## Quantitative Classification of Rock Mass

• Description of Joints:

Orientation, Persistence, Roughness, Wall Strength, Aperture, Filling, Seepage, Number of sets, Block size, spacing.

- ISRM commission's report
- **Classification of Rock Material**
- Based on Uniaxial Compressive Strength

# Point Load Index

- Quick evaluation for uniaxial strength (field or lab setup)
- ASTM D 5731 procedures
- Little sample preparation (cores, pieces)
- Measure force (P) to crunch intact rock specimen
- Point Load Index:  $I_s = P/d_e^2$  where  $d_e$ = equivalent core diameter

Fig.8-1

## **Point Load Index**





#### **GCTS Device**

Roctest Equipment

#### Strength and Deformation

#### Point Load Index

Point load test is a simple index test for rock material. It gives the standard point load index, [<sub>s(50)</sub>.



Granite	5 – 15
Gabbro	6 – 15
Andesite	10 – 15
Basalt	9 – 15
Sandstone	1 – 8
Mudstone	0.1 – 6
Limestone	3 – 7
Gneiss	5 – 15
Schist	5 – 10
Slate	1 – 9
Marble	4 – 12
Quartzite	5 – 15

### Strength and Deformation

**Correlation between Point Load Index and Strengths** 

ថ្ថ<sub>c</sub> ≈ 22 I<sub>s(50)</sub> Correction factor can vary between 10 and 30.

 $\underline{\sigma}_{t} \approx 1.25 \ I_{s(50)}$ 

l<sub>s(50)</sub> should be used as an independent strength index.

Uniaxial Compressiv Strength	Ranges for some Common Rock Material	
Term	Kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Schist, Silt stone
Very Weak- VW Weak- W Medium Strong-MS Strong- S Very Strong- VS	< 70 70-200 200-700 700-1400 > 1400	VW-W, Sand Stone, Lime stone –VW-M,Granite, Basalt, Gneiss, Quartzite, Marble –MS-VS

# Classification for Rock Material



## Rock Quality Designation (RQD) or Modified Core Recovery



 $x_i$  = lengths of individual pieces of core  $\ge$  10 cm L is the total length of the drill run



Figure 4.1: Procedure for measurement and calculation of RQD (After Deere, 1989).

# Indirect Methods of determination of RQF

Seismic Method -

RQD=  $(V_f / V_I)^2 * 100$ 

Ratio of velocity in the field to that in the lab

Volumetric Count -

RQD =  $115-3.3* J_v$ 

where  $J_v$  is a measure of number of joints within a unit volume of rock mass

# RQD

	RQD
A. Very poor	0 – 25
B. Poor	25 – 50
C.Fair	50 – 75
D.Good	75 – 90
E. Excellent	90 - 100

### Rock Mass Classification Systems

All the rock mass classification systems consider a few of the key rock mass parameters, and assign numerical values to the classes within which these parameters lie for a given rock type These systems provide a short-cut to the rock mass properties that are more difficult to assess (e.g. the prediction of rock mass deformability and strength) and provide guidance for engineering design

#### **Rock Mass Clasification**

Rock Load Factor

It classifies rock mass into 9 classes. The concept used in this classification system is to estimate the rock load to be carried by the steel arches installed to support a tunnel.



Rock Class	Definition	Rock Load Factor Hp (@et) (8 and H, Intels)	Rem ark
l. Hard and Intact	Hard and intact rock contains no joints and fractures. After excavation the rock may have popping and <u>spailing</u> at excavated face.	0	Light lining require dionly if spalling, or popping occurs .
il, Hardstratified and schistose	Hard rock consists of thick strata and layers. Interface between strata is cemented. Popping and <u>spalling</u> at excavated face is common.	0 to 0.5 B	Lightsupport for protection against <u>spalling</u> . Load may change between layers.
illM.assive moderately jointed	Massive rock contains widely spaced joints and fractures. Block size is large. Joints are interlocked. Vertical walls do not require support. <mark>Spalling,</mark> may occur.	0 to 0.25 B	Lights upport for protection against <u>spalling</u> .
M.,Moderately blocky a∎d seamy	Rock contains moder ately spaced joints . Rock is not chemically weathered and aftered. Joints are not well interlocked and have small apertures . Vertical walls do not require support. <mark>Spalling,</mark> may occur.	0.25 B to 0.35 (B + H)	Noskle press∎re.
V. Veryblocky andseamy	Rock is not one mically weathered, and contains closely spaced joints. Joints have large apertures and appear separated. Vertical walks needs upport.	(0.35 to 1.1) (8 + H.)	Little.or.a.o.a.kia.pressare.
VL.Completely crushed but chemically intact	Rock is not chemically weathered, and highly fractured with small fragments. The fragments are loose and not interlocked. Excavation face in this material needs considerable support.	1.1 (B + H)	Considerable side pressure. Sottening effects by water at. tunnel base. Use circular ribs or support rib lower end.
VIL, Squee zlug rock at mode rate de ptb	Rock slowly advances into the tunnel without perceptible increase in volume . Moderate depth is considered as 150 ~ 1000 m .	(1.1 to 2.1) (B + H)	Heavy skie pressure. Invert
VIII. Squeezing rock atgreat de pti	Rock slowly advances into the tunnel with outperceptible increase in volume . Great depth is considered as more than 1000 m .	(2.1 to 4.5) (6 + H)	recommended.
DX.,,,Sape,Hilaya, rock	Rock volume expands (and advances into the tunnel) due to swelling of clay minerals in the rock at the presence of moisture .	agg to 250 feet, Irrespective of 8 and H <sub>e</sub>	C ironiar ribs required. In extreme, cases, use, vielding, support,

Comments on the Rock Load Factor Classification

 (a) It provides reasonable support pressure estimates for small tunnels with diameter up to 6 metres.

