

STATISTICAL SIGNAL PROCESSING

Abstract. Statistical signal processing is an important area of research with extensive applications in the fields of communication theory, array processing, seismology, and medical diagnosis. The goal of signal processing is to recover characteristics of the underlying process from observed data. The random nature of the signals underscores the need for statistical techniques in model formulation, estimation and data analysis. A brief discussion of the different models that have been widely studied in the statistical signal processing literature is provided. In addition, different methods of estimation are presented, and some unique characteristics of these models are highlighted.

Keywords and Phrases. Array model, detection, estimation, multiple sinusoids.

AMS Subject Classification. Primary: 62J02, 60F05, 62H12

Signal processing refers to a collection of techniques used to analyze and extract characteristics of signals from physical observations. The signal generated by a mechanical, electrical, or biological system contains information about the underlying system. The signal is usually observed with error caused by electrical, mechanical, thermal interference, or recording error. With the random nature of the signal, statistical techniques play a vital role in signal processing. Statistics is used in the formulation of appropriate models to describe the behavior of the system, the development of appropriate techniques for estimation of the model parameters, and the assessment of model performance. Statistical Signal Processing refers to the analysis of signals using appropriate statistical techniques.

The traditional applications of signal processing have been in the areas of spectral estimation, seismology, communications theory, and radar/ sonar processing. With the rapid growth in technology over the past decades, signal processing techniques are now commonly applied in medical diagnosis, climate modeling, and pattern recognition. For example, doctors measure the electrical activity of the brain using electroencephalograms (EEG). Analysis of EEG data allows for the detection of key characteristics of the signal including the dominating frequency called the ‘rhythm’ and pulse activities called ‘spikes’. This analysis enables doctors to diagnose patients with possible abnormalities. In recent years, voice recognition software has been widely used by financial institutions. Speech processing involves characterization of the speech waveform from sampled data by estimating its key amplitudes and frequencies. Pattern recognition is another area of research falling under the umbrella of statistical signal processing. Applications include analysis of MRI and PET data to detect tumors in patients, fingerprint analysis and analysis of data from Geographical Information Systems. In recent years, these techniques have also been applied to detect and distinguish underground nuclear explosions from natural seismic activity.

While signal processing has been an important area of research in electrical engineering, the role of statistics is of more recent origin. Over the past few decades, statistical tools have been widely used in the signal processing literature. These include time series analysis, Fourier analysis, multivariate techniques, large sample theory, and nonlinear regression. In many applications however, standard omnibus techniques are inefficient, and specific algorithms need to be developed. In addition, as models become more complex, the availability of powerful computers necessitates the development of faster, more efficient techniques to process and analyze large data sets. There is a need for collaborative research between engineers and statisticians to address these important problems.

Three different models that have been extensively used and studied in the signal processing literature will now be discussed. Properties of these models and different estimation techniques will be described in some detail.

The Multiple Sinusoids Model

In time series, stationary processes are often analyzed in either the time or frequency domain. Spectral estimation has frequently been used in signal processing as a preliminary tool to extract periodicities in the data, and requires limited knowledge about the underlying model. However, in several applications, the signal may be modeled as a sum of sinusoidal terms. For these models, determination of the amplitudes, frequencies, and phases of the component signals is a problem that may be addressed using statistical methodology. For example, in speech processing, the pressure waveform recorded may be modeled as a sum of exponentials (see Pinson [21]). An important problem is to accurately estimate the resonant frequencies of the vocal tract.

The multiple sinusoids model may be expressed as

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k e^{j\omega_k t} + n(t); \quad t = 1, \dots, N. \quad (1)$$

Here α_k 's represent the complex amplitudes of the signals, ω_k 's represent the real radian frequencies of the signals, $n(t)$'s are complex valued error random variables with mean zero and finite variance, and $j = \sqrt{-1}$. The assumption of independence of the error random variables is not critical to the development of inferential procedures. The problem of interest is to estimate the unknown parameters $\{(\alpha_k, \omega_k); k = 1, \dots, M\}$ given a sample of size N . In several applications, the number of signals, M , may also be unknown. In this case, we first obtain an estimate of M , and then proceed with the estimation of the amplitudes and frequencies. For a detailed description of the model and applications, one may refer to Kay [10] and Rao [22].

