The $A_{2}$ conjecture

## The $A_{2}$ conjecture

$w$ belongs to the $A_{p}$ class, $1<p<\infty$ if

$$
[w]_{A_{p}}=\sup _{Q}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(y) d y\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d y\right)^{p-1}<\infty
$$

A Calderón-Zygmund operator with kernel $K$ is a bounded operator in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(f)(x)=\int K(x, y) f(y) d y \quad x \notin \operatorname{supp}(f) \\
|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{n}}, \quad x \neq y \\
\left|K(x, y)-K\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)\right|+\left|K(y, x)-K\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{|x-y|^{n+\delta}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \leq|x-y| / 2$.

## $A_{2}$ Conjecture and Theorem

Theorem
Let $T$ a $C-Z$ operator. There exists a constant $c(n, T)$ such that, for all weights $w \in A_{2}$,

$$
\|T\|_{L^{2}(w)} \leq c(n, T)[w]_{A_{2}} .
$$

- Beurling transform Petermichl and Volberg 2002
- Hilbert transform, Riesz transform Petermichl 2007-08
- Intermediate results : Lacey et al. Cruze Uribe et al. 2010, ...
- Solved by Hytönen 2010
- New proof by Andreï Lerner 2012


## Buckley's Theorem for the maximal function

Theorem
For all weights $w \in A_{p}$,

$$
\|M\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq \frac{c(n) p}{p-1}[w]_{A_{p}}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} .
$$

Step 1 : sufficient to do it for the dyadic analog.

## Dyadic cubes and dyadic grids

Classical dyadic cubes : $Q=\left[2^{-k} j, 2^{-k}(j+1)\right)^{n}$. We call $\ell(Q)=2^{-k}$ its size (or scale), $\mathcal{D}$ the set of dyadic cubes.

- Dyadic cubes $Q$ such that $\ell(Q)=2^{-k}$ constitute a partition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
- They are the disjoint union of $2^{n}$ dyadic cubes such that $\ell(Q)=2^{-k-1}$.
- Let $Q, Q^{\prime}$ two dyadic cubes. Then $Q \subset Q^{\prime}$ or $Q^{\prime} \subset Q$, or $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ are disjoint.
Lemma. Let $\mathcal{L}$ a collection of dyadic cubes of bounded size. If $\mathcal{L}_{\text {max }}$ is the subcollection of maximal dyadic cubes, then each cube of $\mathcal{L}$ is contained in a cube of $\mathcal{L}_{\text {max }}$.


## Other dyadic grids

$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$, with $\alpha \in\{0,1 / 3\}^{n}$, is the collection of $2^{-k}\left([0,1)^{d}+j+(-1)^{k} \alpha\right)$, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ et $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Lemma. Each cube $Q$ is contained in an interval $Q^{\prime}$ which belongs to one of the $2^{n}$ families $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ and such that $\ell\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \leq 6 \ell(Q)$.

## Maximal dyadic functions: Doob's Inequality

$$
\lambda\left|\left\{M^{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}} f>\lambda\right\}\right| \leq \int_{M^{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}} f>\lambda}|f(x)| d x
$$

As a consequence, $L^{p}$ inequality with constant $\frac{p}{p-1}$.
The proof is geometric and is valid for all Radon non negative measures.

## Second step: dyadic inequalities

Let $M_{w}$ the maximal (dyadic) operator for the measure $w d x$ and $M_{\sigma}$ for the measure $\sigma d x$, with $\sigma=w^{-1}$. They are both bounded for the corresponding measure.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} f & \leq[w]_{A_{2}} \frac{|Q|}{w(Q)}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q)} \int_{Q} f(x) \sigma^{-1}(x) \sigma(x) d x\right) \\
& \leq[w]_{A_{2}} \frac{|Q|}{w(Q)} \inf _{Q} M_{\sigma}\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \\
& \leq[w]_{A_{2}} \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_{Q} M_{\sigma}\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) d x \\
& \leq[w]_{A_{2}} M_{w}\left[w^{-1}\left(M_{\sigma}\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- pause


## Optimality of Buckley's Theorem

Luque, Pérez and Rela (to appear) :

## Proposition

For fixed $p>1$ let $\psi:[1, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ an increasing function such that for all $w \in A_{p}$ and $f$ positive,

$$
\|M f\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq \psi\left([w]_{A_{p}}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p}(w)} .
$$