(b) It gives over-estimates for large tunnels with diameter above 6 metres.

(c) The estimated support pressure has a wide range for squeezing and swelling rock conditions for a meaningful application.

# ROCK STRUCTURE RATING (RSR)

- Wickham et. al. (1972) suggested this based on observation of small tunnels supported by steel ribs.
- RSR = A + B + C

*Parameter A, Geology:* General appraisal of geological structure on the basis of:

- a. Rock type origin (igneous, metamorphic, sedimentary).
- b. Rock hardness (hard, medium, soft, decomposed).
- c. Geologic structure (massive, slightly faulted/folded, moderately faulted/folded,
- intensely faulted/folded).

• *Parameter B, Geometry*: Effect of discontinuity pattern with respect to the direction of the tunnel drive on the basis of:

a. Joint spacing.

b. Joint orientation (strike and dip).

c. Direction of tunnel drive

• *Parameter C*: Effect of groundwater inflow and joint condition on the basis of:

a. Overall rock mass quality on the basis of A and B combined.

b. Joint condition (good, fair, poor).

c. Amount of water inflow (in gallons per minute per 1000 feet of tunnel)..

	Basic Rock Type							
	Hard	Medium	Soft	Decomposed	Geological Structure			
Igneous	1	2	3	4		Slightly	Moderately	Intensively
Metamorphic	1	2	3	4		Folded or	Folded or	Folded or
Sedimentary	2	3	4	4	Massive	Faulted	Faulted	Faulted
Type 1					30	22	15	9
Туре 2					27	20	13	8
Туре 3					24	18	12	7
Type 4					19	15	10	6

Table 1: Rock Structure Rating: Parameter A: General area geology

	Strike $\perp$ to Axis						Strike    to Ax	is
		0	Direction of I	Drive		Direction of Drive		
	Both	Wit	With Dip Against Dip			Either direction		
		Dip o	Dip of Prominent Joints <sup>a</sup>				of Prominent	Joints
Average joint spacing	Flat	Dipping	Vertical	Dipping	Vertical	Flat	Dipping	Ventical
1. Very closely jointed, < 2 in	9	11	13	10	12	9	9	7
2. Closely jointed, 2-6 in	13	16	19	15	17	14	14	11
3. Moderately jointed, 6-12 in	23	24	28	19	22	23	23	19
4. Moderate to blocky, 1-2 ft	30	32	36	25	28	30	28	24
5. Blocky to massive, 2-4 ft	36	38	40	33	35	36	24	28
6. Massive, > 4 ft	40	43	45	37	40	40	38	34

Table 2: Rock Structure Rating: Parameter B: Joint pattern, direction of drive

	Sum of Parameters A + B					
		13 - 44		45 - 75		
Anticipated water inflow	Joint Condition b					
gpm/1000 ft of tunnel	Good	Fair	Poor	Good	Fair	Poor
None	22	18	12	25	22	18
Slight, < 200 gpm	19	15	9	23	19	14
Moderate, 200-1000 gpm	15	22	7	21	16	12
Heavy, > 1000 gp	10	8	6	18	14	10

#### Table 3: Rock Structure Rating: Parameter C: Groundwater, joint condition

<sup>a</sup> Dip: flat: 0-20°; dipping: 20-50°; and vertical: 50-90°

<sup>b</sup> Joint condition: good - tight or cemented; fair - slightly weathered or altered; poor - severely weathered, altered or open



Figure 2: *RSR* support estimates for a 24 ft. (7.3 m) diameter circular tunnel. Note that rockbolts and shotcrete are generally used together. (After Wickham et al 1972).



### Rock Mass Rating RMR

### RMR system incorporates 5 basic parameters.

 (a) Strength of intact rock material: <u>uniaxial</u> compressive strength or point load index;

(b) RQD;

(c) Spacing of joints: average spacing of all rock discontinuities;

(d) Condition of joints: joint aperture, roughness, joint surface weathering and alteration, infilling;

(e) Groundwater conditions: inflow or water pressure.

1

The uniaxial compressive strength of the intact rock. This can also be evaluated , at least for compressive strength values > 25 MPa, by the Point-Load strength index

2

The RQD (Rock Quality Designation index) as determined on the basis of the length of intact borehole core

www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.

3

The Discontinuity Spacing

www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.

4

The Condition of Discontinuity Surfaces

### Condition of Discontinuity Surfaces



### The Groundwater

5



# **RMR System**

To each classification parameter (1 to 5 as mentioned above) a Rating can be assigned. The sum of the resulting numerical Ratings gives the RMR Rock Mass Class

Note: the RMR is determined on the basis of 5 parameters only (i.e. without considering the adjustment due to the effect of the orientation of discontinuities relative to the engineered structure)

## RMR or 'Geomechanics Classification'

Parameter		Assessment of valu	ies and rating			
Intact rock UCS, MPa	Bating	>250	100-250	50–100 7	25–50 4	1–25
RQD %	Rating	>90	75–90	50-75	25–50 8	< 25
Mean fracture spacing	Rating	> 2 m 20	0.6–2 m 15	200–600 mm 10	60–200 mm 8	< 60 mm 5
Fracture conditions	Rating	rough tight 30	open < 1 mm 25	weathered 20	gouge < 5 mm 10	gouge > 5 mm 0
Groundwater state	Rating	dry 15	damp 10	wet 7	dripping 4	flowing 0
Fracture orientation	Rating	v. favourable 0	favourable -2	fair -7	unfavourable -15	v. unfavourable -25

