

# Conjugate Gradient Method

This note is mainly based on 'Numerical Analysis' by Burden and Faires.

It was first developed as direct method to solve a system of linear equations  $Ax = b$  but was found to be inferior to Gauss elimination. However, it can be used as an iterative method for a sparse system with predictable pattern.

Here the matrix  $A$  is assumed to be symmetric and positive definite. For two  $n$ -vectors  $u$  and  $v$ , we define the standard inner product  $(u, v) = u^t v = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$ . For a positive definite matrix  $A$ , we define  $A$ -inner product of two vectors  $u$  and  $v$  by  $(u, v)_A = (u, Av) = u^t Av = (Au)^t v = (Au, v)$ . As with inner product, there is an associated norm  $\|u\|_A = \sqrt{(u, Au)}$ .

**Theorem:** The vector  $x^*$  is a solution to the symmetric positive definite linear system  $Ax = b$  if and only if  $x^*$  minimizes the value of  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x, x)_A - (x, b)$ .

**Proof:** For  $u$  and any  $v \neq 0$ , consider

$$f(u + tv) = \frac{1}{2}(u + tv, u + tv)_A - (u + tv, b) = f(u) + t((u, v)_A - (v, b)) + \frac{t^2}{2}(v, v)_A$$

The above can be written as  $f(u + tv) \equiv h(t) = \alpha + \beta t + \frac{1}{2}\gamma t^2$  with  $\gamma > 0$ . Hence it's minimum occurs at  $t = \hat{t}$  given by  $\hat{t} = -\beta/\gamma$  and  $h(\hat{t}) = \alpha - \beta^2/2\gamma$ . Hence  $h(\hat{t}) \leq \alpha$  and  $h(\hat{t}) = \alpha$  only when  $\beta = 0$ . Note that  $(u, v)_A - (v, b) = (Au - b, v) \implies \hat{t} = (b - Au, v)/(v, Av)$  and  $f(u + \hat{t}v) \leq f(u)$  for all  $v \neq 0$  unless  $(b - Au, v) = 0$  for which  $f(u + \hat{t}v) = f(u)$ .

Let  $x^*$  satisfies  $Ax = b$  and then  $(b - Ax^*, v) = 0$  for any vector  $v$ . Now  $f(x^* + tv) \geq f(x^* + \hat{t}v)$  for any  $t$  since minimum attained at  $\hat{t}$ . But  $f(x^* + \hat{t}v) = f(x^*)$  and hence  $f(x^* + tv) \geq f(x^*)$ . Now  $x = x^* + tv$  is an arbitrary vector and hence  $f(x) \geq f(x^*)$ . Consequently  $f(x)$  cannot be made smaller than  $f(x^*)$  and thus  $x^*$  minimizes  $f(x)$ .

Conversely, let  $x^*$  minimizes  $f(x)$ . Then  $f(x^*) \leq f(x^* + \hat{t}v)$  for any nonzero vector  $v$ . But  $f(x^* + \hat{t}v) \leq f(x^*)$  which implies that  $f(x^*) = f(x^* + \hat{t}v) \implies (b - Ax^*, v) = 0$  for any nonzero vector  $v$ . If  $b - Ax^* \neq 0$ , we take  $v = b - Ax^*$ , which gives  $\|b - Ax^*\|^2 = 0 \implies Ax^* = b$ .

The above theorem shows the way to proceed. We choose an  $x^{(0)}$  which is an initial approximation to  $x^*$ . If  $b - Ax^{(0)} \neq 0$ , we chose a nonzero  $v^{(1)}$  (search direction) and  $t_1 = (b - Ax^{(0)}, v^{(1)})/(v^{(1)}, v^{(1)})_A$  so that  $x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} + t_1 v^{(1)}$  is a better approximation. This suggests the following algorithm:

## Algorithm

Choose an initial  $x^{(0)}$  and  $v^{(1)} \neq 0$ .

For  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

Calculate  $t_k = (b - Ax^{(k-1)}, v^{(k)})/(v^{(k)}, v^{(k)})_A = (r^{(k-1)}, v^{(k)})/(v^{(k)}, v^{(k)})_A$

$x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} + t_k v^{(k)}$ .

Search the new direction  $v^{(k+1)}$ .

## **Finding search directions:**

Note that  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x, x)_A - (x, b)$  and solution  $x^*$  of  $Ax = b$  minimizes  $f(x)$ . Note that  $\nabla f$  is the direction of fastest increase of  $f$  at a point and hence  $-\nabla f = b - Ax = r$  where  $r$  is the residual vector gives the direction of fastest decrease. Hence one way to choose search direction is by  $v^{(k+1)} = r^{(k)} = b - Ax^{(k)}$ . Choosing this way is called method of steepest descent which is quite slow for linear system.

An alternative approach is to choose a set of nonzero direction vectors (called conjugate directions)  $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(n)}$  which satisfy  $(v^{(i)}, v^{(j)})_A = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . This is called A-orthogonality conditions.