Then $\psi(t) \geq c(p) t^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ for some constant $c(p)>0$.
Proof for $p=2$ : we prove that $\psi(t) \geq t / 4$ for $t \geq t_{0}$. For $1<q<2$ let $f \geq 0$ with norm 1 in $L^{q}$ et telle que $\|M f\|_{q} \geq 2\|M\|_{q}$.
We set

$$
R(f)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \frac{M^{k}(f)}{\|M\|_{q}^{k}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(f)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \frac{M^{k}(f)}{\|M\|_{q}^{k}} \\
f \leq R(f) \quad\|R(f)\|_{L_{q}} \leq 2\|f\|_{L_{q},}, \quad M(R f) \leq 2 R f .
\end{gathered}
$$

Take as a weight $w=(R f)^{q-2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|M f\|_{L^{q}} & \left.=\left(\int\left((M f)(R f)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}\right)\right)^{q}(R f)^{q\left(1-\frac{q}{2}\right)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
\|M f\|_{L^{q}} & \leq\left(\int(M f)^{2}(R f)^{q-2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int(R f)^{q} d x\right)^{1-\frac{q}{2}} \\
& \leq \psi\left([w]_{A_{2}}\right)\left(\int f^{2}(R f)^{q-2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int(R f)^{q} d x\right)^{1-\frac{q}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\psi\left([w]_{A_{2}}\right) \geq 4\|M\|_{q}$.

Let us prove that $[w]_{A_{2}} \leq 2\|M\|_{q}$. Recall that $w=(R f)^{q-2}$. We have, by Jensen inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[w]_{A_{2}} } & =\sup _{Q}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}(R f)^{q-2} d x\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}(R f)^{2-q} d x\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{Q}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}(R f)^{-1} d x\right)^{2-q}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}(R f) d x\right)^{2-q} \\
& \leq[R f]_{A_{2}}^{2-q} \leq[R f]_{A_{2}} \leq 2\|M\|_{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inverse Hölder Inequalities

Lerner, Ambrosi et Pérez (2008)
Proposition
Soit $w$ be such that $M^{\mathcal{D}} w \leq[w]_{A_{1}} w$. If $r=1+1 /\left(2^{d+1}[w]_{A_{1}}\right)$, then for every dyadic cube

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{r}\right)^{1 / r} d x \leq \frac{2}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w
$$

Proof for $d=1$. Let

$$
\left\{M_{l}^{\mathcal{D}} w>\lambda\right\}=\cup I_{j} \quad \text { ( disjoint union). }
$$

Assume that $\lambda>\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{l} w d x$. Since $w\left(I_{j}\right)=\int_{I_{j}} w \leq 2 \lambda\left|I_{j}\right|$, we have

$$
\int_{M_{l}^{\mathcal{D}} w>\lambda} w d x=\sum w\left(l_{j}\right) \leq 2 \lambda\left|M_{l}^{\mathcal{D}} w>\lambda\right| .
$$

We now use the assumption $M^{\mathcal{D}} w \leq[w]_{A_{1}} w$.

$$
\int_{I}\left(M_{I}^{\mathcal{D}} w\right)^{\delta} w d x \leq \frac{1}{|I|^{\delta}}\left(\int_{I} w d x\right)^{\delta+1}+\frac{2 \delta\left[A_{1}\right]}{\delta+1} \int_{I}\left(M_{I}^{\mathcal{D}} w\right)^{\delta} w d x .
$$

For $\delta$ small enough the second term of the right hand side can be substracted to the left hand side.

## A flavor of the rest of the proof

The aim of Lerner : have new tools to analyze locally a function and replace

$$
f_{Q}=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} f d x \quad a(f, Q)=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|f-f_{Q}\right| d x .
$$

Let $P_{Q}$ the probability $\frac{d x}{|Q|}$ on $Q$.
Use of a Median $m(f, Q)$ of $f$ on $Q$ and the (mean) Oscillation
$\omega_{\lambda}(f, Q)=\inf \left\{\omega>0\right.$; there exists $c$ such that $\left.P_{Q}(|f-c|>\omega) \leq \lambda\right\}$.
$\omega_{\lambda}(f, Q)=\inf \left\{\omega>0 ;\right.$ there exists $c$ such that $\left.P_{Q}(|f-c|>\omega) \leq \lambda\right\}$.
Claim. $\omega_{\lambda}(f, Q) \leq \lambda^{-1} a(Q)$.
Take $c=f_{Q}$ and $\omega=\frac{a(Q)}{\lambda}$. Prove that $P_{Q}(|f-c|>\omega) \leq \lambda$. Implies that $M_{\lambda}^{\#} f \leq \lambda^{-1} M^{\#} f$.