Rock mass rating (RMR) is sum of the six ratings

Note that orientation ratings are negative

## Guideline properties of Rock Mass Classes

#### **Guideline Properties of Rock Mass Classes**

Class	l	II	III	IV	V			
Description	very good rock	good rock	fair rock	poor rock	very poor rock			
RMR	80–100	60-80	40-60	20-40	< 20			
Q Value	> 40	10-40	4-10	1-4	<1			
Friction angle φ (°)	> 45	35-45	25-35	15–25	<15			
Cohesion (kPa)	> 400	300-400	200-300	100–200	< 100			
SBP (MPa)	10	4-6	1-2	0⋅5	< 0.2			
Safe cut slope (°)	> 70	65	55	45	< 40			
Tunnel support	none	spot bolts	pattern bolts	bolts + shotcrete	steel ribs			
Stand up time for span	20 yr for 15 m	1 yr for 10 m	1 wk for 5 m	12 h for 2 m	30 min for 1 m			

Active Span and Stand-Up Time Stand-up time is the length of time which an excavated opening can stand without any mean of support. Rock classes are assigned according to the stand-up time.



### RMR and STAND-UP TIME



#### Evaluation of Tunr based on RMR = 80 Stand up time > 4 years RMR = 50

Stand up time  $\approx$  2 days


#### Parameters for RMR – Table 6.2.1a

#### RMR and rock mass quality

RMR Ratings	81 - 100	61 - 80	41 - 60	21 - 40	< 20
Rock mass class	А	В	С	D	E
Description	<u>very</u> good rock	good rock	fair rock	<u>poor</u> rock	<u>very</u> poor rock
Average stand- up time	10 year for 15 m span	6 months for 8 m span	1 week for 5 m span	10 hours for 2.5 m span	30 minutes for 0.5 m span
Rock mass cohesion ( <u>KPa</u> )	> 400	300 - 400	200 - 300	100 - 200	< 100
Rock mass friction angle	> 45°	35° - 45°	25° - 35°	15° - 25°	< 15°

#### RMR modified for slopes or tunnels

#### Additional factors applied to RMR<sub>basic</sub>

• Accounts for excavation method

BUT moreover,

- Accounts for joint orientation wrt the excavation
  - Unfavourable conditions, deduct points from
     RMR<sub>basic</sub>
  - refer section F of Table

# Slopes - unfavourable



# Slopes - favourable



# Tunnels - un*favourable*



# Tunnels - favourable

• Widely spaced joints?

# RMR & Tunnels

- "Stand up time" for various tunnel spans based on RMR
- Unreinforced tunnels

no advice re support e.g. shotcrete or rockbolts/anchors

Shotcrete = sprayed concrete, lightly reinforced

# <mark>Q system</mark> Barton et al., 1974

In a similar way to the RMR system, the Q rating is developed by assigning values to six parameters



#### 2 Number of discontinuity sets (J<sub>n</sub>)

#### 3 Roughness of the "most <u>unfavourable</u>" discontinuity (J<sub>r</sub>)



# 5 Water inflow (J<sub>w</sub>)

#### 6 Stress Condition (SRF)

\*

NGI Q-System Rating for Rock Masses (Barton, Lien, & Lunde, 1974)				
Norwegian Classification for Rock Masses				
Q - Value	Quality of Rock Mass			
< 0.01 Exceptionally Poor				
0.01 to 0.1	Extremely Poor			
0.1 to 1	Very Poor			
1 to 4 Poor				
4 to 10 Fair				
10 to 40	Good			
40 to 100	Very Good			
100 to 400	Extremely Good			
< 400	Exceptionally Good			
<ol> <li>RQD = Rock Quality Designation = sum of cored pieces         <ul> <li>&gt; 100 mm long, divided by total core run length</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of Sets of Discontinuities (joint sets) = J<sub>n</sub></li> </ol>				
Massive		0.5		
One set		2		
Two sets 4				
Three sets 9				
Four or more sets 15				
Crushed rock 20				
3. Roughness of Discontinuities* = J <sub>r</sub>				
Noncontinuous joints 4				
Rough, wavy 3				
Smooth, wavy 2				
Rough, p	lanar	1.5		
Smooth,	planar	1		
Slick and	l planar	0.5		
Filled di	scontinuities	1		
*Note: ad	d +1 if mean joint spacing > 3 n	n		

$( \circ n ) ( \circ a ) ( \circ n )$
---------------------------------------

4. Discont	inuity Condition & Infilling	=	Ja
4.1 Unfille	ed Cases		
	Healed		0.7
	Stained, no alteration		
	Silty or Sandy Coating		:
	Clay coating		4
4.2 Filled	Discontinuities		
	Sand or crushed rock infill		
	Stiff clay infilling < 5 mm		(
	Soft clay infill < 5 mm thick		8
	Swelling clay < 5 mm		12
	Stiff clay infill > 5 mm thick		10
	Soft clay infill > 5 mm thick		1
	Swelling clay > 5 mm		20
5. Water (	Conditions		
	Dry		
	Medium Water Inflow		0.60
	Large inflow in unfilled joint	s	0.5
	Large inflow with filled joint	s	
	that wash out		0.33
	High transient flow	0.2	to 0.1
	High continuous flow	0.1	to 0.05
6. Stress I	Reduction Factor**	=	SRF
	Loose rock with clay infill		10
	Loose rock with open joints		į
	Shallow rock with clay infill		2.
	Rock with unfilled joints		
	**Note: Additional SRF values	s giv	ven
	for rocks prone to bursting, so and swelling by Barton et al. (1	que 974	ezing 4)
		-	