**Theorem:** With the choice of conjugate directions  $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(n)}$ , it can be proved that  $Ax^{(n)} = b$ . (Here  $x^{(n)}$  is obtained using algorithm given in first page)

**Remark:** This theorem implies that if exact arithmetic is used with conjugate directions, then the algorithm converges in  $n$ -steps. In that sense, it is comparable to direct methods. However, the number of iterations becomes large when  $n$  is large. Hence, we terminate the iteration when suitable accuracy is achieved.

**Theorem:** It can be proved that the residual vectors  $r^{(k)} = b - Ax^{(k)}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  of the conjugate direction method satisfy  $(r^{(k)}, v^{(j)}) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

### Conjugate gradient method:

The conjugate gradient method of Hestenes and Stiefel chooses the search directions  $v^{(k)}$  during the iterative process so that the residual vectors  $r^{(k)}$  are mutually orthogonal. To construct the direction vectors  $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(n)}$  and the approximations  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)}$ , we proceed as follows.

We start with an initial approximation  $x^{(0)}$  and if  $x^{(0)}$  is not a solution, then we use the steepest descent direction  $r^{(0)} = b - Ax^{(0)}$  as  $v^{(1)}$ .

Assume that conjugate directions  $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(k)}$  and approximate solutions  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(k)}$  have already been computed, where

$$x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} + t_k v^{(k)} \text{ and } (v^{(i)}, v^{(j)})_A = 0, \quad (r^{(i)}, r^{(j)}) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

If  $x^{(k)}$  is the solution of  $Ax = b$ , then nothing to do. Otherwise,

$$r^{(k)} = b - Ax^{(k)} \neq 0 \text{ and } (r^{(k)}, v^{(j)}) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

We generate  $v^{(k+1)}$  from  $r^{(k)}$  by setting  $v^{(k+1)} = r^{(k)} + s_k v^{(k)}$  and choose  $s_k$  so that

$$(v^{(k)}, v^{(k+1)})_A = 0 \implies s_k = -(v^{(k)}, r^{(k)})_A / (v^{(k)}, v^{(k)})_A \dots \dots \dots (*)$$

It can be also shown that  $(v^{(j)}, v^{(k+1)})_A = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and hence  $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(k+1)}$  is an A-orthogonal set. Having chosen  $v^{(k+1)}$ , we compute

$$t_{k+1} = (v^{(k+1)}, r^{(k)}) / (v^{(k+1)}, v^{(k+1)})_A = (r^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) / (v^{(k+1)}, v^{(k+1)})_A + s_k (v^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) / (v^{(k+1)}, v^{(k+1)})_A.$$

Since  $(v^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) = 0$  (by the theorem stated above), we have

$$t_{k+1} = (r^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) / (v^{(k+1)}, v^{(k+1)})_A \dots \dots \dots (**)$$

Thus  $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t_{k+1} v^{(k+1)}$  is obtained.

To compute  $r^{(k)} = b - Ax^{(k)}$ , we have

$$r^{(k)} = b - Ax^{(k)} = b - A\{x^{(k-1)} + t_k A v^{(k)}\} \implies r^{(k)} = r^{(k-1)} - t_k A v^{(k)}. \text{ This gives}$$

$$(r^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) = (r^{(k-1)}, r^{(k)}) - t_k (A v^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) = -t_k (r^{(k)}, A v^{(k)}) \text{ (since residual vectors are orthogonal). Now from (**), we have } t_k = (r^{(k-1)}, r^{(k-1)}) / (v^{(k)}, v^{(k)})_A \text{ and hence}$$

$$(r^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) = -\frac{(r^{(k-1)}, r^{(k-1)})}{(v^{(k)}, v^{(k)})_A} (r^{(k)}, v^{(k)})_A$$

From (\*)

$$s_k = -\frac{(v^{(k)}, r^{(k)})_A}{(v^{(k)}, v^{(k)})_A} = \frac{(r^{(k)}, r^{(k)})}{(r^{(k-1)}, r^{(k-1)})}$$

In summary, the algorithm is as follows

**Algorithm**

Choose an initial  $x^{(0)}$  and compute  $r^{(0)} = b - Ax^{(0)}$  and  $v^{(1)} = r^{(0)}$ .

For  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  until given accuracy achieved

$$\begin{aligned}t_k &= (r^{(k-1)}, r^{(k-1)}) / (v^{(k)}, Av^{(k)}) \\x^{(k)} &= x^{(k-1)} + t_k v^{(k)} \\r^{(k)} &= r^{(k-1)} - t_k Av^{(k)} \\s_k &= \frac{(r^{(k)}, r^{(k)})}{(r^{(k-1)}, r^{(k-1)})} \\v^{(k+1)} &= r^{(k)} + s_k v^{(k)}\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have one matrix-vector multiplication  $Av^{(k)}$ , three inner products  $(r^{(k-1)}, r^{(k-1)})$ ,  $(v^{(k)}, Av^{(k)})$ ,  $(r^{(k)}, r^{(k)})$  and three scalar vector multiplications in the computation of  $x^{(k)}$ ,  $r^{(k)}$ ,  $v^{(k+1)}$ .

**Remark:**

If the matrix  $A$  is not well-conditioned, then we usually use pre-conditioned conjugate gradient method.