We have more :
Proposition.For $T$ a C-Z operator, we have $M_{\lambda}^{\#}(T f) \leq C_{\lambda} M f$.

Proposition.For $T$ a C-Z operator, we have $M_{\lambda}^{\#}(T f) \leq C_{\lambda} M f$.
Already done : there exists a constant $c$ such that
$\left|T f-T\left(f_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)-c\right| \leq C \inf _{Q} M f$.
Take this constant $c$ and $\omega=K \inf _{Q} M f$.
Since $|T f-c| \leq \mid T\left(f \chi_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)+C \inf _{Q} M f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Q}\left(|T f-c| \geq K \inf _{Q} M f\right) & \leq P_{Q}\left(T\left(f \chi_{\widetilde{Q}}\right) \geq(K-C) \inf _{Q} M f\right) \\
& \leq P_{Q}\left(T\left(f \chi_{\widetilde{Q}}\right) \geq \frac{(K-C)}{|\widetilde{Q}|} \int_{\widetilde{Q}}|f(x)| d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But $T$ is weak-type $(1,1)$, so that for some constant $C^{\prime}$

$$
P_{Q}\left(T\left(f_{\widetilde{Q}}\right) \geq s\right) \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{s|Q|} \int_{\widetilde{Q}}|f(x)| d x
$$

Take $K \geq C+C^{\prime} 2^{n} \lambda^{-1}$.

Bochner-Riesz means.

## Bochner-Riesz means via the restriction Theorem.

Bochner-Riesz means are given by

$$
S_{R} f(x)=\int_{|\xi| \leq 1}\left(1-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)^{\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2 \pi i \xi \cdot x} d \xi
$$

Convergence in $L^{p}$ reduces to $L^{p}$ boundedness of the single operator $S_{1}$, that is, the fact that

$$
m_{\delta}(\xi)=\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)_{+}^{\delta}
$$

is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}$. The convolution operator $T_{\delta}$ is given by

$$
K_{\delta}(x)=c|x|^{-(n / 2)-\delta} J_{n / 2+\delta}(2 \pi|x|) .
$$

Moreover

$$
J_{\nu}(x)=c \frac{\cos \left(x-\frac{\nu \pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{|x|^{1 / 2}}+O\left(|x|^{-3 / 2}\right)
$$

So $K_{\delta}$ behaves like $|x|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}-\delta}$ at infinity.
Necessary condition. For $T_{\delta}$ to be bounded in $L^{p}$ it is necessary that

$$
\frac{n}{p}<\frac{n+1}{2}+\delta,
$$

which we can rewrite as

$$
\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|<\frac{2 \delta+1}{2 n}
$$

Theorem. Assume that the restriction theorem holds for ( $p, 2$ ). Then the Bochner-Riesz conjecture holds for this value of $p$.

Sufficient to prove that

$$
\left\|\left(\psi_{k} K_{\delta}\right) * f\right\|_{p} \leq C 2^{n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\delta-\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{p}
$$

Here $\psi_{k}(x)=\psi\left(x / 2^{k}\right)$ is supported in $\left\{2^{k-1} \leq|x| \leq 2^{k+1}\right\}$.

## First reductions.

Let $T_{k} f=\left(\psi_{k} K_{\delta}\right) * f$.
Claim. It is sufficient to prove that

$$
I=\left\|\left(\psi_{k} K_{\delta}\right) * f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(B\left(0,2^{k+3}\right)\right)} \leq C 2^{\left[n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\delta-\frac{1}{2}\right] k}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(B\left(0,2^{k}\right)\right)}
$$

for $f$ supported in $B\left(0,2^{k}\right)$ ).

$$
I \leq C 2^{n k\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left\|\left(\psi_{k} K_{\delta}\right) * f\right\|_{2}
$$

Claim. $\left|\widehat{\psi_{k} K_{\delta}}(\xi)\right| \leq C \frac{2^{-k \delta}}{\left(1+\left(2^{k} d(\xi, S)\right)^{N}\right.}$.
Claim. We conclude easily from this : no problem for $|\xi|<1 / 2$ or $|\xi|>3 / 2$. In between, we have

$$
\int_{1 / 2}^{3 / 2}\left(1+2^{k} r\right)^{-N} \int_{S^{n-1}}|\widehat{f}(r \xi)|^{2} d \sigma(\xi) \leq C 2^{-k}\|f\|_{p}^{2}
$$

which we wanted.