# Rock Tunnelling Quality Index, Q (or Norwegian Q system), Barton et al., 1974

$$Q = \left(\frac{RQD}{Jn}\right) \times \left(\frac{Jr}{Ja}\right) \times \left(\frac{Jw}{SRF}\right)$$

RQD = Rock Quality Designation	100 - 10
Jn = Joint set number	1 – 20
Jr = Joint roughness factor	4 -1
Ja = Joint alteration and clay fillings	1 – 20
Jw = Joint water inflow or pressure	1 – 0.1
SRF = stress reduction factor	1 – 20

Typically: 0.01 < Q <100

# Q system

$$Q = \left(\frac{RQD}{Jn}\right) \times \left(\frac{Jr}{Ja}\right) \times \left(\frac{Jw}{SRF}\right)$$

- (RQD/Jn) = crude measure of block size
- (Jr/Ja) = roughness/friction of surfaces
- (Jw/SRF) = ratio of two stress parameters (active stress)

Q-value and	l rock	mass	quality
-------------	--------	------	---------

Q-value	Class	Rock mass quality
400 ~ 1000	Α	Exceptionally Good
100 ~ 400	Α	Extremely Good
40 ~ 100	Α	Very Good
10 ~ 40	В	Good
4 ~ 10	с	Fair
1~4	D	Poor
0.1 ~ 1	E	Very Poor
0.01 ~ 0.1	F	Extremely Poor
0.001 ~ 0.01	G	Exceptionally Poor



#### Excavation Support Ratio (ESR)

	Excavation Category	ESR
A	Temporary mine openings.	3 – 5
<u>B</u>	Permanent mine openings, water tunnels for hydro- electric projects, pilot tunnels, drifts and headings for large excavations,	1.6
С	Storage rooms, water treatment plants, minor road and railway tunnels, surge chambers and access tunnels in hydro-electric project.	1.3
<u>D</u>	Underground power station caverns, major road and railway tunnels, civil defense chamber, tunnel portals and intersections.	1.0
L	Underground nuclear power stations, railway stations, sports and public facilities, underground factories.	0.8

# GSI system Hoek e Marinos,2000

# Condition of discontinuity surfaces

#### (A) Very rough, fresh and <u>unweathered</u> surfaces

ХАЛАЛАЛАЛАЛАЛАЛАЛАЛА ХАЛА ХАЛАЛАЛАЛАЛА

## Condition of <u>discontinuity surfaces</u>

(B) Rough, slightly weathered, iron stained surfaces

# Condition of <u>discontinuity surfaces</u>

(C) Smooth, moderatly weathered, and altered surfaces

## Condition of discontinuity surfaces

(D) <u>Slickensided</u>, or highly weathered surfaces with compact coatings or fillings of angular fragments

## Condition of <u>discontinuity surfaces</u>

(E) <u>Slickensided</u>, highly weathered surfaces with <u>soft clay coatings or fillings</u>





# Geological Strength Index, GSI

- Developed by Hoek, Kaiser, & Bawden (1995), Hoek & Brown (1997).
- GSI from Q-system:  $GSI = 9\log\left[\left(\frac{RQD}{J_n}\right)\left(\frac{J_r}{J_a}\right)\right] + 44$
- GSI from Geomechanics system where RMR > 25:

$$GSI = 10 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( R_i \right)$$

Chart approach based on structure & surface quality



#### Geological Strength Index GSI

GSI was aimed to estimate the reduction in rock mass strength for different geological conditions. The system gives a GSI value estimated from rock mass structure and rock discontinuity surface condition. The direct application of GSI value is to estimate the parameters in the <u>Hoek</u>-Brown strength criterion for rock masses.

#### GSI and rock mass quality

GSI Value	76 - 95	56 - 75	41 - 55	21 - 40	< 20
Rock Mass Quality	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very poor

#### Example – Estimate RMR, Q and GSI

(a) Granite rock mass containing 3 joint sets, average RQD is 88%, average joint spacing is 0.24 m, joint surfaces are generally stepped and rough, tightly closed and unweathered with occasional stains observed, the excavation surface is wet but not dripping, average rock material uniaxial compressive strength is 160 MPa, the tunnel is excavated to 150 m below the ground where no abnormal high in situ stress is expected.

Rock material strength	160 <u>MRa</u>	Rating	12
RQD (%)	88%	Rating	17
Joint spacing (m)	0.24 m	Rating	10
Condition of joints	<u>wery</u> rough, <del>unweathered</del> , no separation	Rating	30
Groundwater	wet	Rating	7
		RMR	76

RQD	88%	RQD	88
Joint set number	3 sets	J	9
Joint roughness number	τομgh stepped (⇒undulating)	J,	3
Joint alteration number	unaltered, some stains		1
Joint water factor	wet only (dry excavation or minor inflow)	J,	1
Stress reduction factor	α,/α <sub>∞</sub> ≂ 160/(150×0.027)= 39.5	SRF	1
Q	(88/9)(3/1)(1/1)		44

Rock Mass Structure: Blocky	Joint Surface <u>Condition</u> .; Very good	GSI = 75±5

(b) A sandstone rock mass, fractured by 2 joint sets plus random fractures, average RQD is 70%, average joint spacing is 0.11 m, joint surfaces are slightly rough, highly weathered with stains and weathered surface but no clay found on surface, joints are generally in contact with apertures generally less than 1 mm, average rock material <u>uniaxial</u> compressive strength is 85 <u>MPa</u>, the tunnel is to be excavated at 80 m below ground level and the groundwater table is 10 m below the ground surface.

