

# Homework # 1

Due on: Thursday, 16th August, 2018

### Problem 1.1: (5+10=15 marks)

The mean  $\langle x \rangle$  of a random process  $x$  is defined as  $\langle x \rangle = \int xp(x)dx$ , where  $p(x)$  is the probability density associated with the random process. The standard deviation  $\sigma$ , which quantifies the degree of randomness of a process, is defined as  $\sigma = \sqrt{\langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^2 \rangle}$ . Suppose  $p_1(x)$  and  $p_2(x)$  are the probability densities corresponding to two separate random processes and are defined as:

$$p_1(x) = \frac{1}{a} \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq x \leq a \quad \text{or else} \quad 0$$

$$p_2(x) = \frac{1}{b} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{a-b}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \quad \text{or else} \quad 0 \quad (\text{assume } a > b)$$

- (a) Verify that the above two probability densities are normalized.
- (b) Calculate the mean and the standard deviation of both the random processes. Plot  $p_1(x)$  and  $p_2(x)$  as a function of  $x$  and show the corresponding mean and the standard deviation on the plots.

**Problem 1.2: (5+10+10=25 marks)** One of the most often encountered random processes in Optics is the Gaussian random process. The probability density  $p(x)$  associated with a Gaussian random process is given by

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[ -\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \quad (1)$$

- (a) Verify that the above distribution is normalized.
- (b) Find the mean (first moment) and the second moment of this distribution. Also calculate the standard deviation.
- (c) Calculate the third and the fourth moments. Do these moments yield any new information about the process?

**Problem 1.3: (5+5+15+10+5=40 marks)** Consider the following random process:

$$Z(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e^{-i\omega_n t}, \quad (2)$$

in which  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$  are fixed frequencies, but the coefficients  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  are random variables.

- (a) First of all, explain what you understand by a stationary random process? What are the conditions for a process to be stationary at least in the wide sense?
- (b) What are the conditions that ensures that  $Z(t)$  is at least a wide-sense stationary process.
- (c) Next, check whether or not the above process is ergodic. For that you have to check if the ergodicity condition  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} |\Gamma(\tau) - |\langle Z \rangle|^2| \rightarrow 0$  is satisfied. (Hint: use  $\omega_n = \omega_0 + n\Delta\omega$ ).
- (d) Assuming  $|a_n|^2 = 1/N$ , plot  $|\Gamma(\tau) - |\langle Z \rangle|^2|$  as a function of  $\tau$  for  $\tau$  ranging from -1000 to 1000 and for (i)  $N=100$  and  $\Delta\omega = 0.1$  and (ii)  $N=100$  and  $\Delta\omega = 0.0001$ . Comment on the main difference between these two cases?
- (e) Comment on what happens to the ergodicity condition in situation in which  $\Delta\omega \rightarrow 0$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in a way that  $N\Delta\omega$  stays finite.

**Problem 1.4: (15+5=20 marks)** Let  $V^{(r)}(\vec{r}, t)$  be a real field and let's assume that it can be represented in terms of its Fourier components as:

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(r)}(\vec{r}, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(\vec{r}, \omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 v(\vec{r}, \omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega + \int_0^{\infty} v(\vec{r}, \omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega = V^*(\vec{r}, t) + V(\vec{r}, t). \end{aligned}$$

$V(\vec{r}, t)$  is called the complex analytic signal corresponding to the real variable  $V^{(r)}(\vec{r}, t)$ . In coherence theory the time-averaged intensity  $I(\vec{r})$  of a field at position  $\vec{r}$  is defined as  $I(\vec{r}) \propto \langle V^*(\vec{r}, t)V(\vec{r}, t) \rangle_t$ . On the other hand, in classical electromagnetic theory, the intensity is given as  $I^{(r)}(\vec{r}) = c\epsilon_0 \langle V^{(r)2}(\vec{r}, t) \rangle_t$ . Show that:

(a)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V^{(r)2}(\vec{r}, t) dt = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V^*(\vec{r}, t)V(\vec{r}, t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |v(\vec{r}, \omega)|^2 d\omega = 2 \int_0^{\infty} |v(\vec{r}, \omega)|^2 d\omega,$$

and thus prove that the two above mentioned definitions are related as

(b)

$$I^{(r)}(\vec{r}) = 2c\epsilon_0 I(\vec{r})$$