expectations based on effective models :



 Transition temperature for 2 light dynamical quarks agree for Wilson quarks (171(4) MeV) and Staggered quarks (173(8) MeV) agree.

(CP-PACS Collaboration and Bielefeld Group)

 Transition temperature for 2 light dynamical quarks agree for Wilson quarks (171(4) MeV) and Staggered quarks (173(8) MeV) agree.

(CP-PACS Collaboration and Bielefeld Group)

• Transition seems to be continuous in both cases.

 Transition temperature for 2 light dynamical quarks agree for Wilson quarks (171(4) MeV) and Staggered quarks (173(8) MeV) agree.

(CP-PACS Collaboration and Bielefeld Group)

- Transition seems to be continuous in both cases.
- Transition temperature for 3 light flavours : 154 \pm 8 MeV. (Bielefeld Group)

 Transition temperature for 2 light dynamical quarks agree for Wilson quarks (171(4) MeV) and Staggered quarks (173(8) MeV) agree.

(CP-PACS Collaboration and Bielefeld Group)

- Transition seems to be continuous in both cases.
- Transition temperature for 3 light flavours : 154 \pm 8 MeV. (Bielefeld Group)

• Theoretical expectations on the Phase diagram work out too.



Critical exponents do not match for the two type of quarks;

O(4)-like for only Wilson quarks.

Thus the order of the phase transition is NOT yet established firmly.

Critical exponents do not match for the two type of quarks; O(4)-like for only Wilson quarks. Thus the order of the phase transition is NOT yet established firmly.

Fermion Symmetry Problem ? Small Lattices ?? What if $m_u \neq m_d$?

Critical exponents do not match for the two type of quarks; O(4)-like for only Wilson quarks. Thus the order of the phase transition is NOT yet established firmly.

Fermion Symmetry Problem ? Small Lattices ?? What if $m_u \neq m_d$?

Recent results show that for $1 \le m_d/m_u \le 2$, transition stays put.

(Gavai & Gupta, hep-lat/0208019; PRD in press.)

Critical exponents do not match for the two type of quarks; O(4)-like for only Wilson quarks. Thus the order of the phase transition is NOT yet established firmly.

Fermion Symmetry Problem ? Small Lattices ?? What if $m_u \neq m_d$?

Recent results show that for $1 \le m_d/m_u \le 2$, transition stays put.

(Gavai & Gupta, hep-lat/0208019; PRD in press.)

 $8^3 imes 4$ Lattice; $m_d/m_u = 1$ (dashed), 2(full) and 10 (dotted).





- QCD at nonzero baryon density may → Color Superconductivity, (Strange) Quark Stars, etc.
- Phase Problem : Det $M(\mu)$ is complex for $\mu \neq 0$.
- Exciting results in recent past for small μ .
 - Re-weighting Method (Fodor & Katz, JHEP '02)
 - Imaginary μ (de Forcrand & Philipsen, May '02, D'Elia & Lombardo, May '02)
 - Taylor Expansion in μ (Allton et al., April '02)
- Large μ simulations possible when Det M is real, *e.g.*, 2 colours or $\mu_{I_3} \neq 0$. Show agreement with effective chiral theory (Kogut & Sinclair '02, S. Gupta '02)

- QCD at nonzero baryon density may → Color Superconductivity, (Strange) Quark Stars, etc.
- Phase Problem : Det $M(\mu)$ is complex for $\mu \neq 0$.
- Exciting results in recent past for small μ .
 - Re-weighting Method (Fodor & Katz, JHEP '02)
 - Imaginary μ (de Forcrand & Philipsen, May '02, D'Elia & Lombardo, May '02)
 - Taylor Expansion in μ (Allton et al., April '02)
- Large μ simulations possible when Det M is real, *e.g.*, 2 colours or $\mu_{I_3} \neq 0$. Show agreement with effective chiral theory (Kogut & Sinclair '02, S. Gupta '02)

