## **Health Effects of PM Pollution**

**Increase in** 

- •Mortality
- Hospital admissions
- •Respiratory symptoms (cough etc)
- Moderate or worse asthma status
- Changes in pulmonary function
- •Days of work loss

#### **Deposition of Particulate Matter in Respiratory system**



**Terminology Used – Lung Function Parameters** 



Singh (1999) – Indian Asthma Care Society



## **Pulmonary Function Testing**



Spirobank G, MIR



**Personal Best-PEF Meter** 

### **PEFR** – Peak Expiratory Flow Rate

- **FEV<sub>1</sub>** Forced Expiratory Volume in One Second
- **FVC** Forced Vital Capacity

## Importance of PEFR

Asthma = Troublesome Breathing due to inflammation and constriction of airways

- recommended > 80% of the predicted value
- •lower value, aggravation of asthma

## **Health Effects of PM Pollution**



Summary of Acute exposure studies - % change per 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> of change in PM<sub>10</sub> Pope and Dockery (1999) 7

## **Health Effects of PM Pollution**



Summary of Chronic exposure studies - % change per 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> of change in PM<sub>2.5</sub> Pope and Dockery (1999) 8 Importance of FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC in diagnosis

Obstructive Lung Disease = unable to get air out
 FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC < 70-75% (70% used in COPD) – low FEV<sub>1</sub>
 The lower the ratio, the worse the obstruction

Restrictive Lung Disease = unable to get air in
 Low FVC; normal or elevated FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC
 Low TLC

### Continued...



Center for Disease Control and Prevention, US (1999) 10

# **Study Area and Sites**



### Summary of $PM_{10}$ and $PM_{2.5}$ levels at various locations

Air Quality Parameter	Cohort Site					
	Juhilal Colony	Vikas Nagar	IIT Kanpur			
$PM_{10} (\mu g/m^3)$	$293 \pm 90$	$295 \pm 57$	$184 \pm 40$			
$PM_{2.5} (\mu g/m^3)$	85 ± 30	$162 \pm 19$	$59 \pm 9$			

- •IIT Kanpur site ( $PM_{10}$ : 132-249 µg/m<sup>3</sup>;  $PM_{2.5}$ : 39-71 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- •Vikas Nagar site ( $PM_{10}$ : 181-436 µg/m<sup>3</sup>;  $PM_{2.5}$ : 125-188 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- •Juhilal colony ( $PM_{10}$ : 179-495 µg/m<sup>3</sup>;  $PM_{2.5}$ : 50-153 µg/m<sup>3</sup>).

•Daily PEFR readings were collected for a period of 15 days concurrent to the Air Quality Monitoring for each cohort

•One time complete pulmonary function test were conducted on each individual of cohort with Spirobank-G to observe the general trend in  $FEV_1$  and FVC

### **Baseline lung status of Cohort Group**



**Baseline PEFR Values of Cohorts** 

**Green Zone** – Observed PEFR value is  $\geq 80$  % of the predicted value of individual; no symptoms of asthma.

Yellow Zone – Observed PEFR value is  $\geq 50 \% \& < 80 \%$  of the predicted value; beginning of asthma.

**Red Zone -** Observed PEFR value < 50 % of the predicted value; needs medical attention.

NIH (1997) In general Prevalence of Asthma is more in the polluted areas.

### **Analysis of PEFR Data**

It is not advisable to directly examine one to one association between PEFR and  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  of all individual as a group as the absolute value of PEFR of an individual depends on body responses and body parameters like height, age, sex and other confounding factors.

### $\Delta PEF$ with $PM_{10}$ and $PM_{2.5}$ was checked

- 1. The mean PEFR (L/min) for each participant was calculated for 15-day period.
- 2. Individual deviations of daily performance from each participant's mean PEFR were calculated.
- 3. These deviations were averaged across participants individually to obtain a daily mean deviation,  $\Delta PEF$ .

	Day1	Day2	Day15	Mean	Day1	Day2	Day15
P1	PEF1	PEF2	PEF15	PEFR	ΔPEF1	ΔPEF2	ΔPEF15
P2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	:
P33	•	•	•	:	•	•	:
			Mear	ΔPEF	ΔPEF1	ΔPEF2	ΔPEF15

while looking at ∆PEF, one can look into the variation of PEFR of an individual with respect to his/her mean PEFR performance Suggested by Pope and Dockery (1992)

Correlation between mean  $\triangle PEF$  and four parameters -  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  (one-day lagged) and  $PM_{2.5}$  (one-day lagged)

Parameter	<b>∆ PEF</b>	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	<b>PM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub> (One-day	PM <sub>2.5</sub> ( -day l	One lag)		
Δ PEF	1	<pre>deposition of larger particles (PM<sub>10</sub>) takes place in upper part of</pre>						
PM <sub>10</sub>	-0.52	mucus s	mucus secretion resulting is inflammation & constriction of					
<b>PM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>	-0.30	airways and thus lowering PEFR value						
PM <sub>10</sub> (One-day lag)	-0.32	0.45	0.49	1				
PM <sub>2.5</sub> (One-day lag)	-0.27	0.46	0.88	0.67	1			

All values are statistically significant (p < 0.05) – n = 39  $_{17}$ 





**Estimated Regression Coefficients and their Comparison** 

	This Study		Pope and Dockery (1992)				
	Model I (n=39)	Model II (n=39)	Sympt (n =	omatic 100)	Asymp (n=)	tomatic 100)	
			Model I	Model II	Model I	Model II	
PM <sub>10</sub> (concurrent day, µg/m3)	-0.0318 (9.025)	-	-0.0175 (0.6006)	-	-0.0110 (-3.606)	-	
PM <sub>2.5</sub> (concurrent day, μg/m3)	-	-0.0297 (4.0947)	-	-	-	-	
PM <sub>10</sub> (5-day moving average)	-	-	-	-0.0359 (2.0934)	-	-0.0254 (-2.504)	

Value in parenthesis is the intercept. (n represents number of sampling days)

## Variation of $\triangle PEF$ with $PM_{10}$ and $PM_{2.5}$



### Change in daily $PM_{10}$ levels and mean $\triangle PEF$ at VN

Change in daily  $PM_{2.5}$  levels and mean  $\triangle PEF$  at VN



## Variation of $\triangle PEF$ with $PM_{10}$ and $PM_{2.5}$



## Variation of $\triangle PEF$ with $PM_{10}$ and $PM_{2.5}$



PM2.5 — dPEF

22

## Variation in Observed FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC from Reference

Vikas Nagar (VN) Cohort



Vikas Nagar Cohort

### **Variation in Observed FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC from Reference**

Juhilal Colony (JC) Cohort



**Juhilal Colony Cohort** 

## Variation in Observed FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC from Reference

IIT Kanpur (IITK) Cohort



**IIT Kanpur Cohort**