Rock material strength	85 M.Ra.	Rating	7
RQD (%)	70%	Rating	13
Joint spacing (m)	0.11 m	Rating	8
Condition of joints	slightly rough, highly weathered, separation < 1mm	Rating	20
Groundwater	‰ater, pressure/stress = 0.32	Rating	4
		RMR	52

RQD	70%	RQD	70
Joint set number	2 sets plus random	J	6
Joint roughness number	şlightly rough (⇒rough planar)	J,	1.5
Joint alteration number	highly, weathered only stain, (altered non- softening mineral coating)	ગ	2
Joint water factor	್ಲಿಕ್ಷ 7 bar30 m water head = 7 kg/cm	J,	0.5
Stress reduction factor	α,/α <sub>ab</sub> ≂.85/(80×0.027) = 39.3	SRF	1
Q	(70/6)(1.5/2)(0.5/1)		4.4

Rock Mass Structure: Blocky	Joint Surface <u>Condition</u> : Very good	GSI = 40±5
-----------------------------	--	------------

#### Example – Estimate RMR, Q and GSI

(c) A highly fractured siltstone rock mass, has 2 joint sets and many random fractures, average RQD is 41%, joints appears continuous observed in tunnel, joint surfaces are slickensided and undulating, and are highly weathered, joint are separated by about 3-5 mm, filled with clay, average rock material uniaxial compressive strength is 65 MPa, inflow per 10 m tunnel length is observed at approximately 50 litre/minute, with considerable outwash of joint fillings. The tunnel is at 220 m below ground.

Rock material strength	65 <u>MRa</u>	Rating	7
RQD (%)	41%	Rating	8
Joint spacing (m)	0.05 m	Rating	5
Condition of joints	continuous, <mark>slickensided</mark> , separation 1-5mm	Rating	10
Groundwater	inflaw = 50 l/min	Rating	4
		RMR	34

RQD	41%	RQD	41
Joint set number	2 sets plus random	J	6
Joint roughness number	slickensided and undulating	J,	1.5
Joint alteration number	highly, weathered filled with 3-5 mm day		4
Joint water factor	large inflow with considerable outwash	J	0.33
Stress reduction factor	α,/α <sub>.a.≂</sub> 65/(220×0.027) = 11	SRF	1
Q	(41,6)(1,5/4)(0,33/1)		0.85

Rock Mass Structure: Blocky	Joint Surface <u>Condition</u> .: Very good	GSI = 20±5
-----------------------------	---	------------

#### Example – Estimate RMR, Q and GSI

	RMR	Quality	Q	Quality	GSI	Quality
(a) Granite	76	G	29	G	75	G
(b) Sandstone	52	F	4.4	F	40	F
(c) Siltstone	34	Р	0.85	VP	20	VP

#### Other Rock Mass Classification Systems

Rock Mass Number, N <u>N</u> is the rock mass quality Q value when SRF is set at 1, i.e.,

 $N = (RQD / J_n) (J_r / J_a) (J_w)$ 

Rock Mass Index, <u>RMi</u>

 $\mathbf{RMi} = \sigma_{c} \mathbf{J}_{p}$ 

 $\underline{\sigma}_{c}$  is rock material strength.  $J_{p}$  is jointing parameter for 4 joint characteristics: joint density, size, roughness, and <u>alteration</u>.  $J_{p}$ =1 for intact rock,  $J_{p}$ =0 for crushed rock masses.
Interval for item	Layer thickness	Fracture Intercept	Un <u>iaxia</u> strengt	l com. h	Angle of	Friction
(2) & (3) in cm			kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Symbol	Degrees	Symbol
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
200	L1	· F <sub>l</sub>	2000	sl	> 45	. <sup>A</sup> 1
60-200	L_2	F <sub>2</sub>	600-2000	S2	35-45	A2
20-60	L <sub>3</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	200-600	s <sub>3</sub>	25-35	A3
6-20	L <sub>4</sub>	F4	60-200	S4	15-25	A4
6	L <sub>5</sub>	F <sub>5</sub>	60	S <sub>5</sub>	< 15	A <sub>5</sub>

TABLE V - SYMBOLS FOR BASIC GEOTECHNICAL DESCRIPTION

Quartz L2 F4 53 A2

las ge.N. Z

## Slope Mass Rating (SMR)

- SMR = RMR<sub>basic</sub> ( $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ ) +  $F_4$
- F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> are adjustment factors related to joint orientation with respect to slope orientation. F<sub>4</sub> is the correction factor for method of excavation.