Classical techniques such as the periodogram may be used to estimate the signal frequencies. The periodogram estimator provides an optimal solution in the case of single or multiple sinusoids that are well resolved by Fourier methods. However, in most practical situations it is not possible to resolve the frequencies, and alternative methods of estimation need to be considered.

The multiple sinusoids model may be considered as a standard nonlinear regression model with additive error. Therefore, the method of least squares may be used to estimate the regression parameters. The least squares estimators are known to have optimal large sample properties such as consistency and asymptotic normality. If the error term is assumed to be Gaussian, these are also the maximum likelihood estimators. The least squares estimators of the unknown parameters can be obtained by minimizing

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{t=1}^N \left| y(t) - \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k e^{j\omega_k t} \right|^2 \quad (2)$$

with respect to the unknown parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_M)$.

Though the multiple sinusoid model falls into the class of nonlinear regression models, it does not satisfy the sufficient conditions required for the consistency of the least squares estimators. In fact, the model does not satisfy the crucial assumption of identifiability. Therefore, it is important to determine whether or not the method of least squares is appropriate for this problem. A second problem is to develop iterative techniques to obtain the least squares estimates.

The violation of standard assumptions is a problem frequently encountered in signal processing models. These features certainly provide a challenge from a statistical and technical point of view, and require creative solutions. In particular, nonstandard techniques are needed to obtain large sample properties of the estimators. For the multiple sinusoids model, the periodic nature of the sinusoidal components have been exploited to prove the consistency and asymptotic normality of the least squares

estimators.

For the nonlinear regression model under standard regularity conditions, the least squares estimators are known to be consistent with asymptotic variance of order N^{-1} . For the multiple sinusoids model, the least squares estimators of the linear parameters α_k 's are consistent with asymptotic variance of order N^{-1} . The least squares estimators of the nonlinear parameters are consistent, however, with the rate of convergence of order $N^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. One may refer to Kundu and Mitra [12] for further technical details.

The least squares estimators may be obtained by solving M linear and M nonlinear equations. Since the nonlinear surface $Q(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is not well behaved, the choice of the initial value is critical, and standard algorithms suffer from convergence to local minima. Several iterative techniques have been developed based on Prony's difference equations and the idea of separable regression. These iterative techniques are computationally intensive, but are numerically more stable.

Prony's algorithm was initially developed to fit a sum of exponentials to data assuming no error. The method transforms the nonlinear problem into an equivalent problem of finding the roots of a polynomial equation. Under the assumption of no noise, it can be shown that the data sequence satisfies the following system of difference equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y(1) & y(2) & \dots & y(M+1) \\ y(2) & y(3) & \dots & y(M+2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y(N-M+1) & y(N-M+2) & \dots & y(N) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ g_1 \\ \dots \\ g_M \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (3)$$

where the M constants $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_M)$ are independent of the linear parameters $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)$. Further, $e^{j\omega_1}, \dots, e^{j\omega_M}$ are the roots of the following polynomial equation:

$$1 + g_1 z + \dots + g_M z^M = 0. \quad (4)$$

Prony's algorithm thus provides a 1-1 correspondence between $\{g_1, \dots, g_M\}$ and $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_M\}$.

The first step in Prony's algorithm is to determine the coefficients of the polynomial by solving the linear system in (3). The roots of this polynomial provide the frequencies, and subsequently, the estimates of the linear parameters are obtained using ordinary least squares. The coefficients of the polynomial may be obtained through quadratic optimization, solving a nonlinear eigenvalue problem, or the expectation maximization (EM) algorithm. A review of these and other comparable procedures can be found in Bresler and Macovski [4], Kannan and Kundu [9] and Kundu and Nandi [13].

The iterative algorithms developed for obtaining the least squares estimates are numerically challenging and time consuming. They are clearly not suited for adaptive online implementation. A new class of sub-optimal methods have been recently proposed that are faster to implement and computationally tractable. These methods are based on approximate least squares and eigenvectors of the sample correlation matrix. A brief description of the main idea behind these procedures is provided below. Consider the $(N - L + 1) \times L$ data matrix $\mathbf{A}$ given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} y(1) & \dots & y(L) \\ y(2) & \dots & y(L+1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y(N-L+1) & \dots & y(N) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Here $L > M$ represents the prediction order, and $N \geq 2L - 1$ represents the number of observations. If there is no noise in the model, Prony's difference equation may be used to prove that the rank of the matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A}$ are M . Here $\mathbf{A}^\dagger$ denotes the complex conjugate transpose of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$.

