Analysis of Variance and Design of Experiments

General Linear Hypothesis and Analysis of Variance

Lecture 7
Test of Hypothesis for Linear Parametric Functions



Shalabh
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur



Slides can be downloaded from http://home.iitk.ac.in/~shalab/sp.

Tests of Hypothesis in the Linear Regression Model Model

Denoting $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n)'$ a $n \times 1$ column vector, such assumption can be expressed in the form of a linear regression model

$$Y = X \beta + \varepsilon$$

where X is a n x p matrix, β is a p x 1 vector and ε is a n x 1 vector of disturbances with $E(\varepsilon) = 0$, $Cov(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 I$ and ε follows a normal distribution.

This implies that

$$E(Y) = X \beta$$
, $Var(Y) = E(Y - X \beta)(Y - X \beta)' = \sigma^2 I$.

ANOVA for testing $H_0: \beta = \beta^0$

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean squares	<i>F</i> -value
Due to β	p	$q_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$	$\frac{q_1}{p}$	$\left(\frac{n-p}{p}\right)\frac{q_1}{q_2}$
Error	n - p	q_2	$\frac{q_2}{(n-p)}$	

Total $(y-X\beta^0)'(y-X\beta^0)$

$$q_1 = (y - X\beta^0)'X(XX)^{-1}X'(y - X\beta^0)$$

$$q_2 = (y - X\beta^0)'[I - X(XX)^{-1}X'](y - X\beta^0)$$

If $F > F_{1-\alpha}(p-1, n-p)$, then $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = ... = \beta_p$ is rejected.

Let us consider the test of hypothesis related to a linear parametric function.

Assuming that the linear parameter function $L'\beta$ is estimable where $L=(\ell_1,\ell_2,...,\ell_p)'$ is a p x 1 vector of known constants and $\beta=(\beta_1,\beta_2,...,\beta_p)'$.

The null hypothesis of interest is $H_0: L'\beta = \delta$ where δ is some specified constant.

Consider the set up of linear model $Y = X \beta + \varepsilon$ where

$$Y = (Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n)'$$
 follows $N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$.

The maximum likelihood estimators of eta and σ^2 are

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta})$$

respectively.

The maximum likelihood estimate of estimable $L'\beta$ is $L'\hat{\beta}$, with

$$E(L'\hat{\beta}) = L'\beta$$

$$Cov(L'\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 L'(X'X)^{-1}L$$

$$L'\hat{\beta} \sim N\left[L'\beta, \sigma^2 L'(X'X)^{-1}L\right]$$

and $\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-p)$ assuming X to be the full column rank matrix.

Further, $L'\hat{\beta}$ and $\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}$ are also independently distributed.

Under $H_0: L'\beta = \delta$, the statistic

$$t = \frac{\sqrt{(n-p)}(L'\hat{\beta} - \delta)}{\sqrt{n\hat{\sigma}^2 L'(X'X)^{-1} L}}$$

follows a t-distribution with (n - p) degrees of freedom.

So the test for $H_0: L'\beta = \delta$ against $H_1: L'\beta \neq \delta$ rejects H_0 whenever

$$\left|t\right| \ge t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(n-p)$$

where $t_{1-\alpha}(n_1)$ denotes the upper 100 α % points on t-distribution with n_1 degrees of freedom.

Now we develop the test of hypothesis related to more than one linear parametric functions.

Let the i^{th} estimable linear parametric function is $\phi_i = L_i \beta$ and there are k such functions with L_i and β both being $p \times 1$ vectors.

Our interest is to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: \phi_1 = \delta_1, \phi_2 = \delta_2, ..., \phi_k = \delta_k$$

where $\delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_k$ are the known constants.

Let
$$\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_k)'$$
 and $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_k)'$.

Then H_0 is expressible as $H_0: \phi = L'\beta = \delta$

where L' is a $k \times p$ matrix of constants associated with $L_1, L_2, ..., L_k$

The maximum likelihood estimator of ϕ_i is : $\hat{\phi_i} = L_i \hat{\beta}$ where $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'y$.

Then
$$\hat{\phi} = (\hat{\phi_1}, \hat{\phi_2}, ..., \hat{\phi_k})' = L'\hat{\beta}$$
.

Also
$$E(\hat{\phi}) = \phi$$
 and $Cov(\hat{\phi}) = \sigma^2 V$

where $V = ((L_i(X'X)^{-1}L_j))$, $(L_i(X'X)^{-1}L_j)$ is the $(i,j)^{th}$ element of V.