#### TABLE 17.1 VALUES OF ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR DIFFERENT JOINT ORIENTATIONS (ROMANA, 1985)

Case of Slope Failure		Very Favourable	Favourable	Fair	Unfavour- able	Very Unfavourable
P T W	$ \alpha_j - \alpha_s $ $ \alpha_j - \alpha_s - 180^\circ $	>30°	30 - 20°	20 - 10°	10 - 5°	<5°
DW/T	$ \alpha_1 - \alpha_s $	0.15	0.40	0.70	0.85	1.00
P/W/ I P	β	<20°	20 - 30°	30 - 35°	35 - 45°	>45°
N		0.15	0.40	0.70	0.85	1.00
P/W	F2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
P W	$\beta_j - \beta_s$	>10°	10 - 0°	0°	0 - (-10° )	< -10°
T	$ p_1 - p_s $	<110°	110 - 120°	>120°	in then 30th m	a the state
I P/W/T	$ P_j + P_s $	0	-6	-25	-50	-60

NOTATIONS: P - planar failure; T- toppling failure; W - wedge failure;  $\alpha_s$  - slope strike;  $\alpha_j$ - joi strike;  $\alpha_i$  - plunge direction of line of intersection;  $\beta_s$  - slope dip and  $\beta_j$  - joint dip (s Figure 17.1);  $\beta_i$  - plunge of line of intersection



# TABLE 17.2VALUES OF ADJUSTMENT FACTOR F4 FOR METHOD OFEXCAVATION (ROMANA, 1985)

Method of Excavation	F <sub>4</sub> Value
Natural slope	+15
Pre-splitting	+10
Smooth blasting	+8
Normal blasting or Mechanical excavation	0
Poor blasting	-8

## TABLE 17.3VARIOUS STABILITY CLASSES AS PER SMR VALUES (ROMANA, 1985)

.

Class No.	V	IV	III	II	I
SMR Value	0 - 20	21 - 40	41 - 60	61 - 80	1 91 100
Rock Mass Description	Very bad	Bad	Normal	Good	Very good
Stability	Completely unstable	Unstable	Partially stable	Stable	Completely stable
Failures	Big planar or soil like or circular	Planar or big wedges	Planar along some joint and many wedges	Some block failure	No failure
Probability of Failure	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.2	0 001-00

## Since Supports for Various SMR classes

SMR Classes	SMR Values	Suggested Supports	
la	91-100	None	
lb	81-90	None, scaling is required	
ll a	71-80	Spot Bolting	
ll b	61-70	Spot or systematic bolting	
III a	51-60	Spot or systematic bolting, spot shotcrete	
III b	41-50	Systematic bolting and shotcrete, toe wall	
IV a	31-40	Anchors, systematic shotcrete, toe wall	
IV b	21-30	Systematic reinforced shotcrete, toe wall, re-exacavation	
V	11-20	Gravity or anchored wall, re-excavation	

#### Correlation between Q, RMR and GSI



#### Rock Mass Strength

Strength and deformation properties of a rock mass are governed by the existence of joints. Those rock mass properties are also related to the quality of the rock mass. In general, a rock mass of good quality (strong rock, few joints and good joint surface quality) have higher strength and higher deformation modulus than that of a poor rock mass.

**Generalised Hoek-Brown Criterion** 

$$\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_{ci}} = \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_{ci}} + (m_b \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_{ci}} + s)^a \quad \text{or}$$
$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_3 + (m_b \sigma_3 \sigma_{ci} + s \sigma_{ci}^2)^a$$

H-B criterion for rock material is a special form of the generalised equation when s = 1, a = 0.5,  $m_b = m_i$ .

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_3 + (m_i \sigma_3 \sigma_{ci} + \sigma_{ci2})^{0.5}$$

 $\sigma_{ci}$  is consistently the uniaxial compressive strength of intact rock material, used in the Hoek-Brown criterion for rock material and for rock mass.

 $\sigma_1$  is the rock mass strength at a confining pressure  $\sigma_3$ .  $\sigma_{ci}$  is the uniaxial strength of the intact rock in the rock mass. Parameter a is generally equal to 0.5.

Constants  $m_b$  and s are parameters that changes with rock type and rock mass quality. Table 6.5.2a shows  $m_b$  and s values.

Hoek-Brown Failure Criterion $\sigma_1/\sigma_c = \sigma_3/\sigma_c + (m_b \sigma_3/\sigma_c + s)^{0.5}$	Carbonate rocks - dolomite, limestone, marble	Argillaceous rocks - mudstone, siltstone, shale, slate	Arenaceous rocks - sandstone, quartzite	Fine grained igneous - andesite, dolerite, basalt, rhyolite	Coarse metamorphic & igneous - <i>gabbro,</i> gneiss, granite
Intact rock material RMR = 100 ,Q = 500	m <sub>i</sub> = 7.0 s = 1.0	m <sub>i</sub> = 10.0 s = 1.0	m <sub>i</sub> = 15.0 s = 1.0	m <sub>i</sub> = 17.0 s = 1.0	m <sub>i</sub> = 25.0 s = 1.0
Very good quality rock mass RMR = 85, Q = 100	m <sub>b</sub> = 3.5 s = 0.1	m <sub>b</sub> = 5.0 s = 0.1	m <sub>b</sub> = 7.5 s = 0.1	m <sub>b</sub> = 8.5 s = 0.1	m <sub>b</sub> = 12.5 s = 0.1
Good quality rock mass RMR = 65, Q = 10	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.7 s = 0.004	m <sub>b</sub> = 1.0 s = 0.004	m <sub>b</sub> = 1.5 s = 0.004	m <sub>b</sub> = 1.7 s = 0.004	m <sub>b</sub> = 2.5 s = 0.004
Fair quality rock mass RMR = 44, Q = 1.0	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.14 s = 0.0001	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.20 s = 0.0001	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.30 s = 0.0001	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.34 s = 0.0001	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.50 s = 0.0001
Poor quality rock mass <i>RMR = 23, Q = 0.1</i>	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.04 s = 0.00001	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.05 s = 0.00001	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.08 s = 0.00001	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.09 s = 0.00001	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.13 s = 0.00001
Very poor quality rock mass <i>RMR = 3, Q = 0.01</i>	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.007 s = 0	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.01 s = 0	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.015 s = 0	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.017 s = 0	m <sub>b</sub> = 0.025 s = 0

Development and application of the Hoek-Brown criterion lead to better definition of the parameters m<sub>b</sub> and s.