The null space of the matrix $\mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A}$ contains information on the signal frequencies. In the presence of noise, the matrix is of full rank $L > M$, and may be approximated by a matrix of lower rank M using the singular value decomposition. The eigenvectors

corresponding to the zero eigenvalue may be used to recover the frequencies. Tufts and Kumaresan [27] have examined the performance of these estimators for different L . The problem of choosing the optimal prediction order L has been considered by several other authors, but a satisfactory solution has not yet been found.

Another interesting approach to estimate the frequencies of the model (1) is known as the iterative filtering method. The basic idea of the iterative filtering method is that

$$E(y(t)) = \mu(t) = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k e^{j\omega_k t}, \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, N,$$

satisfies a homogeneous autoregressive (AR) equation of order $2M$. Then based on the observations, the estimation of the corresponding AR parameters are performed using parametric filtering method. Since there is a one to one correspondence between the AR parameters and the frequencies of the model (1), once the AR parameters are estimated, the estimation of the frequencies can be obtained quite easily. Li and Kadem [15] first proposed this method, then Song and Li [25] provided some biased corrected version of the iterative filtering method. Li and Song [16] provided an estimation procedure of the frequencies when the errors follow Laplace distribution, and Kundu et al. [11] provided a super efficient estimation procedure of the frequencies which converges faster than the usual least squares estimators. For some recent references and for a review of the different existing methods, see Peng et al. [19] and Kundu and Nandi [13].

Remark 1. A closely related model in time series assumes real valued signals. The model may be expressed as

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^p A_k \cos(\omega_k t + \phi_k) + \epsilon(t), \quad (6)$$

where A_k 's are real valued amplitudes, ω_k 's are the frequencies, and ϕ_k 's are the phase components. The error random variables $\epsilon(t)$ are real valued with mean zero and

finite variance. The independence assumption simplifies the estimation procedures; however, dependence structures may be easily incorporated. All the methods of estimation that have been presented for model (1) may be adapted for this model.

Multidimensional Sinusoids Model

The high resolution performance of frequency estimators for the multiple sinusoids model has prompted recent interest in the multidimensional problem. The two dimensional version of model (1) plays an important role in image processing, sonar and seismic data analysis, and in texture classification. An extensive development of two-dimensional and multidimensional digital signal processing may be found in Dudgeon and Mersereau [5]. A two-dimensional version of model (1) can be described as follows:

$$y(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k e^{j(\lambda_k s + \mu_k t)} + n(s, t); \quad s = 1, \dots, S, \quad t = 1, \dots, T. \quad (7)$$

Here α_k 's are complex valued amplitudes, λ_k 's and μ_k 's are unknown frequencies. The error random variables $n(s, t)$ are assumed to have mean zero and finite variance. The problem once again involves estimation of the signal parameters α_k 's, λ_k 's and μ_k 's from data $\{y(s, t)\}$.

Some of the estimation procedures available for the one-dimensional problem may be extended easily to two dimensions. However, several technical difficulties arise when dealing with high dimensional data. There are several open problems in multidimensional frequency estimation, and this continues to be an active area of research. Recently, Bian et al. [2] proposed an efficient estimation method for two dimensional frequency model. For some of the recent references interested readers are referred to Peng et al. [18] and the references cited therein.

Chirp Signal Model

Chirp signals are quite common in different areas of science and engineering, particularly in physics, sonar, radar and communications. For example, chirp signals are used to estimate trajectories of moving objects with respect to fixed receivers. In addition, in situations where interference rejection is important, chirp signals provide a successful digital modulation scheme. For instance, consider a radar illuminating a target. Then the transmitted signal will be affected by a phase induced by the distance and relative motion between the target and the receiver. Assuming that this motion to be continuous and differentiable the phase shift can be adequately modeled as $\phi(t) = c + \omega t + \theta t^2$, where ω and θ are related to speed and acceleration or range and speed depending on what the radar is intended for and on the kind of waveforms transmitted, see Rihaczek [23] for a nice discussion on this topic. It leads to the following chirp signal model

$$y(t) = \alpha e^{j(\omega t + \theta t^2)} + n(t); \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

Here α represents the complex amplitude, ω is the initial frequency, and θ is called the frequency rate. As in model (1), $n(t)$'s are complex valued error random variables with mean zero and finite variance. In this case also, the main problem is to estimate the unknown parameters, namely α , ω and θ , based on a sample of size N .

