Transport Protocols

Kameswari Chebrolu Dept. of Electrical Engineering, IIT Kanpur

User Datagram Protocol (UDP)



End-to-End Protocols

- Convert host-to-host packet delivery service into a process-to-process communication channel
 - Demultiplexing: Multiple applications can share the network
- End points identified by ports
 - Ports are not interpreted globally
 - servers have well defined ports (look at /etc/services)

Application Layer Expectations

- Guaranteed message delivery
- Ordered delivery
- No duplication
- Support arbitrarily large messages
- Synchronization between the sender and receiver
- Support flow control
- Support demultiplexing

Limitations of Networks

- Packet Losses
- Re-ordering
- Duplicate copies
- Limit on maximum message size
- Long delays

Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)

- Connection oriented
 - Maintains state to provide reliable service
- Byte-stream oriented
 - Handles byte streams instead of messages
- Full Duplex
 - Supports flow of data in each direction
- Flow-control
 - Prevents sender from overrunning the receiver
- Congestion-control
 - Prevents sender from overloading the network



Sliding Window: Data Link vs Transport

P2P: Dedicated Link -- Physical Link connects the same two computers

- TCP: Connects two processes on any two machines in the Internet
- Needs explicit connection establishment phase to exchange state

P2P: Fixed round trip transmission time (RTT)

TCP: Potentially different and widely varying RTTs

Timeout mechanism has to be adaptive

P2P: No Reordering

TCP: Scope for reordering due to arbitrary long delays

Need to be robust against old packets showing up suddenly

Sliding Window: Data Link vs Transport

P2P: End points can be engineered to support the link
TCP: Any kind of computer can be connected to the Internet
[>] Need mechanism for each side to learn other side's resources
(e.g. buffer space) -- Flow control

P2P: Not possible to unknowingly congest the link TCP: No idea what links will be traversed, network capacity can dynamically vary due to competing traffic

 Need mechanism to alter sending rate in response to network congestion – Congestion control

TCP Header Format







Protection Against Wraparound

- Wraparound occurs because sequence number field is finite
 - 32 bit sequence number space
- Maximum Segment Lifetime (MSL) is 120 sec

Bandwidth	Time until Wraparound
T1 (1.5Mbps)	6.6 hrs
Ethernet (10Mbps)	57 minutes
T3 (45 Mbps)	13 minutes
FDDI (100Mbps)	6 minutes
STS-3 (155Mbps)	4 minutes
STS-12 (622Mbps)	55 seconds
STS-24 (1.2Gbps)	28 seconds

Sliding Window Recap



• LastByteAcked <= LastByteSent

• LastByteSent <= LastByteWritten

• Buffer bytes between

LastByteAcked and

LastByteWritten



Receiving application

Receiving Side:

- LastByteRead <= NextByteExpected
- NextByteExpected <=
- LastByteRcvd+1
- Buffer bytes between LastByteRead and LastByteRcvd

Flow Control

- Buffers are of finite size
 - MaxSendBuffer and MaxRcvBuffer
- Receiving side:
 - LastByteRcvd LastByteRead <= MaxRcvBuffer</p>
 - AdvertisedWindow = MaxRcvBuffer ((NextByteExpected 1) -LastByteRead)
- Sending side:
 - LastByteSent LastByteAcked <= AdvertisedWindow</p>
 - EffectiveWindow = AdvertisedWindow (LastByteSent -LastByteAcked)
 - LastByteWritten LastByteAcked <= MaxSendBuffer</p>
 - Persist when AdvertisedWindow is zero

Congestion Control

- At steady state use Self-clocking
 - Acks pace transmission of packets
- Challenges:
 - How to determine available capacity?
 - How to adjust sending rate to varying capacity?

Congestion Avoidance: Additive Increase/Multiplicative Decrease

- Introduce a new variable: CongestionWindow
 - Limits the amount of data in transit
 - MaxWindow = Minimum of (CongestionWindow,AdvertisedWindow)
 - EffectiveWindow = Maxwindow (LastByteSent -LastByteAcked)
- Adjust CongestionWindow to changes in capacity
 - Decrease CongestionWindow when congestion goes up
 - Increase CongestionWindow when congestion goes down

AIMD Cont...

- Problem: How do we detect congestion?
- Answer: Timeouts
 - TCP interprets timeout as a result of congestion
- Multiplicative decrease: Cut CongestionWindow by half on each timeout
- Additive Increase: Increase CongestionWindow by Maximum Segment Size (MSS) per RTT
 - In practice, increment a little on each ack,
 - CongestionWindow += Increment
 - Increment = MSS * (MSS/CongestionWindow)



Slow Start

- AIMD approach is used at steady state
- But how to get to steady state?
- Increase Congestion Window exponentially
 - Begin with CongestionWindow = 1
 - Double CongestionWindow every RTT
- "Slow" compared to sending entire advertised window all at once
- Used during beginning of connection
- Used when connection goes dead due to timeout





Fast Retransmit/Fast Recovery

	Sender	Receiver
Fast Retransmit:	Packet 1	
trigger retronomission	Packet 3	ACK 1
trigger retransmission	Packet 4	ACK 2
	Packet 5 🦂	ACK 2
Fast Recovery:	Packet 6	ACK 2
Peform congestion		ACK 2
avoidance	Retransmit	
instead of slow start	μαυκεί σ	ACK 6
	-	

RTT Estimation: Original Algorithm

- Measure SampleRTT for sequence/ack combo
- EstimatedRTT = a*EstimatedRTT + (1-a)*SampleRTT
 - -a is between 0.8-0.9
 - small *a* heavily influenced by temporary fluctuations
 - large *a* not quick to adapt to real changes
- Timeout = 2 * EstimatedRTT

Jacobson/Karels Algorithm

- Incorrect estimation of RTT worsens congestion
- Algorithm takes into account variance of RTTs
 - If variance is small, EstimatedRTT can be trusted
 - If variance is large, timeout should not depend heavily on EstimatedRTT

Jacobson/Karels Algorithm Cont..

- Difference = SampleRTT EstimatedRTT
- EstimatedRTT = EstimatedRTT + (d * Difference)
- Deviation = Deviation + d (|Differencel -Deviation)), where d ~ 0.125
- Timeout = u * EstimatedRTT + q * Deviation, where u = 1 and q = 4
- Exponential RTO backoff

Summary

- Transport protocols essentially demultiplexing functionality
- Examples: UDP, TCP, RTP
- TCP is a reliable connection-oriented byte-stream protocol
 - Sliding window based
 - Provides flow and congestion control