- QCD at nonzero baryon density may → Color Superconductivity, (Strange) Quark Stars, etc.
- Phase Problem : Det $M(\mu)$ is complex for $\mu \neq 0$.
- Exciting results in recent past for small μ .
 - Re-weighting Method (Fodor & Katz, JHEP '02)
 - Imaginary μ (de Forcrand & Philipsen, May '02, D'Elia & Lombardo, May '02)
 - Taylor Expansion in μ (Allton et al., April '02)
- Large μ simulations possible when Det M is real, *e.g.*, 2 colours or $\mu_{I_3} \neq 0$. Show agreement with effective chiral theory (Kogut & Sinclair '02, S. Gupta '02)

- QCD at nonzero baryon density may → Color Superconductivity, (Strange) Quark Stars, etc.
- Phase Problem : Det $M(\mu)$ is complex for $\mu \neq 0$.
- Exciting results in recent past for small μ .
 - Re-weighting Method (Fodor & Katz, JHEP '02)
 - Imaginary μ (de Forcrand & Philipsen, May '02, D'Elia & Lombardo, May '02)
 - Taylor Expansion in μ (Allton et al., April '02)
- Large μ simulations possible when Det M is real, *e.g.*, 2 colours or $\mu_{I_3} \neq 0$. Show agreement with effective chiral theory (Kogut & Sinclair '02, S. Gupta '02)

- QCD at nonzero baryon density may → Color Superconductivity, (Strange) Quark Stars, etc.
- Phase Problem : Det $M(\mu)$ is complex for $\mu \neq 0$.
- Exciting results in recent past for small μ .
 - Re-weighting Method (Fodor & Katz, JHEP '02)
 - Imaginary μ (de Forcrand & Philipsen, May '02, D'Elia & Lombardo, May '02)
 - Taylor Expansion in μ (Allton et al., April '02)
- Large μ simulations possible when Det M is real, *e.g.*, 2 colours or $\mu_{I_3} \neq 0$. Show agreement with effective chiral theory (Kogut & Sinclair '02, S. Gupta '02)

- QCD at nonzero baryon density may → Color Superconductivity, (Strange) Quark Stars, etc.
- Phase Problem : Det $M(\mu)$ is complex for $\mu \neq 0$.
- Exciting results in recent past for small μ .
 - Re-weighting Method (Fodor & Katz, JHEP '02)
 - Imaginary μ (de Forcrand & Philipsen, May '02, D'Elia & Lombardo, May '02)
 - Taylor Expansion in μ (Allton et al., April '02)
- Large μ simulations possible when Det M is real, *e.g.*, 2 colours or $\mu_{I_3} \neq 0$. Show agreement with effective chiral theory (Kogut & Sinclair '02, S. Gupta '02)

- QCD at nonzero baryon density may → Color Superconductivity, (Strange) Quark Stars, etc.
- Phase Problem : Det $M(\mu)$ is complex for $\mu \neq 0$.
- Exciting results in recent past for small μ .
 - Re-weighting Method (Fodor & Katz, JHEP '02)
 - Imaginary μ (de Forcrand & Philipsen, May '02, D'Elia & Lombardo, May '02)
 - Taylor Expansion in μ (Allton et al., April '02)
- Large μ simulations possible when Det M is real, *e.g.*, 2 colours or $\mu_{I_3} \neq 0$. Show agreement with effective chiral theory (Kogut & Sinclair '02, S. Gupta '02)

- QCD at nonzero baryon density may → Color Superconductivity, (Strange) Quark Stars, etc.
- Phase Problem : Det $M(\mu)$ is complex for $\mu \neq 0$.
- Exciting results in recent past for small μ .
 - Re-weighting Method (Fodor & Katz, JHEP '02)
 - Imaginary μ (de Forcrand & Philipsen, May '02, D'Elia & Lombardo, May '02)
 - Taylor Expansion in μ (Allton et al., April '02)
- Large μ simulations possible when Det M is real, *e.g.*, 2 colours or $\mu_{I_3} \neq 0$. Show agreement with effective chiral theory (Kogut & Sinclair '02, S. Gupta '02)
Fodor-Katz Results