The most intuitive estimators are the least squares estimators, and they can be obtained by minimizing the residual sums of squares

$$Q(\alpha, \omega, \theta) = \sum_{t=1}^N \left| y(t) - \alpha e^{j(\omega t + \theta t^2)} \right|^2. \quad (9)$$

There are several issues related to the least squares estimators. First of all, since it is a highly non-linear problem, the existence and uniqueness of the least squares estimators are not immediate. Moreover, even if the solution of the non-linear problem (9) exists, it is not very easy to find the above solution. Finally, establishing the properties of the least squares estimators is a highly non-trivial problem.

Djurić and Kay [6] first considered the least squares estimation procedure, and observed that the least squares surface has several local maxima. Due to this reason choice of the initial guesses for any iterative procedure to compute the least squares estimators, is very important.

A more generalized version of model (8) is known as the superimposed chirp signal model, and it can be described as follows;

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^M \alpha_k e^{j(\omega_k t + \theta_k t^2)} + n(t); \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (10)$$

Here M is the number of chirp components, α_k 's are complex amplitudes, ω_k 's and θ_k 's are frequency and frequency rate, respectively. In this case also, the most intuitive estimators are the least squares estimators. Saha and Kay [24] proposed a very efficient importance sampling technique to compute the least squares estimators of the unknown parameters of the model (10). For recent developments, interested readers are referred to Wang et al. [30, 31] and Lahiri et al. [14].

ARRAY MODEL

The area of array processing has received considerable attention in the past several decades. An array of sensors is used to detect the presence of one or more radiating point sources. Sensor arrays are widely used in radar and sonar, geophysics, and tomography. For example, in sonar array processing, hydrophone arrays immersed underwater collect information on ship/ submarine noise at several time points. In seismology, acoustic signals are recorded by geophone arrays and provide information on the physical characteristics of the interior of the region.

The signals recorded at the sensors contain information about the structure of the generating signals, including the frequency and amplitude of the underlying sources. An appropriate model describing the underlying physical phenomena may be constructed by making suitable assumptions on the sensor characteristics, the geometry

of the sensor array, and the path of propagation of the waveforms. Once the model is formulated, there are two main problems of interest: detection of the signal and estimation of the parameters associated with these signals including their directions of arrival (DOA), the number of signals, and their crosscorrelations. The problem of signal detection involves the use of hypothesis testing and decision theory, and will not be discussed further. The main focus here is on the estimation of the DOA's and the number of signals.

Conventional techniques for direction finding of signals include the classic beam-forming technique wherein the array is steered along a specific direction. The power of the signal is then measured and the estimate of the DOA is taken to be the direction of maximum power. Unfortunately, the classical methods are essentially modifications of techniques for a single source and require the signals to be well separated and uncorrelated. If multiple sources are located within an array beamwidth, the beam-former will only detect a single source. More recent techniques exploit the sensor array to provide high resolution in the presence of closely spaced, correlated signals.

If the signals are assumed to be Gaussian, the maximum likelihood method may be used to obtain estimates of the underlying parameters. Unfortunately, the likelihood function is highly nonlinear, and estimation involves the use of complex multi-dimensional search/optimization techniques. Iterative techniques have been proposed by several authors, but they suffer from convergence to local minima, and are highly sensitive to choice of the initial value.

In the past decade, the focus has shifted to the development of high resolution techniques for estimating the directions of arrival of the sources. These techniques are known to provide estimates of the DOA's that are asymptotically unbiased even when the signals are correlated. In addition, these methods are computationally tractable compared to likelihood based techniques. Several of these high-resolution techniques

are based on subspace fitting using the eigendecomposition of the sample covariance matrix. One may refer to Haykin [8], Pillai [20], Bienvenu and Kopp [3] and Viberg and Ottersten [28], and the references therein.

Estimation of DOA's

Consider an array of P sensors receiving signals from M sources ($P > M$). The array geometry is specified by the application of interest. The most widely used array is the uniform linear array (ULA) in which the sensors are arranged along a straight line at equally spaced intervals. Planar arrays involve the location of sensors on a rectangular grid or in concentric circles.