Determination of m<sub>i</sub> is improved, as in the next slide.

With GSI estimated, m<sub>b</sub> can be calculated,

 $m_b = m_i \exp [(GSI - 100)/28]$ 

R	ock Type		Rock Name a	Rock Name and m <sub>i</sub> Values				
-	Intrusive	Granite 32±3 Granodiorite 29±3	Diorite 25±5 Dolerite (16±5)	Gabbro 27±3 Norite 22±5	Peridotite (25±5)			
gneou	Extrusive	Rhyolite (16±5)	Andesite 25±5	Basalt (16±5) Diabase (16±5)	Porphyries (20±5)			
s	Volcanic		Agglomerate (19±3)	Tuff (13±5)				
Sediment	Clastic	Conglomerate (4±18) Breccia (4±16)	Sandstone 17±4	Siltstone 7±2 Marls (7±2)	Mudstone 4±2 Shale (6±2)			
	Carbonate	Crystalline limestone (12±3)	Sparitic limestone (10±2)	Micritic limestone (9±2)	Dolomite (9±3)			
γıε	Chemical		Gypsum 8±2	Anhydrite 12±2				
	Organic			Coal (8±12)	Chalk 7±2			
M	Foliated	Gneiss 28±5	Schist 12±3	Phyllites (7±3)	Slate 7±4			
etamo	Slightly Foliated	Migmatite (29±3)	Amphibolite 26±6					
rphic	Non Foliated	Quartzite 20±3	Meta-sandstone (19 ±3)	Hornfels (19±4)	Marble 9±3			

Be careful with large uncertainty

For GSI > 25, i.e. rock masses of good to reasonable quality,

s = exp [(GSI-100)/9]

a = 0.5

This is the original Hoek-Brown criterion.

Example on Hoek-Brown Criterion and GSI  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_3 + (m_b \sigma_3 \sigma_{ci} + s \sigma_{ci}^2)^a$ (a) Granite rock mass,  $\sigma_{ci}$ = 150 MPa, GSI=75, a = 0.5. m<sub>i</sub> for granite is 32,  $m_{\rm h} = m_{\rm i} \exp[(GSI - 100)/28] = 13.1$ s = exp[(GSI - 100)/9] = 0.062 $\sigma_1 = \sigma_3 + (1956 \sigma_3 + 1395)^{0.5}$ When  $\sigma_3 = 0$ ,  $\sigma_{cm} = 1395^{0.5} = 37.3$  MPa

Example on Hoek-Brown Criterion and GSI  $\sigma 1 = \sigma 3 + (mb \sigma 3 \sigma ci + s \sigma ci 2)a$ 

(c) Siltstone rock mass,  $\sigma_{ci}$ =65 MPa, GSI=20.  $m_i$  for siltstone = 7  $m_b = m_i \exp[(GSI - 100)/28] = 0.40$   $s = \exp[(GSI - 100)/9] = 0.00014$ GSI < 25, a = 0.65 - (GSI/200) = 0.55  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_3 + (26 \sigma_3 + 0.59)^{0.55}$  $\sigma_{cm} = 0.59^{0.55} = 0.75$  MPa



#### Hoek-Brown and Mohr-Coulomb Criteria

There is no direct correlation between linear M-C criterion and the non-linear H-B criterion.

When Mohr-Coulomb parameters c and φ are needed for design and modelling,

(i) Use direct test results on rock mass if available.

(ii) Use H-B to generate a series  $\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$  data, plot them by Mohr circles, and fit them with the 'best' linear tangent envelope, to find c and  $\phi$ .

#### Getting c and ø using Hoek-Brown Equation





#### **Correlation of Rock Mass Quality and Properties**

Correlations between rock mass strength and quality are by m<sub>b</sub> and s in the Hoek-Brown criterion.

Better rock mass quality gives higher m<sub>b</sub> and s, hence higher rock mass strength. When rock mass is solid and massive with few joints, rock mass strength is close to rock material strength. When rock mass is very poor (GSI < 25), rock mass has very low uniaxial compressive strength close to zero. **Correlation of Rock Mass Quality and Properties** 

Rock mass modulus (E<sub>m</sub>, GPa) can be estimated from RMR and Q, for fair and better rock mass,

E <sub>m</sub> = 25 log10Q,	for Q > 1
-----------------------------	-----------

- $E_m = 10 \ (Q \ \sigma_{ci}/100)^{1/3}$
- $E_{m} = 2 RMR 100,$

 $E_m = 10^{(RMR-10)/40}$ 

for RMR > 50

- for 20 < RMR < 85
- $E_{\rm m} = 10^{(15 \log Q + 40)/40}$



Correlation of Rock Mass Quality and Properties

For poor rocks with  $\sigma_{ci}$ <100 MPa,

 $E_m = (\sigma_{ci}/100)^{0.5} \ 10^{(GSI-10)/40}$ 

The equation is developed from the original  $E_m$ -RMR  $E_m$ -Q- $\sigma_{ci}$  equation, to reflect the effect of rock strength change.