Claim. $\left|\widehat{\psi_{k}} * m_{\delta}(\xi)\right| \leq C \frac{2^{-k \delta / 2}}{\left(1+\left(2^{k} d(\xi, S)\right)^{N}\right.}$.
We will prove this estimate when $m_{\delta}$ is replaced by $n_{\delta}$, where $0 \leq n_{\delta} \leq 2^{-k \delta}$ on the annulus of thickness $2^{k+1}$ inside the unit sphere. Then

$$
\left|\widehat{\psi_{k}} * n_{\delta}(\xi)\right| \leq 2^{-k \delta} \int_{1-r<2^{-k}}\left(\left|\psi_{k}\right| * d \sigma_{r}\right) d r .
$$

But, for Tomas-Stein Theorem, seen that

$$
\left|\psi_{k}\right| * d \sigma \leq 2^{k}\left(1+\left(2^{k} d(\xi, S)\right)^{N}\right.
$$

To conclude it is sufficient to look at $\widehat{\psi_{k}} * \nu_{\delta}(\xi)$ where $\nu_{\delta}$ is supported in the ball of radius $1-2^{-k}$. The key point here is to use the fact that $\psi$ vanishes in a neighborhood of the origin and can be written as $|x|^{2 N} \eta(x)$, so that

$$
\widehat{\psi_{k}}(\xi)=c 2^{-N k} \Delta^{N} \phi_{k}(\xi), \quad \phi_{k}(\xi) \leq C 2^{k n}\left(1+2^{k}|\xi|\right)^{-N} .
$$

We then take into account that when taking $\Delta^{N} \nu_{\delta}$, one has a rapid decay far from the unit sphere.

## Relation with Prediction Theory

Let $Y_{n}$ a sequence of Gaussian centred random variables with variance 1 such that $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{j} Y_{k}\right)=r(j-k)$.
$r$ is positive definite : for every finite sequence $\left(\xi_{j}\right)$,

$$
\sum_{j, k} r(j-k) \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \geq 0
$$

Bochner's Theorem (or Herglotz) : there exists a probability on [ $0,2 \pi$ ] such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
r(n)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i n t} d \mu(t) \\
\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{j} Y_{k}\right)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i j t} e^{i k t} d \mu(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

Can one project on the past in the space of Gaussian r. v. ?
Equivalent to projection in $L^{2}(d \mu)$. Helson-Szegö $1965 d \mu=w d x$ and $w=\exp (u+H v)$, with $u$ and $v$ bounded, $|u| \leq \pi / 2$.

The Strichartz estimates

## The Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \partial_{t} u-\Delta_{x} u & =h \\
u_{\mid t=0} & =f
\end{aligned}
$$

For $h=0$,

$$
u(t, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{2 \pi i\left(x . \xi+2 \pi t|\xi|^{2}\right)} \widehat{f}(\xi) d \xi
$$

We recognize a variant of the extension operator $\mathcal{E}$ for the paraboloid $\Pi$, of the equation $\tau=2 \pi|\xi|^{2}$. We extend here the measure given on the paraboloid by $\widehat{f}(\xi) d \xi$.
The paraboloid has non vanishing curvature.If analogous to the sphere,

$$
\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

with $q=2+\frac{4}{d}$.

## The proof.

For homogeneity reasons we can assume that $\widehat{f}$ is supported in the ball $B(0,1)$, and we consider the measure $d \mu(x)=\phi(x) d x$ on the paraboloid, with $\phi$ smooth cut-off function.