The signals generated by the sources are assumed to be characterized by a single carrier frequency (i.e. narrowband), and the wavefronts of these signals are assumed to be planar. The assumption of narrowband signals implies the sensor outputs are the weighted contributions of the M signals and additive noise. Using the analytic (complex) representation, the signal received at the i -th sensor is given by

$$y_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^M a_i(\theta_j) x_j(t) + n_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, P, \quad (11)$$

where $x_j(t)$ represents the signal emitted by the j -th source, and $n_i(t)$ represents additive noise. The model may be rewritten in matrix form as

$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} a(\theta_1) & a(\theta_2) & \dots & a(\theta_M) \end{bmatrix} x(t) + n(t) = A(\theta)x(t) + n(t), \quad t = 1, \dots, N. \quad (12)$$

The vector $a(\theta_j) = [a_1(\theta_j), \dots, a_M(\theta_j)]$, called the direction frequency or steering vector, contains the responses of the sensors to a wavefront from the direction θ_j . The array manifold is the collection of these vectors, and is parameterized by the unknown DOA's $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M$. The matrix $A(\theta)$ has a Vandermonde structure if the underlying array is assumed to be a ULA.

The signal vector $x(t)$ and noise vector $n(t)$ are assumed to be independent zero mean random processes with covariance matrices Γ and $\sigma^2 I$, respectively. Let $M' \leq$

M denote the rank of the matrix Γ . In certain situations, there may be perfect correlation (coherence) (i.e. $M' < M$) among the signal waveforms. These situations arise when there is *multipath propagation*, which occurs when signals from a target undergo reflection, or when signals are intentionally jammed. Using Equation (12), the covariance matrix of the array output vector is given by

$$\Sigma = A\Gamma A^\dagger + \sigma^2 I. \quad (13)$$

Assuming Γ is full rank, the spectral decomposition of Σ may be written as

$$\Sigma = \sum_{k=1}^P \lambda_k \beta_k \beta_k^\dagger = B \Delta B^\dagger, \quad (14)$$

where $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_{M+1} = \dots = \lambda_P = \sigma^2$. The smallest eigenvalue of Σ has multiplicity $P - M$ and equals the noise variance. Using the definition of eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs, it can be shown that

$$A^\dagger \beta_k = 0 \iff \beta_k^\dagger a(\omega_i) = 0, \quad M+1 \leq k \leq P, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M, \quad (15)$$

when A and Γ have full rank. Equation (15) implies that the eigenvectors corresponding to the repeated eigenvalue of Σ are orthogonal to the direction vectors corresponding to the angles of arrival. The $P - M$ dimensional subspace spanned by the orthonormal eigenvectors $\beta_{M+1}, \dots, \beta_P$ is called the ‘noise subspace’. The space spanned by the direction vectors is identical to the space spanned by the eigenvectors $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_M$, and is called the ‘signal subspace’.

This decomposition into signal and noise subspaces forms the basis for the class of subspace fitting algorithms. The most popular method in this class is the Multiple Signal Classification (MUSIC) technique. When there is no noise in the data, the data vectors will lie in the signal subspace. When there is noise in the data, the signal and null subspace must be estimated using the sample covariance matrix. The intersection of this estimated signal subspace and the array manifold will provide the direction

vectors which in turn will provide the DOA's. The algorithm involves computing the function $Q(\theta) = \frac{1}{a^\dagger(\theta)E_N E_N^\dagger a(\theta)}$, where E_N is the matrix of noise vectors. The peaks of $Q(\theta)$ will correspond to the true DOA's. If the matrix A is Vandermonde (under a ULA), the problem is equivalent to finding the roots of a P -th degree polynomial in θ .

Other subspace algorithms include the deterministic maximum likelihood method that maximizes the conditional likelihood, Estimation of Signal Parameters via Rotational Invariance Technique (ESPRIT) and their variants. In the case of coherent signals, the optimal properties of estimators obtained through these high resolution techniques no longer hold. A class of techniques called spatial smoothing provides estimates of the DOA's by first decorrelating the signals. For details about these procedures, one may refer to Pillai [20]. Extensive work has been done to improve the performances of the MUSIC and ESPRIT algorithms in the last three decades. Most of the algorithms are based on the subspace decomposition method. For some of the recent work interested readers are referred to Hassen et al. [7], Abeida and Delmas [1], Liu et al. [17] and the references cited therein.