 $N_s^3 \times 4$ Lattices, $N_s = 4,6,8;$ Bit heavy u,d quarks. Critical Endpoint : T = 160(4) MeV, $\mu = 725(35)$ MeV

As $m_{ud} \downarrow$, does $\mu_E \downarrow$? Larger N_t ??

Theoretical Checks : Resummed Perturbation expansions; Finite Density Results

Theoretical Checks : Resummed Perturbation expansions; Finite Density Results

Crucial for QGP Signatures : Q, B Fluctuations; Strangeness production

Theoretical Checks : Resummed Perturbation expansions; Finite Density Results

♠ Crucial for QGP Signatures : Q, B Fluctuations; Strangeness production

Definitions: For u, d, and s quarks, the partition function is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int DU \exp(-S_G) \prod_{f=u,d,s} \operatorname{Det} M(m_f,\mu_f) \quad , \qquad (2)$$

Theoretical Checks : Resummed Perturbation expansions; Finite Density Results

• Crucial for QGP Signatures : Q, B Fluctuations; Strangeness production Definitions: For u, d, and s quarks, the partition function is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int DU \exp(-S_G) \prod_{f=u,d,s} \operatorname{Det} M(m_f,\mu_f) \quad , \qquad (2)$$

where μ_f are corresponding chemical potentials. Defining $\mu_0 = \mu_u + \mu_d + \mu_s$ and $\mu_3 = \mu_u - \mu_d$, baryon and isospin density/susceptibilities can be obtained as : (Gottlieb et al. '87, '96, '97, Gavai et al. '89)

Theoretical Checks : Resummed Perturbation expansions; Finite Density Results

• Crucial for QGP Signatures : Q, B Fluctuations; Strangeness production Definitions: For u, d, and s quarks, the partition function is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int DU \exp(-S_G) \prod_{f=u,d,s} \operatorname{Det} M(m_f,\mu_f) \quad , \qquad (2)$$

where μ_f are corresponding chemical potentials. Defining $\mu_0 = \mu_u + \mu_d + \mu_s$ and $\mu_3 = \mu_u - \mu_d$, baryon and isospin density/susceptibilities can be obtained as : (Gottlieb et al. '87, '96, '97, Gavai et al. '89)

$$n_i = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mu_i}, \qquad \chi_{ij} = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mu_i \partial \mu_j}$$

Setting $\mu_i = 0$, $n_i = 0$ but χ_{ij} are nontrivial. Diagonal χ 's are

$$\chi_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{O}_1(m_u) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O}_2(m_u)]$$
(3)

$$\chi_3 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_1(m_u) \tag{4}$$

$$\chi_s = \frac{1}{4} [\mathcal{O}_1(m_s) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{O}_2(m_s)]$$
(5)

Setting $\mu_i = 0$, $n_i = 0$ but χ_{ij} are nontrivial. Diagonal χ 's are

$$\chi_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{O}_1(m_u) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O}_2(m_u)]$$
(3)

$$\chi_3 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_1(m_u) \tag{4}$$

$$\chi_s = \frac{1}{4} [\mathcal{O}_1(m_s) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{O}_2(m_s)]$$
(5)

Here \mathcal{O}_i trace of products of M^{-1} , M' and M'' and are estimated by a stochastic method:

 $\label{eq:transformation} \operatorname{Tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} R_i^\dagger A R_i / 2N_v \ , \ \text{and} \ (\operatorname{Tr} A)^2 = 2 \sum_{i>j=1}^L (\operatorname{Tr} A)_i (\operatorname{Tr} A)_j / L(L-1) \ , \\ \text{where} \ R_i \ \text{is a complex vector from a set of} \ N_v \text{, subdivided in L independent sets.}$