#### Squeezing Behaviour of Rock Mass

Squeezing of rock is the time dependent large deformation, which occurs around an openings, and is essentially associated with creep caused by exceeding shear strength.

Classification of squeezing degree,

- (i) Mild squeezing: closure 1-3% of opening D;
- (ii) Moderate squeezing: closure 3-5% of D;
- (iii) High squeezing: closure > 5% of D.

#### Squeezing Behaviour of Rock Mass

Behaviour of rock squeezing is typically represented by rock mass deforms plastically into the opening. Rate of squeezing is time and stress dependent. Usually the rate is high at initial stage, say, several cm/day closure at beginning, reduces with time. Squeezing may continue for a long period. Squeezing may occur at shallow depths in weak and poor rock masses. Poor rock masses with moderate strength at great depth may also suffer from squeezing.

#### Squeezing Estimation by Rock Mass Classification Q

Squeezing: Overburden H > 350 Q<sup>1/3</sup>

Non-squeezing: H < 350 Q<sup>1/3</sup>



#### Squeezing Estimation by Rock Mass Classification N



Rock Mass Number (N)

1000

**Squeezing Condition** 

Theoretically, squeezing conditions around a tunnel opening can occur when,

 $\sigma_{\theta}$  > strength =  $\sigma_{cm}$  + P<sub>x</sub> A/2

 $\sigma_{\theta}$  is the tangential stress at the tunnel opening,  $\sigma_{cm}$  is the uniaxial compressive strength of the rock mass, P<sub>x</sub> is the in situ stress in the tunnel axis direction, and A is a rock parameter proportion to friction.

#### Squeezing Condition

Degree of Squeezing	$\sigma_{_{\!$	σ <sub>cm</sub> / σ <sub>situ</sub> (Hoek)
Non squeezing	< 1.0	> 0.35
Mild squeezing	1.0 – 2.0	0.2 – 0.35
Moderate squeezing	2.0 – 4.0	0.15 – 0.2
High squeezing	> 4.0	< 0.15

Squeezing can be correlated with the ratio of rock mass strength to in situ stress. Squeezing is possible when the ratio is less than 0.35 (Hoek 2000).





#### Prediction curve for squeezing for different rock mass strength to in situ stress ratios (Hoek 2000)

Tunnel squeezing case histories compared with prediction for squeezing (Hoek 2000)





### Rock Strength: m<sub>i</sub> parameter

Rock	Class	Group	Texture				
type		_	Course	Medium	Fine	Very fine	
SEDIMENTARY	Clastic		Conglomerate (22)	Sandstone 19 Greyw (1	Siltstone 9 vacke> 8)	Claystone 4	
		Organic		< Ch < Ch (8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{alk} \longrightarrow \\ 7 \\ \text{oal} \longrightarrow \\ 21) \end{array}$		
	Non-Clastic	Carbonate	Breccia (20)	Sparitic Limestone (10)	Micritic Limestone 8		
		Chemical		Gypstone 16	Anhydrire 13		
HIC	Non Foliated		Marble 9	Hornfels (19)	Quartzite 24		
MORF	Slightly foliated		Migmatite (30)	Amphibolite 31	Mylonites (6)		
META	Foliated*		Gneiss 33	Schists (10)	Phyllites (10)	Slate 9	
	Light		Granite 33		Rhyolite (16)	Obsidian (19)	
			Granodiorite (30)		Dacite (17)		
IGNEOUS	- -				Andesite 19		
	Dark		Gabbro 27 Norite 22	Dolerite (19)	Basalt (17)		
	Extrusive pyroclastic type		Agglomerate (20)	Breccia (18)	Tuff (15)		





## Equivalent Modulus of Rock Masses (Table 10-7)


## Allowable Bearing Stresses on Rock Masses



Rock Quality Designation, RQD

RMR	SUPPO	ORT G	UIDE	LINES

Rock mans class	Excavation	Rock bolts (20 mm diameter, fully groated)	Shewrote	Steel you
t - Very good rock RMR: 81-100	Full face, 3 m advance.	Generally no support required except spot boilting.		
II - Geod rock MME 61-80	Full face , 1-1.5 m advance. Complete support 20 m from face.	Locally, builts in recom 3 m long, spoord 2.5 m with occasional wave meth.	53 mm is crove where musined	Nona.
III - Pair mck RMR: 41-60	Top heading and bench 1.3-3 m advance in top heading. Commence support after each blost. Complete support 10 m from faux.	Symmatic bolia 4 m long, spaced 1.3 - 2 m in creves and walts with wire mesh in creves.	50-100 mm is crown and 30 mm is sales.	Note.
IV - Pow roci. RMR: 28-40	Top leading and beach 1.0-1.5 m advance is top beading. Instal support concurrently with excuration. 10 m from face.	Systematic beits 4.5 m long, spaced 1-1.5 m in crown and walls with wire mesh.	108-150 mm in corest and 100 mm in sides.	Light to motions rits spaced 1.5 m where required.
V - Very poor took ANA: < 20	Multiple drifts 0.5-1.5 m advance in top: heading, bustal support concurrently with overvation. Shotorete as sent at possible after blasting.	Systematic bults 5-6 m long, spaced 1-1.5 m in crown and walls with wise meth. Balt invest.	150-200 mm in coover, 150-mm in sides, and 50 mm m face:	Medicarste beavy ribs speced 0.75 m with nod lagging and feespelling if required. Close invert.