Thus

$$\frac{(\hat{\phi}-\phi)'V^{-1}(\hat{\phi}-\phi)}{\sigma^2}$$

follows a χ^2 – distribution with k degrees of freedom and

 $\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}$ follows a χ^2 – distribution with (n-p) degrees of freedom where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}(y-X\hat{\beta})'(y-X\hat{\beta})$ is the maximum likelihood estimator of σ^2 .

Further $\frac{(\hat{\phi}-\phi)'V^{-1}(\hat{\phi}-\phi)}{\sigma^2}$ and $\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}$ are also independently distributed.

Thus under $H_0: \phi = \delta$

$$egin{aligned} rac{\left(\hat{\phi} - \mathcal{S})'V^{-1}(\hat{\phi} - \mathcal{S})}{\sigma^2 \over k} \ \hline \left(rac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \over \left(n - p
ight)}
ight) \sim F(k, n - p) \end{aligned}$$

or
$$\left(\frac{n-p}{k}\right)\frac{(\hat{\phi}-\delta)'V^{-1}(\hat{\phi}-\delta)}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim F(k,n-p)$$

So the hypothesis $H_0: \phi = \delta$ is rejected against

 H_1 : At lest one $\phi_i \neq \delta_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., k whenever

$$F \ge F_{1-\alpha}(k, n-p)$$

where $F_{1-\alpha}(k, n-p)$ denotes the $100\alpha\%$ points on *F*-distribution with *k* and (n-p) degrees of freedom.

The objective in the one-way classification is to test the hypothesis about the equality of means on the basis of several samples which have been drawn from univariate normal populations with different means but the same variances.

Let there be *p* univariate normal populations and samples of different sizes are drawn from each of the population.

Let $y_{ij}(j = 1,2,..., n_i)$ be a random sample from the i^{th} normal population with mean β_i and variance $\sigma^2, i = 1,2,...,p$, i.e.,

$$Y_{ij} \sim N(\beta_i, \sigma^2), j = 1, 2, ..., n_i; i = 1, 2, ..., p.$$

The random samples from different populations are assumed to be independent of each other.

These observations follow the set up of linear model

$$Y = X \beta + \varepsilon$$

These observations follow the set up of linear model

$$Y = X \beta + \varepsilon$$

where

$$Y = (Y_{11}, Y_{12}, ..., Y_{1n_1}, Y_{21}, ..., Y_{2n_2}, ..., Y_{p1}, Y_{p2}, ..., Y_{pn_p})'$$

$$y = (y_{11}, y_{12}, ..., y_{1n_1}, y_{21}, ..., y_{2n_2}, ..., y_{p1}, y_{p2}, ..., y_{pn_p})'$$

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_p)'$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_{11}, \varepsilon_{12}, ..., \varepsilon_{1n_1}, \varepsilon_{21}, ..., \varepsilon_{2n_2}, ..., \varepsilon_{p1}, \varepsilon_{p2}, ..., \varepsilon_{pn_p})'$$

where

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{cases} n_1 \text{ values}$$

$$\begin{cases} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{cases} n_2 \text{ values}$$

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta_i \text{ occurs in the } j^{th} \text{ observation } x_j \\ \text{or if effect } \beta_i \text{ is absent in } x_j \\ 0 & \text{if effect } \beta_i \text{ is absent in } x_j \end{cases}$$

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i.$$

$$n_i = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i.$$

$$n_i = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i.$$

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta_i \text{ occurs in the } j^{th} \text{ observation } x_j \\ & \text{or if effect } \beta_i \text{ is present in } x_j \\ 0 & \text{if effect } \beta_i \text{ is absent in } x_j \end{cases}$$

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i.$$

So X is a matrix of order $n \times p$, β is fixed and

- first n_1 rows of \mathcal{E} are $\mathcal{E}_1^{'}=(1,0,0,...,0),$
- next n_2 rows of \mathcal{E} are $\varepsilon_2 = (0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$
- and similarly, the last n_p rows of \mathcal{E} are $\varepsilon_p^{'}=(0,0,...,0,1)$.

Obviously,
$$rank(X) = p$$
, $E(Y) = X\beta$ and $Cov(Y) = \sigma^2 I$.

This completes the representation of a fixed effect linear model of full rank.

The null hypothesis of interest is $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = ... = \beta_p = \beta$ (say)

and H_1 : At least one $\beta_i \neq \beta_i (i \neq j)$

where β and σ^2 are unknown.

We would develop here the likelihood ratio test.

It may be noted that the same test can also be derived through the least-squares method. This will be demonstrated later.

This way the readers will understand both the methods.

We already have developed the likelihood ratio for the hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_p$$
 in earlier case.