Claim. $|\widehat{\mu}(t, x)| \leq|t|^{-d / 2}$.
The key point : $\widehat{\mu}(t, x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi t}\right)^{d / 2} e^{-i|x|^{2} /(2 \pi t)} *_{x} \phi$.
For $g$ in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ let $U(t) g=\widehat{\mu}(t, \cdot) *_{x} g$. Then

$$
\|U(t) g\|_{\infty} \leq|t|^{-d / 2}\|g\|_{1}, \quad\|U(t) g\|_{2} \leq\|g\|_{2}
$$

So

$$
\|U(t) g\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq|t|^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right)}\|g\|_{p}
$$

Next we use the trick that it is sufficient to prove an $\left(, L^{p^{\prime}}, L^{p}\right)$ inequality for the convolution by $\widehat{\mu}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ (see S . Ray lectures).

$$
\widehat{\mu} * f(t, \cdot)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} U(t-s) f(s) d s
$$

where we use the notation $f(t)$ for the function $x \mapsto f(t, x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(t) & =\|\widehat{\mu} * f(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|U(t-s) f(s)\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} d s \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}}|t-s|^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right)}\|f(s)\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Use HLS to conclude.
Remark. This proof extends to all hypersurfaces with non vanishing Gaussian curvature. One always has the required estimate by the stationary phase method.

## The whole equation.

Let us write $e^{i t \Delta}$ for the operator given on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ by

$$
\widehat{e^{i t \Delta f}}=e^{-4 i \pi^{2} t|\xi|^{2} \widehat{f}}
$$

Then the solution is given by

$$
u(t)=e^{-i t \Delta} f+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-i(t-s) \Delta} h(s) d s
$$

Theorem. Assume that $\frac{2}{p}+\frac{d}{q}=\frac{d}{2}$. Then

$$
\|u\|_{L_{t}^{p}\left(L_{x}^{q}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2}}+\|h\|_{L_{t}^{p^{\prime}}\left(L_{x}^{q^{\prime}}\right)} .
$$

## Proof for $p=q=2+\frac{4}{d}$.

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq C\|f\|_{2}+\|h\|_{p^{\prime}}
$$

We have the same inequalities for the propagator $e^{i t \Delta}$ than for $U(t)$ and it is sufficient to assume that $f$ is 0 . Then the proof is the same.

The general case is also given by the HLS theorem.

## Non linear equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \partial_{t}-\Delta_{x} u & =\lambda|u|^{4 / d} u \\
u_{\mid t=0} & =f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming that $\lambda$ is a real number, quantities $\|u(t)\|_{2}$ and $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}-\lambda\|u(t)\|_{2+\frac{4}{d}}^{2+\frac{4}{d}}$ are invariant.
When $\lambda>0$ it is called focusing. When $\lambda<0$, it is defocusing.

$$
u(t)=e^{-i t \Delta} f+\lambda \int_{0}^{t} e^{-i(t-s) \Delta}|u(s)|^{\frac{4}{d}} u(s) d s
$$

Theorem. Let $\|f\|_{L_{x}^{2}}=1$. If $\lambda$ is sufficiently small, the NLS equation has a global solution such that $\|u\|_{2+\frac{4}{d}}$ is bounded. It is the unique solution having these properties and depends continuously of the data.

Fixed point in the metric space $X=\left\{v \in L_{2+\frac{4}{d}}^{2} ;\|v\|_{2+\frac{4}{d}} \leq C\right\}$ for the mapping that maps $u$ to

$$
(T u)(t)=e^{-i t \Delta} f+\lambda \int_{0}^{t} e^{-i(t-s) \Delta}|u(s)|^{\frac{4}{d}} u(s) d s
$$

For free : $T u$ is in $X$ for $\lambda$ small. Indeed,

$$
\left\|v^{\frac{4}{d}} w\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq\|v\|_{2+\frac{4}{d}}^{\frac{4}{d}}\|w\|_{2+\frac{4}{d}} .
$$

Have to consider the $L^{2+\frac{4}{d}}$ norm of $T u-T v$ or, more precisely, of $|\lambda| \int_{0}^{t} e^{-i(t-s) \Delta}|u(s)|^{4 / d}|u(s)-v(s)| d s$.
One can also prove finite existence in time for all $\lambda$.

## Scattering.

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \partial_{t}-\Delta_{x} u & =\lambda|u|^{4 / d} u \\
u_{\mid t=0} & =f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $f_{+}=f+\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i t \Delta}|u(t)|^{\frac{4}{d}} u(t) d t$
Backward effect of the non linearity.
Theorem.

$$
\left\|u(t)-e^{-i t \Delta} f_{+}\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. Have to look at the function of $x$ given by $e^{-i t \Delta} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{i s \Delta}|u(s)|^{\frac{4}{d}} u(s) d s$. Use the adjoint of the propagator seen as an operator from $L_{x}^{2}$ to $L_{t, x}^{2+\frac{4}{d}}$.