Wideband Signals

Most subspace fitting algorithms were developed for narrowband signals, where all signal powers are characterized by a single frequency. Wideband or broadband signals, on the other hand, lie in a broad spectral band. The wideband signal may be decomposed into several narrowband bins, each characterized by a known frequency. The standard algorithms are applied to obtain the estimates of the DOA's at each frequency and the results are then combined in some optimal way. Another approach uses focussing matrices to align the signal subspaces obtained from the different narrowband components within the bandwidth of the signals. The narrowband covariance matrices are then combined to yield a single covariance matrix which is

used to obtain the DOA's. One may refer to Wang and Kaveh [29].

Tracking Models

The array model considered assumed that the sources generating signals were stationary. In radar applications, it is often of interest to track a moving target by estimating its position/ state over time. The array may be modified assuming the targets have smooth trajectories over time, i.e. the DOA's are now functions of time. Adaptive techniques may then be developed based on the standard DOA estimation algorithms.

ESTIMATION OF THE NUMBER OF SIGNALS

In most algorithms developed for the multiple sinusoids, chirp signal model and array model, the number of components or signals is assumed to be known. In practical applications however, estimation of M is an important problem. The estimation of the number of components or number of sources is essentially a model selection problem. This has been studied extensively in the context of variable selection in regression and multivariate analysis. Standard model selection techniques may be used to estimate the number of signals in both these models. It is reasonable to assume an upper bound on the number of signals, i.e. the maximum number of signals can be at most a fixed number, say K . Since model (1) is a regression model, the K competing models are nested. The estimate of M is obtained by selecting the model that best fits the data. For the array model, estimation of M may be obtained by estimating the multiplicity, $(P - M)$, of the smallest eigenvalue of the sample covariance matrix.

Several techniques have been used to estimate M including information theoretic criteria, Bayesian methods, cross validation, and non-parametric methods. Information theoretic criteria like the Akaike Information Criterion and Minimum Description Length Criterion use penalized likelihood functions. The choice of the penalty

function is critical in determining the model order. Bayesian methods require the assumption of prior probabilities for the different competing models, and are computationally intensive. Cross validation techniques work well but are time consuming and not suitable for adaptive online implementation. For further details, one may refer to Rao [22]. Robust procedure procedure like LASSO of Tibshirani [26] may be used to estimate M for multiple sinusoids or superimposed chirp signal model, more work is needed in this direction.

Acknowledgements. The research of Nandini Kannan was supported by the NSF IR/D program. However, any opinion, finding, and conclusions and recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Nandini Kannan
Division of Mathematical Sciences
National Science Foundation
Arlington, VA 22230, USA
nkannan12@gmail.com

Debasis Kundu
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology
Kanpur, 208016, INDIA
kundu@iitk.ac.in

References

- [1] Abeida, H. and Delmas, J-P. (2008). Statistical performance of MUSIC-like algorithms in resolving noncircular sources, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **56**, 4317 - 4329.

- [2] Bian, J., Li, H., Peng, H. (2011). An efficient and fast algorithm for estimating the frequencies of 2-D superimposed exponential signals in zero-mean multiplicative and additive noise, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **141**, 1277 - 1289.
- [3] Bienvenu, G. and Kopp, L. (1980). Adaptivity to Background Noise Spatial Coherence for High Resolution Passive Methods, *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, 307–310.
- [4] Bresler, Y. and Macovski, A. (1986). Exact Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimation of Superimposed Exponential Signals in Noise, *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, **34**, 1081-1089.
- [5] Dudgeon, D.E. and Mersereau, R.M. (1984). *Multidimensional Digital Signal Processing*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- [6] Djurić, P.M. and Kay, S.M. (1990). Parameter estimation of chirp signals, *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics Speech and Signal Processing*, **38**, 2128 - 2126.
- [7] Hassen, S.B., Bellili, F., Samet, A. and Affes, S. (2011). DOA estimation of temporally and spatially correlated narrowband noncircular sources in spatially correlated white noise, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **59**, 4108 - 4121.
- [8] Haykin, S. (1985). *Array Signal Processing*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- [9] Kannan, N. and Kundu, D. (1994). On Modified EVLP and ML Methods of Estimating Superimposed Exponential Signals, *Signal Processing*, **39**, 223-233.
- [10] Kay, S.M. (1987). *Modern Spectral Estimation*, Prentice Hall, New York, NY.