Setting $\mu_i = 0$, $n_i = 0$ but χ_{ij} are nontrivial. Diagonal χ 's are

$$\chi_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{O}_1(m_u) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O}_2(m_u)]$$
(3)

$$\chi_3 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_1(m_u) \tag{4}$$

$$\chi_s = \frac{1}{4} [\mathcal{O}_1(m_s) + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{O}_2(m_s)]$$
 (5)

Here \mathcal{O}_i trace of products of M^{-1} , M' and M'' and are estimated by a stochastic method:

Tr $A = \sum_{i=1}^{N_v} R_i^{\dagger} A R_i / 2N_v$, and $(\text{Tr } A)^2 = 2 \sum_{i>j=1}^{L} (\text{Tr } A)_i (\text{Tr } A)_j / L(L-1)$, where R_i is a complex vector from a set of N_v , subdivided in L independent sets. **Earlier results** : Only close to T_c & for fixed ma.

Gavai & Gupta PR D '01; Gavai, Gupta & Majumdar, PR D 2002







Note that PDG values for strange quark mass \Longrightarrow

 $m_v^{strange}/T_c \simeq 0.3-0.7 \ (N_f=0); \ 0.45-1.0(N_f=2).$

Perturbation Theory

Perturbation Theory

Weak coupling expansion gives:

$$\frac{\chi}{\chi_{FFT}} = 1 - 2(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}) + 8\sqrt{(1 + 0.167N_f)(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi})^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(Kapusta 1989).

Perturbation Theory

Weak coupling expansion gives:

 $\frac{\chi}{\chi_{FFT}} = 1 - 2(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}) + 8\sqrt{(1+0.167N_f)(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi})^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ (Kapusta 1989).



♣ Minm 0.981 (0.986) at 0.03 (0.02) for $N_f = 0$ (2). ♣ For $1.5 \le T/T_c \le 3$ pert. theory → 0.99-0.98 (1.08=1.03) for $N_f = 0$ (2).

Hard Thermal Loop & Self-consistent resummation give : (Blaizot, Iancu & Rebhan, PLB '01; Chakraborty, Mustafa & Thoma, EPJC '02).

Hard Thermal Loop & Self-consistent resummation give : (Blaizot, Iancu & Rebhan, PLB '01; Chakraborty, Mustafa & Thoma, EPJC '02).



Hard Thermal Loop & Self-consistent resummation give : (Blaizot, Iancu & Rebhan, PLB '01; Chakraborty, Mustafa & Thoma, EPJC '02).



Our results for $N_t = 4 \rightsquigarrow$ Lattice artifacts ? Check for larger N_t and improved actions.

χ_{ud}

χ_{ud}

Off-diagonal Susceptibility : $\chi_{ud} = \langle \frac{T}{V} \operatorname{Tr} M_u^{-1} M_u' \operatorname{Tr} M_d^{-1} M_d' \rangle$ \heartsuit Zero within 1- $\sigma \sim O(10^{-6})$ for $T > T_c$.

- \heartsuit Zero within $1-\sigma \sim O(10^{-6})$ for $T > T_c$.
- \heartsuit Identically zero for Ideal gas but $O(\alpha_s^3)$ in P.T. Using the same scale and α_s as for $\chi_3 \longrightarrow \chi_{ud} \sim O(10^{-4})$!!

 \heartsuit Zero within $1-\sigma \sim O(10^{-6})$ for $T > T_c$.

 \heartsuit Identically zero for Ideal gas but $O(\alpha_s^3)$ in P.T. Using the same scale and α_s as for $\chi_3 \longrightarrow \chi_{ud} \sim O(10^{-4})$!!

 \heartsuit NONZERO for $T < T_c$ and $\propto M_{\pi}^{-2}$.

