## A13C-0310

# Enhanced secondary organic aerosol during fog episodes over a typical location in **Indo-Gangetic Plain**

D. S. Kaul, S. N. Tripathi\*, Tarun Gupta\*, Vinod Tare

Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India

Correspondence: snt@iitk.ac.in, tarun@iitk.ac.in

10 10 14 10 10 20 22

• C

Figure 3: (A) Study average diurnal variation of

### **Secondary Organic Aerosol**

Frequent and extended fog episodes over the Indo-Gangetic Plain during winter make it an ideal site to study the interaction between fogs and carbonaceous aerosols. These aerosols can be formed via gas-to-particle partitioning of various low volatility products of photo-oxidation reactions: particulate organic matter produced by this process is referred to as secondary organic aerosol (SOA). Formation mechanisms have been suggested both in wet aerosols and in fogs and clouds (Blando et al., 2000). Mechanisms of SOA production remain poorly understood partly due to the complex nature of multiphase processes likely involved in SOA production. This study has examined the aqueous phase production of SOA and processing of organics and inorganics by fog droplets.

Introduction

#### Method

PM<sub>1</sub> samples on filters were collected from mid January to February, 2010 in Kanpur city which is located in the most polluted and densely populated (~ 2.6 million) Indo-Gangetic plain of India (Fig 1). Micro-Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET), as part of National Aeronautic Space Administration (NASA), was used for identification of fog duration. Organic Carbon (OC), Elemental Carbon (EC) and water soluble organic carbon (WSOC) measurements were carried out by EC-OC analyzer and TOC analyzer, respectively. Trace gases  $(O_3, CO, SO_2)$  and solar flux measurement were carried out by gas analyzer and pyranometer (as part of NASA AERONET), respectively to identify photo-chemical activity. Meteorological data were measured by atmospheric weather station. EC tracer method (Turpin et al., 1991) was used to estimate the SOA. All the filters and fog water were analyzed for inorganics by ion chromatograph. More details of the findings are included elsewhere (Kaul et al., 2011)

Foggy

relatively

day



Figure 1: Sampling site. Kanpur (shown by circle) located at 26.5° N, 80.3° E, 142 m msl . Figure shows the spatial scale which can be affected by the event (figure to the scale). Aerial information taken fog from MODIS rapid response (http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa. gov/cgibin/bamgomas interactive)



≻Stagnant and calm Study average diurnal variation of relative atmospheric condition humidity (RH), solar flux (F) and temperature existed during foggy (T) during clear and foggy day. LST is local standard time (Kaul et al., 2011)

production (Fig 4-A)

>Lower GSD during foggy day may be due to scavenging of aerosols by fog droplets



negligible influence on SOA formation (Fig 3-C (RH) (Kaul et al., 2011).



Figure 4: (A) Study average number (N), volume (V) and surface area (S) size distribution of foggy and clear day's aerosols. D is mobility diameter (B) Study average diurnal variations of total number concentration (TC), mode diameter (Dm) and geometric standard deviation (GSD) during foggy and clear days. LST stands for local standard time

#### References

**Microphysical Properties** 

Blando, J. D.; Turpin, B. J., Secondary organic aerosol formation in cloud and fog droplets: a literature evaluation of plausibility. Atmos. Environ. 2000, 34, (10), 1623-1632.

Kaul, D. S., et al., Secondary Organic Aerosol: A Comparison between Foggy and Nonfoggy Days, Environmental Science & Technology, 2011, DOI: 10.1021/es201081d

Turpin, B. J.; Huntzicker, J. J., Secondary formation of organic aerosol in the Los Angeles basin: A descriptive analysis of organic and elemental carbon concentrations. Atmos. Environ. Part A. General Topics 1991, 25, (2), 207-215.



and biomass contribution during foggy day is less due to scavenging of biomass generated aerosols (Fig 8-A)

>Biomass burning is the highest contributor to PM mass during nonfoggy day whereas refractory source is the highest contributor during foggy day (Fig 8-A and B)

Contribution of WSOC from secondary source is relatively small with most coming from biomass burning (Fig 8-A and B)

Figure 8: Contribution profile of species during foggy (A) and nonfoggy (B) days.

#### Summary and Conclusions

The enhanced production of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) during foggy day is due to its aqueous phase production. Biomass burning did not confound SOA estimate during foggy day due to scavenging of biomass generated aerosols during foggy day. The temperature and relative humidity has negligible influence on SOA formation. Fog droplets scavenges both organics and inorganics, despite their scavenging, only water soluble organic carbon (WSOC). NO<sub>2</sub> and  $K^+$  was removed from the atmosphere to below nonfoggy day concentration. Secondary production of WSOC is relatively small with most coming from biomass burning. Contribution of biomass burning to PM mass during clear day is more due to reduced scavenging, contribution of refractory sources to PM mass during foggy day is considerable.

#### Acknowledgement

We thank the MPLNET PI-Dr. Judd Welton, NASA and staff for their efforts in establishing and maintaining the Kanpur site. We thank Dr. Brent Holben and staff for establishing and maintaining AERONET at Kanpur. We also thank Ja Prakash, Rajmal Jat and Abhishek Gaur for their contribution. This work was supported through a grant from ISRO GBP project



≻Higher TC and Dm during late

Table 1: Behavior of organic carbon (OC) to

elemental carbon (EC) ratio as fog is

evaporating. LST stands for local standard time

2.625

13.87

15.41

10.90

6.19

6.22

9.09

7.98

3.88

2.77

>Increase of OC/EC ratio as fog evaporates.

higher values of OC/EC ratio and SOA indicates

SOA formation through aqueous mechanism

and WSOC during foggy days indicates removal

is negligible during foggy day (Fig 3-B, 6-A)

---

LST(hours)

9

12.80

14.45

17.63

9.67

13.24

10.40

10.86

15.14

8.80

4.98

75.10

12.3

15.15

34.43

15.41

13.68

13.31

13.89

10.76

14.28

10.86

26.70

(Kaul et al., 2011)

DATE

(YYYY-MM-DD)

2010-01-16

2010-01-18

2010-01-19

2010-01-20

2010-01-21

2010-01-22

2010-01-23

2010-01-25

2010-01-26

2010-01-28

2010-02-13

(Table 1, Fig 3 A)

and D)