- [11] Kundu, D., Bai, Z.D., Nandi, S. and Bai, L. (2011). Super efficient frequency estimation, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **141**, 2576 - 2588.
- [12] Kundu, D. and Mitra, A. (1999). On Asymptotic Behavior of Least Squares Estimators and the Confidence Intervals of the Superimposed Exponential, *Signal Processing*, **72**, 129-139.
- [13] Kundu, D. and Nandi, S. (2012). Statistical signal processing: frequency estimation, *Springer Briefs in Statistics*, New Delhi, 1 - 132.
- [14] Lahiri, A., Kundu, D. and Mitra, A. (2015). Estimating the parameters of multiple chirp signals, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **139**, 189 – 206.
- [15] Li, T-H and Kadem, B. (1996). Iterative filtering for multiple frequency estimation, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **42**, 1120 - 1132.
- [16] Li, T-H. and Song, K-S. (2009). Estimation of the parameters of sinusoidal signals in non-Gaussian noise, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **57**, 62-72.
- [17] Liu, Z-M., Huang, Z-T., Zhou, Y-Y. Liu, J. (2012). Direction-of-arrival estimation of noncircular signals via sparse representation, *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, **48**, 2690 - 2698.
- [18] Peng, H., Bian, J., Yang, D., Liu, Z., Li, H. (2014). Statistical analysis of parameter estimation for 2-D harmonics in multiplicative and additive noise *Journal of Applied Statistics*, **43**, DOI:10.1080/03610926.2012.746985.
- [19] Peng, H., Yu, S., Bian, J., Zhang, Y., Li, H. (2015). Statistical analysis of non linear least squares estimation for harmonic signals in multiplicative and additive noise, *Communication in Statistics- Theory and Methods*, **44**, 217 - 240.
- [20] Pillai, S. U. (1989). *Array Signal Processing*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.

- [21] Pinson, E.N. (1963). Pitch-synchronous Time-domain Estimation of Formant Frequencies and Bandwidth, *Journal of Acoustics Society of America*, **35**, 1264-1273.
- [22] Rao, C.R. (1988). Some Recent Results in Signal Detection, In *Statistical Decision Theory and Related Topics, IV* (Eds. Gupta, S.S. and Berger, J.O.), Vol. 2, 319-332, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- [23] Rihaczek, A.W. (1969). *Principles of High Resolution Radar*, McGraw Hill, New York.
- [24] Saha, S. and Kay, S.M. (2002). Maximum likelihood parameter estimation of superimposed chirps using Monte Carlo importance sampling, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **50**, 224 - 230.
- [25] Song, K-S and Li, T-H. (2006). On coevergence and bias correction of a joint estimation algorithm for multiple sinusoidal frequencies", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **101**, 830 - 842.
- [26] Tibshirani, R. (1996). Regression shrinkage and selection via the Lasso, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Ser. B.* 267 – 288.
- [27] Tufts, D.W. and Kumaresan, R. (1982). Estimation of Frequencies of Multiple Sinusoids: Making Linear Prediction Perform Like Maximum Likelihood, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, **70**, 975-989.
- [28] Viberg, M. and Ottersten, B. (1991). Sensor Array Processing Based on Subspace Fitting, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **39**, 1110-1121.

- [29] Wang, H. and Kaveh, M. (1985). Coherent Signal Subspace Processing for the Detection and Estimation of Angles of Arrival of Multiple Wide-band Sources, *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, **33**, 823–831.
- [30] Wang, P., Djurović, I. and Yang, J. (2008). Generalized high-order phase function for parameter estimation of polynomial phase signal, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **56**, 3023V3028, 2008.
- [31] Wang, P., Li, P., Djurović, and Himed, B. (2010). Performance of instantaneous frequency rate estimation using high-order phase function, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **58**, 2415 – 2421.

Further Reading

- Bose, N.K. and Rao, C.R. (1993). *Signal Processing and its Applications*, Handbook of Statistics, Vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Srinath, M.D., Rajasekaran, P.K. and Viswanathan, R. (1996), *Introduction to Statistical Signal Processing with Applications*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Quinn, B.G. and Hannan, E.J. (2001), *The estimation and tracking of frequency*, Cambridge University Press, New York.

Related Entries: Nonlinear regression, communications theory, pattern recognition.

L^AT_EXtemplate, Wiley