 \heartsuit Zero within $1-\sigma \sim O(10^{-6})$ for $T > T_c$.

 \heartsuit Identically zero for Ideal gas but $O(\alpha_s^3)$ in P.T. Using the same scale and α_s as for $\chi_3 \longrightarrow \chi_{ud} \sim O(10^{-4})$!!

 \heartsuit NONZERO for $T < T_c$ and $\propto M_{\pi}^{-2}$.



♣ $12^3 \times 4$ Lattice; Quenched.
♣ $T = 0.75T_c$ ♣ Gavai, Gupta & Majumdar, PR D 2002

(Gavai & Gupta, PR D '02 and hep-lat/0211015)

(Gavai & Gupta, PR D '02 and hep-lat/0211015)

 \blacklozenge Investigate larger N_t : 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14.

(Gavai & Gupta, PR D '02 and hep-lat/0211015)

 \clubsuit Investigate larger N_t : 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14.

A Naik action : Improved by O(a) compared to Staggered. Introduction of μ nontrivial but straightforward.

(Naik, NP B 1989; Gavai, hep-lat/0209008)

(Gavai & Gupta, PR D '02 and hep-lat/0211015)

 \blacklozenge Investigate larger N_t : 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14.

A Naik action : Improved by O(a) compared to Staggered. Introduction of μ nontrivial but straightforward.

(Naik, NP B 1989; Gavai, hep-lat/0209008)



• Does improve the N_t -dependence of the free fermions.

Results at $2T_c$:



Results at $2T_c$:



 $\diamondsuit N_t^{-2} \sim a^2$ extrapolation works and leads to same results within errors for both staggered and Naik fermions.

Results at $2T_c$:



 $\Diamond N_t^{-2} \sim a^2$ extrapolation works and leads to same results within errors for both staggered and Naik fermions.

 \diamondsuit Milder $N_t^{-2} \sim a^2$ -dependence for Naik fermions.

The continuum susceptibility vs. T therefore is :

The continuum susceptibility vs. ${\cal T}$ therefore is :



Naik action (Squares) and Staggered action (circles)

The continuum susceptibility vs. T therefore is :



Naik action (Squares) and Staggered action (circles)

 $\heartsuit \lambda_s(T_c) = 2\chi_s/(\chi_u + \chi_d) \approx 0.4 - 0.5$ vis-a-vis RHIC value 0.47 ± 0.4 .

The continuum susceptibility vs. T therefore is :



Naik action (Squares) and Staggered action (circles)

 $\Im \lambda_s(T_c) = 2\chi_s/(\chi_u + \chi_d) \approx 0.4 - 0.5$ vis-a-vis RHIC value 0.47 ± 0.4 .

 $\heartsuit \chi_{ud}$ behaves the same way for ALL N_t and both fermions, leading to the same $O(10^{-6})$ values in continuum too.

Screening Lengths
• Obtained from the exponential decay of

$$C_{\Gamma}(z) = \sum_{x,y,t} \langle M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x,y,z,t) \Gamma M_{\beta\alpha}^{\dagger-1}(x,y,z,t) \Gamma \rangle$$
(6)

 Γ – Spin-flavour matrix, α,β – colour indices and M^{-1} – quark propagator with source at origin.

• Obtained from the exponential decay of

$$C_{\Gamma}(z) = \sum_{x,y,t} \langle M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x,y,z,t) \Gamma M_{\beta\alpha}^{\dagger - 1}(x,y,z,t) \Gamma \rangle$$
(6)

- Γ Spin-flavour matrix, α , β colour indices and M^{-1} quark propagator with source at origin.
- Known results : Degenerate parity partners, FFT results for all except π.
 (DeTar-Kogut, Boyd et al., Gottlieb et al., Gavai-Gupta, ···)

• Obtained from the exponential decay of

$$C_{\Gamma}(z) = \sum_{x,y,t} \langle M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x,y,z,t) \Gamma M_{\beta\alpha}^{\dagger - 1}(x,y,z,t) \Gamma \rangle$$
(6)

- Γ Spin-flavour matrix, α , β colour indices and M^{-1} quark propagator with source at origin.
- Known results : Degenerate parity partners, FFT results for all except π.
 (DeTar-Kogut, Boyd et al., Gottlieb et al., Gavai-Gupta, ···)
- Could χ_3 and M_{π} both have some, perhaps the same, non-perturbative effect ?

• Obtained from the exponential decay of

$$C_{\Gamma}(z) = \sum_{x,y,t} \langle M_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x,y,z,t) \Gamma M_{\beta\alpha}^{\dagger - 1}(x,y,z,t) \Gamma \rangle$$
(6)

- Γ Spin-flavour matrix, α , β colour indices and M^{-1} quark propagator with source at origin.
- Known results : Degenerate parity partners, FFT results for all except π.
 (DeTar-Kogut, Boyd et al., Gottlieb et al., Gavai-Gupta, ···)
- Could χ_3 and M_{π} both have some, perhaps the same, non-perturbative effect ?
- Summing up the C_{Γ} for pion \rightarrow Pion susceptibility.









Why ? $\chi_3 \sim \sum$ propagator of nonlocal vector meson.

Again Taking Continuum Limit

Again Taking Continuum Limit

On finer lattices, a = 1/8T-1/12T, Pion screening lengths become degenerate with those of ρ , i.e, also close to FFT!! (Gavai & Gupta, hep-lat/0211015)



- $m_v/T_c = 0.03$,
- Lattices up to 48×26^2 .

Again Taking Continuum Limit

On finer lattices, a = 1/8T-1/12T, Pion screening lengths become degenerate with those of ρ , i.e, also close to FFT!! (Gavai & Gupta, hep-lat/0211015)



Note that both PS and V have SAME fit (green line)with changed normalization.



• Phase diagram in $T - \mu$ plane has firmed up on small N_t : Different fermions, different methods, $\cdots \rightsquigarrow$ same T_c , and (T_E, μ_E) .

- Phase diagram in $T \mu$ plane has firmed up on small N_t : Different fermions, different methods, $\cdots \rightsquigarrow$ same T_c , and (T_E, μ_E) .
- Quark number susceptibilities \longrightarrow RHIC signal physics.

- Phase diagram in $T \mu$ plane has firmed up on small N_t : Different fermions, different methods, $\cdots \rightarrow$ same T_c , and (T_E, μ_E) .
- Quark number susceptibilities \longrightarrow RHIC signal physics.
- Continuum limit of QNS in Quenched QCD obtained. Yields λ_s in agreement with RHIC and SPS results. Leaves scope for improvement in resummations.

- Phase diagram in $T \mu$ plane has firmed up on small N_t : Different fermions, different methods, $\cdots \rightarrow$ same T_c , and (T_E, μ_E) .
- Quark number susceptibilities \longrightarrow RHIC signal physics.
- Continuum limit of QNS in Quenched QCD obtained. Yields λ_s in agreement with RHIC and SPS results. Leaves scope for improvement in resummations.
- As $N_t \uparrow$, $M_\pi/M_{FFT} \rightarrow 1$, as for ho

- Phase diagram in $T \mu$ plane has firmed up on small N_t : Different fermions, different methods, $\cdots \rightarrow$ same T_c , and (T_E, μ_E) .
- Quark number susceptibilities \longrightarrow RHIC signal physics.
- Continuum limit of QNS in Quenched QCD obtained. Yields λ_s in agreement with RHIC and SPS results. Leaves scope for improvement in resummations.
- As $N_t \uparrow$, $M_{\pi}/M_{FFT} \rightarrow 1$, as for ρ
- Many questions still for full 2+1 QCD : Order, Large N_t , \cdots .